

Complete sets of relations in the cohomology rings of moduli spaces of holomorphic bundles and parabolic bundles over a Riemann surface

Richard Earl and Frances Kirwan

February 1, 2008

The cohomology of the moduli space $\mathcal{M}(n, d)$ of stable holomorphic vector bundles of coprime rank n and degree d over a fixed compact Riemann surface Σ of genus $g \geq 2$ has been intensely studied over many years. In the case when $n = 2$ we now have a very thorough understanding of its structure [3, 24, 49, 56]. For arbitrary n it is known that the cohomology has no torsion [1], and inductive and closed formulas for computing the Betti numbers have been obtained [1, 8, 9, 19, 32], as well as a set of generators for the cohomology ring [1].

When $n = 2$ the relations between these generators can be explicitly described [3, 20, 24, 49, 51, 56] and in particular a conjecture of Mumford, that a certain set of relations is a complete set, is now known to be true [29, 56]. Less is known about the relations between the generators when $n > 2$, although in principle these can be obtained from the formulas given in [23, 55] for the evaluation of polynomials in the generators on the fundamental class of $\mathcal{M}(n, d)$. It was shown in [11] that the most obvious generalisation of Mumford's conjecture to the cases when $n > 2$ is false, although a modified version of the conjecture (using 'dual Mumford relations' together with the original Mumford relations) is true for $n = 3$. In this paper we generalise the concept of the Mumford relations somewhat further and show that these generalised Mumford relations form a complete set for arbitrary rank n .

The generators for $H^*(\mathcal{M}(n, d))$ given by Atiyah and Bott in [1] are obtained from a (normalised) universal bundle V over $\mathcal{M}(n, d) \times \Sigma$. With respect to the Künneth decomposition of

$$H^*(\mathcal{M}(n, d) \times \Sigma)$$

the r th Chern class $c_r(V)$ of V can be written as

$$c_r(V) = a_r \otimes 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{2g} b_r^j \otimes \alpha_j + f_r \otimes \omega$$

where $\{1\}$, $\{\alpha_j : 1 \leq j \leq 2g\}$, and $\{\omega\}$ are standard bases for $H^0(\Sigma)$, $H^1(\Sigma)$ and $H^2(\Sigma)$, and

$$a_r \in H^{2r}(\mathcal{M}(n, d)), \quad b_r^j \in H^{2r-1}(\mathcal{M}(n, d)), \quad f_r \in H^{2r-2}(\mathcal{M}(n, d)), \quad (0.1)$$

for $1 \leq r \leq n$ and $1 \leq j \leq 2g$. It was shown in [1, Prop. 2.20 and p.580] that the classes a_r and f_r (for $2 \leq r \leq n$) and b_j^r (for $1 \leq r \leq n$ and $1 \leq j \leq 2g$) generate the rational cohomology

ring of $\mathcal{M}(n, d)$.

Since tensoring by a fixed holomorphic line bundle of degree e gives an isomorphism between the moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}(n, d)$ and $\mathcal{M}(n, d + ne)$, we may assume without loss of generality that

$$(2g - 2)n < d < (2g - 1)n.$$

This implies that $H^1(\Sigma, E) = 0$ for any stable bundle of rank n and degree d [44, Lemma 5.2], and hence that $\pi_! V$ is a bundle of rank $d - n(g - 1)$ over $\mathcal{M}(n, d)$, where

$$\pi : \mathcal{M}(n, d) \times \Sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(n, d)$$

is the projection onto the first component and $\pi_!$ is the K-theoretic direct image map. It follows that

$$c_r(\pi_! V) = 0,$$

for $r > d - n(g - 1)$. Via the Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch theorem we can express the Chern classes of $\pi_! V$ as polynomials in the generators a_r, b_r^j, f_r described above, and hence their vanishing gives us relations between these generators. Mumford conjectured [1, p.582] that when $n = 2$ these relations can be used to obtain a complete set. This is indeed true when $n = 2$ (see [29, 56]) but not in general for $n > 2$ [11, p.19]. However we will show that a similar construction can be used to obtain a complete set of relations, as follows.

Suppose that $0 < \hat{n} < n$, and that $\hat{d}$ is coprime to $\hat{n}$. Then we have a universal bundle $\hat{V}$ over $\mathcal{M}(\hat{n}, \hat{d}) \times \Sigma$, and both V and $\hat{V}$ can be pulled back to $\mathcal{M}(\hat{n}, \hat{d}) \times \mathcal{M}(n, d) \times \Sigma$. If

$$\frac{\hat{d}}{\hat{n}} > \frac{d}{n}$$

then there are no nonzero holomorphic bundle maps from a stable bundle of rank $\hat{n}$ and degree $\hat{d}$ to a stable bundle of rank n and degree d , and hence, if

$$\pi : \mathcal{M}(\hat{n}, \hat{d}) \times \mathcal{M}(n, d) \times \Sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\hat{n}, \hat{d}) \times \mathcal{M}(n, d),$$

is the projection onto the first two components, it follows that $-\pi_!(\hat{V}^* \otimes V)$ is a bundle of rank $n\hat{n}(g - 1) - d\hat{n} + \hat{d}n$ over $\mathcal{M}(\hat{n}, \hat{d}) \times \mathcal{M}(n, d)$. Thus

$$0 = c_r(-\pi_!(\hat{V}^* \otimes V)) \in H^*(\mathcal{M}(\hat{n}, \hat{d}) \times \mathcal{M}(n, d))$$

if $r > n\hat{n}(g - 1) - d\hat{n} + \hat{d}n$ and hence the slant product

$$c_r(-\pi_!(\hat{V}^* \otimes V)) \backslash \gamma \in H^*(\mathcal{M}(n, d))$$

of $c_r(-\pi_!(\hat{V}^* \otimes V))$ with any homology class $\gamma \in H_*(\mathcal{M}(\hat{n}, \hat{d}))$ vanishes when

$$r > n\hat{n}(g - 1) - d\hat{n} + \hat{d}n.$$

The main result of this paper (see Theorem 2.1 in §2 below) is that the relations obtained between the generators a_r, b_r^j, f_r in this way¹ for $0 < \hat{n} < n$ and

$$\frac{d}{n} + 1 > \frac{\hat{d}}{\hat{n}} > \frac{d}{n}$$

¹A little more care must be taken when $\hat{n}$ and $\hat{d}$ are not coprime.

and

$$n\hat{n}(g-1) - d\hat{n} + \hat{d}n < r < n\hat{n}(g+1) - d\hat{n} + \hat{d}n$$

form a complete set of relations (which are essentially Mumford's relations when $n = 2$ and are essentially the relations described in [11] when $n = 3$.) This is generalised to moduli spaces of parabolic bundles in Theorem 7.1.

In principle all the relations between the Atiyah-Bott generators can be obtained by Poincaré duality from the formulas given in [23, 55] for the evaluation of polynomials in these generators on the fundamental class $[\mathcal{M}(n, d)]$, but the linear algebra involved would be extremely laborious, and our approach is a more geometric one. However we use the formulas of [23] in the final section of the paper to give explicit formulas for the generalised Mumford relations in terms of the Atiyah-Bott generators.

The first section of this paper describes background needed from [1] and [11] and the second gives a careful statement of the main result, Theorem 2.1. §3 introduces modified completeness criteria for relations between the generators similar to those used in [11, 29]. §4 contains the heart of the proof, which involves a diagonal argument and the (excess) Porteous formula, and §5 refines this to complete the case when $\hat{d}$ is coprime to $\hat{n}$. §6 generalises what has been done so far to cover parabolic bundles, and §7 proves a weak version of the main result in the case of parabolic bundles with full flags. This is extended to the general case in §8 using the method of reduction to a maximal torus (cf. [30]). §9 completes the proof of the main theorem by restricting the range of $\hat{d}$ and r required for a complete set of relations, and finally §10 uses nonabelian localisation [23] to provide some explicit formulas for the generalised Mumford relations.

1 Background

There are many descriptions, from very different points of view, of the moduli space $\mathcal{M}(n, d)$ of stable holomorphic bundles of coprime rank n and degree d over a compact Riemann surface Σ of genus $g \geq 2$: see for example [1, 16, 21, 34, 40, 41, 44]. The construction we shall use is due to Atiyah and Bott in [1]; it is closely analogous to the construction of quotients in geometric invariant theory [33, 38, 44] but involves a space and a group which are both infinite dimensional. There are other constructions of $\mathcal{M}(n, d)$ as genuine geometric invariant theoretic quotients of nonsingular quasi-projective varieties by reductive group actions, which are in some sense finite dimensional approximations to the construction of Atiyah and Bott (see [27]), and the arguments we shall use could all be rewritten in terms of these finite-dimensional quotient constructions.

In [1] Atiyah and Bott obtained generators for the cohomology ring of $\mathcal{M}(n, d)$. In the next section we shall state our main theorem giving a complete set of relations between these generators. In fact it will be enough to describe the relations between generators over the rationals, since the cohomology ring of $\mathcal{M}(n, d)$ is torsion-free [1, p.578]. All cohomology groups in this paper will therefore have rational coefficients unless specified otherwise.

Recall that a holomorphic vector bundle E over Σ is called *semistable* (respectively *stable*) if every holomorphic subbundle D of E satisfies

$$\mu(D) \leq \mu(E), \quad (\text{respectively } \mu(D) < \mu(E)),$$

where $\mu(D) = \text{degree}(D)/\text{rank}(D)$ is the *slope* of D . Nonsemistable bundles are said to be unstable. Note that semistable bundles of coprime rank and degree are stable.

Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a fixed C^∞ complex vector bundle of rank n and degree d over Σ . Let $\mathcal{C}$ be the space of all holomorphic structures on $\mathcal{E}$ and let $\mathcal{G}_c$ denote the group of all C^∞ complex automorphisms of $\mathcal{E}$. Atiyah and Bott [1] identify the moduli space $\mathcal{M}(n, d)$ with the quotient $\mathcal{C}^{ss}/\mathcal{G}_c$ where $\mathcal{C}^{ss}$ is the open subset of $\mathcal{C}$ consisting of all semistable holomorphic structures on $\mathcal{E}$. The group $\mathcal{G}_c$ is the complexification of the gauge group $\mathcal{G}$ which consists of all smooth automorphisms of $\mathcal{E}$ which are unitary with respect to a fixed Hermitian structure on $\mathcal{E}$ [1, p.570]. We shall write $\overline{\mathcal{G}}$ for the quotient of $\mathcal{G}$ by its $U(1)$ -centre and $\overline{\mathcal{G}}_c$ for the quotient of $\mathcal{G}_c$ by its $\mathbf{C}^*$ -centre. There are natural isomorphisms [1, 9.1]

$$H^*(\mathcal{C}^{ss}/\mathcal{G}_c) = H^*(\mathcal{C}^{ss}/\overline{\mathcal{G}}_c) \cong H_{\overline{\mathcal{G}}_c}^*(\mathcal{C}^{ss}) \cong H_{\overline{\mathcal{G}}}^*(\mathcal{C}^{ss})$$

since the centre of $\mathcal{G}_c$ acts trivially on $\mathcal{C}^{ss}$, while $\overline{\mathcal{G}}_c$ acts freely on $\mathcal{C}^{ss}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{G}}_c$ is the complexification of $\overline{\mathcal{G}}$. Atiyah and Bott [1, Thm. 7.14] show that the restriction map $H_{\overline{\mathcal{G}}}^*(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow H_{\overline{\mathcal{G}}}^*(\mathcal{C}^{ss})$ is surjective. Further $H_{\overline{\mathcal{G}}}^*(\mathcal{C}) \cong H^*(B\overline{\mathcal{G}})$ since $\mathcal{C}$ is an infinite-dimensional affine space [1, p.565]. So putting this all together we find that there is a natural surjection

$$\Phi : H^*(B\overline{\mathcal{G}}) \cong H_{\overline{\mathcal{G}}}^*(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow H_{\overline{\mathcal{G}}}^*(\mathcal{C}^{ss}) \cong H^*(\mathcal{M}(n, d)). \quad (1.1)$$

Thus generators of the cohomology ring $H^*(B\overline{\mathcal{G}})$ give generators of the cohomology ring of the moduli space $\mathcal{M}(n, d)$.

The cohomology rings of the classifying spaces $B\mathcal{G}$ and $B\overline{\mathcal{G}}$ are described in [1, §§ 2, 9]. It is shown in [1, Prop. 2.4] that the classifying space $B\mathcal{G}$ can be identified with the space $\text{Map}_d(\Sigma, BU(n))$ of all smooth maps $f : \Sigma \rightarrow BU(n)$ such that the pullback to Σ of the universal vector bundle over $BU(n)$ has degree d . If we pull back this universal bundle using the evaluation map

$$\text{Map}_d(\Sigma, BU(n)) \times \Sigma \rightarrow BU(n) : (f, m) \mapsto f(m)$$

then we obtain a rank n vector bundle $\mathcal{V}_0$ over $B\mathcal{G} \times \Sigma$. If further we restrict the pullback bundle induced by the maps

$$\mathcal{C}^{ss} \times E\mathcal{G} \times \Sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \times E\mathcal{G} \times \Sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \times_{\mathcal{G}} E\mathcal{G} \times \Sigma \xrightarrow{\cong} B\mathcal{G} \times \Sigma$$

to $\mathcal{C}^{ss} \times \{e\} \times \Sigma$ for some $e \in E\mathcal{G}$ then we obtain a $\mathcal{G}$ -equivariant holomorphic bundle on $\mathcal{C}^{ss} \times \Sigma$. The centre of $\mathcal{G}$ acts as scalar multiplication on the fibres, and the associated projective bundle descends to a holomorphic projective bundle over $\mathcal{M}(n, d) \times \Sigma$.

In fact this projective bundle is the projective bundle of a holomorphic vector bundle V over $\mathcal{M}(n, d) \times \Sigma$ [1, pp. 579-580]. This vector bundle V has the universal property that, for any $[E] \in \mathcal{M}(n, d)$ representing a bundle E over Σ , the restriction of V to $\{[E]\} \times \Sigma$ is isomorphic to E .

Before constructing such a universal bundle V we note that tensoring with the pullback to $\mathcal{M}(n, d) \times \Sigma$ of any holomorphic bundle K over $\mathcal{M}(n, d)$ preserves the universal property and the associated projective bundle remains unchanged. If we fix $x \in \Sigma$ and identify $\mathcal{C}^{ss}$ with the subset $\mathcal{C}^{ss} \times \{x\}$ of $\mathcal{C}^{ss} \times \Sigma$ then the $\mathbf{C}^*$ -centre of $\mathcal{G}_c$ acts on the fibres of $\det(\mathcal{V}_0|_{\mathcal{C}^{ss}})$ with weight

n and acts on the fibres of $\det(\pi_! \mathcal{V}_0)$ with weight $d - n(g - 1)$ where $\pi : \mathcal{C}^{ss} \times \Sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{ss}$ is the first projection and $\pi_!$ is the direct image map of K-theory. Since n and d are coprime there exist integers u and v such that

$$un + v(d - n(g - 1)) = 1.$$

Thus the $\mathbf{C}^*$ -centre of $\mathcal{G}_c$ acts on the fibres of

$$K = \det(\mathcal{V}_0|_{\mathcal{C}^{ss}})^u \otimes \det(\pi_! \mathcal{V}_0)^v$$

as scalar multiplication, and hence acts trivially on the bundle

$$\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_0 \otimes \pi^*(K^{-1})$$

over $\mathcal{C}^{ss} \times \Sigma$. This bundle $\mathcal{V}$ then descends to a universal bundle V over $\mathcal{M}(n, d) \times \Sigma$.

Tensoring a universal bundle V by the pullback to $\mathcal{M}(n, d) \times \Sigma$ of any holomorphic bundle K over $\mathcal{M}(n, d)$ changes the generators a_r, b_r^j and f_r of $H^*(\mathcal{M}(n, d))$. In particular it changes a_1 by $nc_1(K)$ and $c_1(\pi_!(V))$ by $(d - n(g - 1))c_1(K)$. (Here we are using π to denote the first projection $\mathcal{M}(n, d) \times \Sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(n, d)$ as well as $\pi : \mathcal{C}^{ss} \times \Sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{ss}$.) Therefore tensoring V by $\pi^*(K)$ changes $ua_1 + vc_1(\pi_! V)$ by $c_1(K)$, with u and v chosen as above. Our choice of universal bundle V satisfies

$$ua_1 + vc_1(\pi_! V) = 0, \tag{1.2}$$

i.e. it is normalised in the sense used by Atiyah and Bott [1, p.582].

By a slight abuse of notation we define elements a_r, b_r^j, f_r in $H^*(B\mathcal{G}; \mathbb{Q})$ by writing

$$c_r(\mathcal{V}) = a_r \otimes 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{2g} b_r^j \otimes \alpha_j + f_r \otimes \omega \quad 1 \leq r \leq n.$$

where, as before, ω is the standard generator of $H^2(\Sigma)$ and $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{2g}$ form a fixed canonical cohomology basis for $H^1(\Sigma)$. Atiyah and Bott show [1, Prop. 2.20] that the ring $H^*(B\mathcal{G})$ is freely generated as a graded algebra over $\mathbb{Q}$ by the elements

$$\{a_r : 1 \leq r \leq n\} \cup \{b_r^j : 1 \leq r \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq 2g\} \cup \{f_r : 2 \leq r \leq n\}. \tag{1.3}$$

The only relations among these generators are that the a_r and f_r commute with everything else and that the b_r^j anticommute with each other.

The fibration $BU(1) \rightarrow B\mathcal{G} \rightarrow B\overline{\mathcal{G}}$ induces an isomorphism [1, p.577]

$$H^*(B\mathcal{G}) \cong H^*(B\overline{\mathcal{G}}) \otimes H^*(BU(1)),$$

where $H^*(BU(1))$ is a polynomial ring on one generator in degree two, which we can identify with a_1 . The generators a_r, b_r^j and f_r of $H^*(B\mathcal{G})$ can be pulled back via a section of this fibration to give rational generators of the cohomology ring of $B\overline{\mathcal{G}}$. We may if we wish omit a_1 since its image in $H^*(B\overline{\mathcal{G}})$ can be expressed in terms of the other generators. The only other relations are again the commuting of the a_r and f_r with all other generators, and the anticommuting of the b_r^j . These generators for $H^*(B\overline{\mathcal{G}})$ restrict to the generators a_r, b_r^j, f_r (0.1) for $H^*(\mathcal{M}(n, d))$ under the surjection (1.1).

The relations between these generators for $H^*(\mathcal{M}(n, d); \mathbb{Q})$ are then given by the kernel of the restriction map (1.1) which in turn is determined by the restriction map

$$H_{\mathcal{G}}^*(\mathcal{C}) \cong H_{\mathcal{G}}^*(\mathcal{C}) \otimes H^*(BU(1)) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{G}}^*(\mathcal{C}^{ss}) \otimes H^*(BU(1)) \cong H_{\mathcal{G}}^*(\mathcal{C}^{ss}). \quad (1.4)$$

The bundle V is universal in the sense that its restriction to $\{[E]\} \times \Sigma$ is isomorphic to E for each semistable holomorphic bundle E over Σ of rank n and degree d , and $\pi_! V$ is the alternating sum of the i th higher direct image sheaves $R^i \pi_* V$ (see [17, §3.8]), whose stalks at $[E]$ are given by

$$H^i(\pi^{-1}([E]), V_{|\pi^{-1}([E])}) = H^i(\Sigma, V_{|[E] \times \Sigma}) \cong H^i(\Sigma, E).$$

Tensoring E with a holomorphic line bundle over Σ of degree e gives an isomorphism between $\mathcal{M}(n, d)$ and $\mathcal{M}(n, d + ne)$. Since n and d are coprime we may assume without any loss of generality that

$$(2g - 2)n < d < (2g - 1)n.$$

From [44, lemma 5.2] we know that $H^1(\Sigma, E) = 0$ for any semistable holomorphic bundle E of slope greater than $2g - 2$, and $H^i(\Sigma, E) = 0$ if $i > 1$ since the complex dimension of Σ is one. Thus $\pi_! V$ is in fact a vector bundle over $\mathcal{M}(n, d)$ with fibre $H^0(\Sigma, E)$ over $[E] \in \mathcal{M}(n, d)$ and, by the Riemann-Roch theorem, of rank $d - n(g - 1)$.

In particular, if we express the Chern classes $c_r(\pi_! V)$ in terms of the generators a_r, b_r^j and f_r of $H^*(\mathcal{M}(n, d))$, then the fact that they vanish for $r > d - n(g - 1)$ gives us relations between the generators. Mumford conjectured [1, p.582] that when $n = 2$ these relations can be used to obtain a complete set and this was proved in [29] and [56]. For $n \geq 3$ the relations obtained from the vanishing of the Chern classes of $\pi_! V$ above its rank do not in general form a complete set [11, p.19]. However one can also obtain *dual Mumford relations* from vanishing Chern classes of $\pi_!(V^*)$, because $H^1(\Sigma, E^*) = 0$ for any semistable bundle E over Σ of rank n and degree d satisfying $(1 - 2g)n < d < (2 - 2g)n$, and in [11] it is shown that when $n = 3$ the Mumford relations together with the dual Mumford relations form a complete set. In this paper we shall further modify Mumford's relations to obtain a complete set for general n .

Remark 1.1 We could also choose d such that $-n < d < 0$, which implies that $H^0(\Sigma, E) = 0$ for any semistable bundle of rank n and degree d over Σ . Then $-\pi_! V$ is a bundle over $\mathcal{M}(n, d)$ of rank $n(g - 1) - d$, so that $c_r(-\pi_! V) = 0$ if $r > n(g - 1) - d$. By Serre duality the relations obtained are equivalent to the dual Mumford relations, while the relations obtained by choosing d satisfying $0 < d < n$, so that $-\pi(V^*)$ is a bundle of rank $n(g - 1) + d$, are equivalent to the original Mumford relations.

2 Statement of Results

Suppose now that $\hat{n}$ and $\hat{d}$ are integers satisfying $0 < \hat{n} < n$ and

$$\frac{\hat{d}}{\hat{n}} > \frac{d}{n}.$$

Then, as in §1, we have a $\mathcal{G}(n, d)$ -equivariant bundle $\mathcal{V}$ over $\mathcal{C}(n, d) \times \Sigma$ and a $\mathcal{G}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})$ -equivariant bundle $\hat{\mathcal{V}}$ over $\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d}) \times \Sigma$. (Note that as it is now important to specify the values of n and

d we denote $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{G}$ by $\mathcal{C}(n, d)$ and $\mathcal{G}(n, d)$.) Both $\mathcal{V}$ and $\hat{\mathcal{V}}$ can then be pulled back to $\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d}) \times \mathcal{C}(n, d) \times \Sigma$.

Let $\pi : \mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d}) \times \mathcal{C}(n, d) \times \Sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d}) \times \mathcal{C}(n, d)$ be the projection. As $\hat{d}/\hat{n} > d/n$ there are no nonzero holomorphic bundle maps from a semistable bundle $\hat{E}$ of rank $\hat{n}$ and degree $\hat{d}$ to a semistable bundle E of rank n and degree d , and hence by the Riemann-Roch theorem we have

$$H^0(\Sigma, \hat{E}^* \otimes E) = 0 \text{ and } \dim H^1(\Sigma, \hat{E}^* \otimes E) = \hat{n}n(g-1) - d\hat{n} + \hat{d}n.$$

Therefore the restriction to $\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^{ss} \times \mathcal{C}(n, d)^{ss}$ of $-\pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V})$ is a bundle of rank

$$\hat{n}n(g-1) - d\hat{n} + \hat{d}n.$$

This means that its equivariant Chern classes

$$c_r(-\pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V})) \in H_{\mathcal{G}(n, d)}^*(\mathcal{C}(n, d)) \otimes H_{\mathcal{G}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})}^*(\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})) \quad (2.1)$$

restrict to zero in $\mathcal{C}(n, d)^{ss} \times \mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^{ss}$ when $r > \hat{n}n(g-1) - d\hat{n} + \hat{d}n$. Therefore if we restrict the equivariant Chern class $c_r(-\pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V}))$ to $\mathcal{C}(n, d)^{ss} \times \mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^{ss}$ for such a value of r , and take its slant product with any element of $H_*^{\mathcal{G}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})}(\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^{ss})$, this gives us an element of the kernel of the restriction map

$$H_{\mathcal{G}(n, d)}^*(\mathcal{C}(n, d)) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{G}(n, d)}^*(\mathcal{C}(n, d)^{ss}) \cong H^*(\mathcal{M}(n, d)) \otimes H^*(BU(1)).$$

The main aim of this paper is to show that the kernel of this restriction map is generated as an ideal in $H_{\mathcal{G}(n, d)}^*(\mathcal{C}(n, d))$ by the elements obtained in this way. Equivalently these relations, together with the relation (1.2) due to the normalisation of the universal bundle, give a complete set of relations among the generators a_r, b_r^j and f_r of $H^*(\mathcal{M}(n, d))$.

We need to bear in mind that, although by assumption n and d are coprime, we are not assuming that $\hat{n}$ and $\hat{d}$ are necessarily coprime. When $\hat{n}$ and $\hat{d}$ are coprime we can express the relations obtained above in terms of universal bundles V and $\hat{V}$ over the moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}(n, d)$ and $\mathcal{M}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})$ as in the introduction, and take slant products with elements of $H_*(\mathcal{M}(\hat{n}, \hat{d}))$. However when $\hat{n}$ and $\hat{d}$ are not coprime we need to take care about the distinction between stable and semistable bundles, and the bundle $\hat{\mathcal{V}}$ over $\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d}) \times \Sigma$ does not in general induce a universal bundle $\hat{V}$, even over the product with Σ of the moduli space $\mathcal{M}^s(\hat{n}, \hat{d}) \cong \mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^s / \mathcal{G}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})$ of stable bundles over Σ [44, Chapter 5]. Thus the precise statement of our main result is phrased in terms of $\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})$, not $\mathcal{M}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})$, as follows.

Theorem 2.1 *If n and d are coprime then the kernel of the restriction map*

$$H_{\mathcal{G}(n, d)}^*(\mathcal{C}(n, d)) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{G}(n, d)}^*(\mathcal{C}(n, d)^{ss})$$

is generated as an ideal in $H_{\mathcal{G}(n, d)}^*(\mathcal{C}(n, d))$ by slant products of the form

$$c_r(-\pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V})) \backslash \gamma$$

for integers $\hat{n}, \hat{d}$ and r satisfying $0 < \hat{n} < n$ and $\frac{d}{n} < \frac{\hat{d}}{\hat{n}} < \frac{d}{n} + 1$ and

$$n\hat{n}(g-1) - d\hat{n} + \hat{d}n < r < n\hat{n}(g+1) - d\hat{n} + \hat{d}n$$

where

$$c_r(-\pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V})) \in H_{\mathcal{G}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})}^*(\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})) \otimes H_{\mathcal{G}(n, d)}^*(\mathcal{C}(n, d))$$

is the r th equivariant Chern class of the equivariant virtual bundle $-\pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V})$ over $\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d}) \times \mathcal{C}(n, d)$, and γ lies in the image of the natural map

$$H_*^{\mathcal{G}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})}(\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^{ss}) \rightarrow H_*^{\mathcal{G}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})}(\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})).$$

We shall rephrase this theorem more explicitly in terms of a complete set of relations among the generators a_r, b_r^j, f_r for $H^*(\mathcal{M}(n, d))$ in § 10 (see Theorems 10.2 and 10.4 and Remark 10.5).

3 Completeness Criteria

We have observed that the relations between the generators a_r, b_r^j and f_r for $H^*(\mathcal{M}(n, d))$ are determined by the kernel of the restriction map

$$H_{\mathcal{G}}^*(\mathcal{C}) \cong H_{\bar{\mathcal{G}}}^*(\mathcal{C}) \otimes H^*(BU(1)) \rightarrow H_{\bar{\mathcal{G}}}^*(\mathcal{C}^{ss}) \otimes H^*(BU(1)) \cong H_{\bar{\mathcal{G}}}^*(\mathcal{C}^{ss}).$$

In order to describe this kernel we consider the Harder-Narasimhan-Shatz stratification of the space $\mathcal{C}$ of holomorphic structures on a fixed C^∞ complex vector bundle $\mathcal{E}$ of rank n and degree d over Σ [1, 19, 48]. The stratification $\{\mathcal{C}_\mu : \mu \in \mathcal{M}\}$ is indexed by the partially ordered set $\mathcal{M}$ consisting of all the types of holomorphic bundles of rank n and degree d , as follows.

Any holomorphic bundle E over M of rank n and degree d has a filtration (or flag) [19, p.221], [33]

$$0 = E_0 \subset E_1 \subset \cdots \subset E_s = E$$

of subbundles such that the quotient bundles $Q_p = E_p/E_{p-1}$ are semistable for $1 \leq p \leq s$ and satisfy

$$\mu(Q_p) = \frac{d_p}{n_p} > \frac{d_{p+1}}{n_{p+1}} = \mu(Q_{p+1})$$

where d_p and n_p are respectively the degree and rank of Q_p , and $\mu(Q_p) = d_p/n_p$ is its slope. This filtration is canonically associated to E and is called the Harder-Narasimhan filtration of E . We define the type of E to be

$$\mu = (\mu(Q_1), \dots, \mu(Q_s)) \in \mathbb{Q}^n$$

where the entry $\mu(Q_p)$ is repeated n_p times. The semistable bundles have type

$$\mu_0 = (d/n, \dots, d/n)$$

and form the unique open stratum. The set $\mathcal{M}$ of all possible types of holomorphic vector bundles over Σ provides an indexing set for the stratification, and if $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$ then the subset $\mathcal{C}_\mu \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ is defined to be the set of all holomorphic vector bundles over Σ of type μ . A partial order on $\mathcal{M}$ is defined as follows. Let $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n)$ and $\tau = (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n)$ be two types; we define

$$\sigma \geq \tau \text{ if and only if } \sum_{j \leq i} \sigma_j \geq \sum_{j \leq i} \tau_j \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n-1. \quad (3.1)$$

The stratification has the following properties:-

(i) The stratification is smooth and $\mathcal{G}_c$ -invariant. That is, each stratum $\mathcal{C}_\mu$ is a locally closed $\mathcal{G}_c$ -invariant complex submanifold of $\mathcal{C}$. Furthermore, by [1, 7.8], the closure in $\mathcal{C}$ of the stratum $\mathcal{C}_\mu$ for any $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$ satisfies

$$\overline{\mathcal{C}_\mu} \subseteq \bigcup_{\nu \geq \mu} \mathcal{C}_\nu. \quad (3.2)$$

(ii) Each stratum $\mathcal{C}_\mu$ is connected and has finite (complex) codimension d_μ in $\mathcal{C}$, given by the formula [1, 7.16]

$$d_\mu = \sum_{i>j} (n_i d_j - n_j d_i + n_i n_j (g-1)), \quad (3.3)$$

when $\mu = (d_1/n_1, \dots, d_P/n_P)$ as above. Moreover given any integer N there are only finitely many $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $d_\mu \leq N$.

(iii) The gauge group $\mathcal{G}$ acts on $\mathcal{C}$ preserving the stratification which is equivariantly perfect with respect to this action [1, Thm. 7.14]. In particular there is an isomorphism of vector spaces

$$H_{\mathcal{G}}^k(\mathcal{C}) \cong \bigoplus_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}} H_{\mathcal{G}}^{k-2d_\mu}(\mathcal{C}_\mu) = H_{\mathcal{G}}^k(\mathcal{C}^{ss}) \oplus \bigoplus_{\mu \neq \mu_0} H_{\mathcal{G}}^{k-2d_\mu}(\mathcal{C}_\mu).$$

The restriction map $H_{\mathcal{G}}^k(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{G}}^k(\mathcal{C}^{ss})$ is the projection onto the summand $H_{\mathcal{G}}^k(\mathcal{C}^{ss})$ and so the kernel is isomorphic *as a vector space* to

$$\bigoplus_{\mu \neq \mu_0} H_{\mathcal{G}}^{k-2d_\mu}(\mathcal{C}_\mu). \quad (3.4)$$

(iv) Let $\mu = (d_1/n_1, \dots, d_s/n_s)$. Atiyah and Bott show [1, Prop. 7.12] that the map

$$\prod_{p=1}^s \mathcal{C}(n_p, d_p)^{ss} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_\mu,$$

which sends a sequence of semistable bundles $(F_1, \dots, F_s)$ to the direct sum $F_1 \oplus \dots \oplus F_s$, induces an isomorphism

$$H_{\mathcal{G}}^*(\mathcal{C}_\mu) \cong \bigotimes_{1 \leq p \leq s} H_{\mathcal{G}(n_p, d_p)}^*(\mathcal{C}(n_p, d_p)^{ss}).$$

Remark 3.1 It follows from the isomorphism (3.4) that for any set of relations in $H_{\mathcal{G}}^*(\mathcal{C}^{ss})$ to be complete it is necessary that the least degree of a nontrivial relation in the set must be equal to the smallest real codimension of an unstable stratum. When $n > 2$ and $n/2 < d < n$ then the least real codimension of an unstable stratum is $2(n-d+(n-1)(g-1))$ and the smallest degree of any of the original Mumford relations is $2(d+(n-1)(g-1))$ [11, p.19], and consequently the set of original Mumford relations is not generally complete.

Remark 3.2 By property (i) above, the subset

$$U_\mu = \mathcal{C} - \bigcup_{\nu > \mu} \mathcal{C}_\nu = \bigcup_{\nu \not\geq \mu} \mathcal{C}_\nu$$

is an open subset of $\mathcal{C}$ containing $\mathcal{C}_\mu$ as a closed submanifold. Hence there is a long exact sequence (the Thom-Gysin sequence)

$$\cdots \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{G}}^{j-2d_\mu}(\mathcal{C}_\mu) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{G}}^j(U_\mu) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{G}}^j(U_\mu - \mathcal{C}_\mu) \rightarrow \cdots.$$

From (iii) we know that the stratification is equivariantly perfect, which means that each of these long exact sequences break up into short exact sequences $0 \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{G}}^{j-2d_\mu}(\mathcal{C}_\mu) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{G}}^j(U_\mu) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{G}}^j(U_\mu - \mathcal{C}_\mu) \rightarrow 0$, and so the maps $H_{\mathcal{G}}^*(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{G}}^*(U_\mu)$ are all surjective. In fact Atiyah and Bott show that the stratification is equivariantly perfect by considering the composition

$$H_{\mathcal{G}}^{j-2d_\mu}(\mathcal{C}_\mu) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{G}}^j(U_\mu) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{G}}^j(U_\mu - \mathcal{C}_\mu)$$

which is multiplication by e_μ , the equivariant Euler class of the normal bundle to $\mathcal{C}_\mu$ in $\mathcal{C}$. Atiyah and Bott show [1, p.569] that e_μ is not a zero-divisor in $H_{\mathcal{G}}^*(\mathcal{C}_\mu)$ and deduce that the Thom-Gysin maps

$$H_{\mathcal{G}}^{j-2d_\mu}(\mathcal{C}_\mu) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{G}}^j(U_\mu)$$

are all injective.

This leads to certain criteria for a set of relations to be complete, described in [29, Prop. 1] (see also [11, Prop. 4] where the criteria are slightly modified and the proof of completeness is corrected). First we introduce a total order $\preceq$ on $\mathcal{M}$ extending the partial order $\leq$ defined at (3.1) above. This total order $\preceq$ is a lexicographic ordering: given $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n)$ and $\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n)$ in $\mathcal{M}$ we define

$$\mu \prec \nu \text{ if there exists } q \in \{1, \dots, n\} \text{ with } \mu_q < \nu_q \text{ and } \mu_i = \nu_i \text{ for } 1 \leq i < q.$$

We then write $\mu \preceq \nu$ if $\mu \prec \nu$ or $\mu = \nu$.

Following [48] and [1, § 7] we associate with $\mu = (d_1/n_1, \dots, d_s/n_s)$ the convex polygon P_μ with vertices

$$(0, 0), (n_1, d_1), (n_1 + n_2, d_1 + d_2), \dots, (n_1 + \cdots + n_s, d_1 + \cdots + d_s) = (n, d).$$

We see that $\mu \leq \nu$ if and only if P_μ lies below P_ν whereas $\mu \preceq \nu$ if and only if P_μ and P_ν agree to the left of some vertex and P_μ lies below P_ν immediately to the right of this vertex. Notice that the total order $\preceq$ introduced here differs from that of [11, p.20] and is in a sense its dual; in [11] $\preceq$ is defined by $\mu \preceq \nu$ if and only if P_μ and P_ν agree to the *right* of some vertex and P_μ lies below P_ν immediately to the left of this vertex.

By [11, Prop. 4] we have:

Proposition 3.3 (*Completeness Criteria*) *Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a subset of the kernel of the restriction map*

$$H_{\mathcal{G}}^*(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{G}}^*(\mathcal{C}^{ss}).$$

Suppose that for each unstable type $\mu \neq \mu_0$ there is a subset $\mathcal{R}_\mu$ of the ideal generated by $\mathcal{R}$ in $H_{\mathcal{G}}^*(\mathcal{C})$ such that the image of $\mathcal{R}_\mu$ under the restriction map

$$H_{\mathcal{G}}^*(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{G}}^*(\mathcal{C}_\nu)$$

is zero when $\nu \prec \mu$ and when $\nu = \mu$ contains the ideal of $H_G^*(\mathcal{C}_\mu)$ generated by the equivariant Euler class e_μ of the normal bundle $\mathcal{N}_\mu$ to the stratum $\mathcal{C}_\mu$ in $\mathcal{C}$. Then $\mathcal{R}$ generates the kernel of the restriction map

$$H_G^*(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow H_G^*(\mathcal{C}^{ss})$$

as an ideal of $H_G^*(\mathcal{C})$.

In order to simplify later arguments, we now observe that Atiyah and Bott could have used a coarser stratification of $\mathcal{C}$.

Definition 3.4 For any integers n_1 and d_1 let S_{n_1, d_1} be the subset of $\mathcal{C}$ consisting of all those holomorphic structures with Harder-Narasimhan filtration

$$0 = E_0 \subset E_1 \subset \cdots \subset E_s = E$$

where E_1 has rank n_1 and degree d_1 . We shall say that such a holomorphic structure has *coarse type* (n_1, d_1) . When it is necessary to specify the dependence of S_{n_1, d_1} on n and d we shall write $S_{n_1, d_1}^{(n, d)}$. Then

$$S_{n, d} = \mathcal{C}^{ss} = \mathcal{C}_{\mu_0}$$

and each S_{n_1, d_1} is a union of finitely many strata from the stratification $\{\mathcal{C}_\mu : \mu \in \mathcal{M}\}$ of $\mathcal{C}$ by type. Moreover since

$$\overline{\mathcal{C}_\mu} \subseteq \bigcup_{\mu' \geq \mu} \mathcal{C}_{\mu'}$$

we have

$$\overline{S_{m, k}} \subseteq \left(\bigcup_{\frac{k'}{m'} > \frac{k}{m}} S_{m', k'} \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{\frac{k'}{m'} = \frac{k}{m}, k' \geq k} S_{m', k'} \right).$$

Now $\mathcal{C}_\mu$ is locally a submanifold of finite codimension

$$d_\mu = \dim H^1(\Sigma, \text{End}'' E) = \sum_{i > j} n_i d_j - n_j d_i + n_i n_j (g - 1)$$

where E is any holomorphic bundle of type μ and $\text{End}'' E$ is the quotient of $\text{End} E$ by the subbundle $\text{End}' E$ of holomorphic endomorphisms of E which preserve its Harder-Narasimhan filtration (see [1, §7]). The proof of this is based on the observation that

$$H^0(\Sigma, \text{End}'' E) = 0$$

which is a direct consequence of the fact that if D_1 and D_2 are semistable bundles of rank n_1 and n_2 and degrees d_1 and d_2 satisfying $d_1/n_1 > d_2/n_2$ then there are no nonzero bundle maps from D_1 to D_2 . If we replace $\text{End}' E$ by the bundle of holomorphic endomorphisms of E which preserve the first proper subbundle E_1 in its Harder-Narasimhan filtration and replace $\text{End}'' E$ by the quotient of $\text{End} E$ by this subbundle, then we still have $H^0(\Sigma, \text{End}'' E) = 0$, and the argument of [1, § 7] that $\mathcal{C}_\mu$ is locally a submanifold of finite dimension d_μ in $\mathcal{C}$ applies equally well to show that S_{n_1, d_1} is locally a submanifold of finite codimension

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{n_1, d_1} &= (n - n_1)d_1 - n_1(d - d_1) + n(n - n_1)(g - 1) \\ &= nd_1 - n_1d + n_1(n - n_1)(g - 1) \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

in $\mathcal{C}$.

Note that if $d_1/n_1 > d/n$ then a holomorphic bundle E has coarse type (n_1, d_1) if and only if E has a semistable subbundle E_1 of rank n_1 and degree d_1 such that the coarse type (n_2, d_2) of the quotient bundle E/E_1 satisfies $d_2/n_2 < d_1/n_1$. Thus the proof of [1, Prop. 7.12] shows that

$$H_{\mathcal{G}}^*(S_{n_1, d_1}) \cong H_{\mathcal{G}(n_1, d_1)}^*(\mathcal{C}(n_1, d_1)^{ss}) \otimes H_{\mathcal{G}(n-n_1, d-d_1)}^*(U(n_1, d_1)) \quad (3.6)$$

where

$$U(n_1, d_1) = \bigcup_{\frac{d_2}{n_2} < \frac{d_1}{n_1}} S_{n_2, d_2}^{(n-n_1, d-d_1)} = \mathcal{C}(n-n_1, d-d_1) \setminus \bigcup_{\frac{d_2}{n_2} \geq \frac{d_1}{n_1}} S_{n_2, d_2}^{(n-n_1, d-d_1)}$$

is an open subset of $\mathcal{C}(n-n_1, d-d_1)$.

The isomorphism (3.6) is induced by inclusions

$$\mathcal{C}(n_1, d_1)^{ss} \times U(n_1, d_1) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}(n_1, d_1) \times \mathcal{C}(n-n_1, d-d_1) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}(n, d) = \mathcal{C}$$

and

$$\mathcal{G}(n_1, d_1) \times \mathcal{G}(n-n_1, d-d_1) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{G}(n, d) = \mathcal{G},$$

given by identifying our fixed C^∞ bundle of rank n and degree d over Σ with the direct sum of fixed C^∞ bundles of ranks n_1 and $n-n_1$ and degrees d_1 and $d-d_1$ respectively. The gauge group $\mathcal{G}(n, d)$ has a constant central circle subgroup acting trivially on $\mathcal{C}(n, d)$, and therefore $\mathcal{G}(n_1, d_1) \times \mathcal{G}(n-n_1, d-d_1)$ has a central subgroup $T = (S^1)^2$ acting trivially on $\mathcal{C}(n_1, d_1) \times \mathcal{C}(n-n_1, d-d_1)$. Moreover at a point of $\mathcal{C}(n_1, d_1)^{ss} \times U(n_1, d_1)$ corresponding to a direct sum of bundles $E = D_1 \oplus D_2$, the normal to S_{n_1, d_1} is naturally isomorphic to

$$H^1(\Sigma, \text{End}'' E) = H^1(\Sigma, D_1^* \otimes D_2) \quad (3.7)$$

(cf. [1, §7]) and an element $(t_1, t_2) \in (S^1)^2 = T$ acts on this as scalar multiplication by $t_1^{-1}t_2$. Thus the representation of T on the normal to S_{n_1, d_1} is primitive, and so by Atiyah and Bott's criterion [1, 13.4] the equivariant Euler class e_{n_1, d_1} of the normal to S_{n_1, d_1} in $\mathcal{C}$ is not a zero divisor in $H_{\mathcal{G}}^*(S_{n_1, d_1})$. Therefore by [1, 1.9] we have proved (cf. [1, Thm. 7.14]),

Proposition 3.5 *The stratification*

$$\left\{ S_{n_1, d_1} : 0 < n_1 < n, \frac{d_1}{n_1} > \frac{d}{n} \right\} \cup \{S_{n, d}\}$$

of $\mathcal{C}$ by coarse type is equivariantly perfect.

Let $P_G(X)(t)$ denote the equivariant Poincaré series

$$P_G(X)(t) = \sum_{i \geq 0} t^i \dim H_G^i(X)$$

of a topological space X acted on by a group G . Then Proposition 3.5 together with (3.6) tells us that

$$P_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{C})(t) = P_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{C}^{ss})(t) + \sum_{\substack{0 < n_1 < n, \\ d_1/n_1 > d/n}} t^{2\delta_{n_1, d_1}} P_{\mathcal{G}}(S_{n_1, d_1})(t)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
P_{\mathcal{G}}(S_{n_1, d_1})(t) &= P_{\mathcal{G}(n_1, d_1)}(\mathcal{C}(n_1, d_1)^{ss})(t) P_{\mathcal{G}(n-n_1, d-d_1)}(U(n_1, d_1))(t) \\
&= P_{\mathcal{G}(n_1, d_1)}(\mathcal{C}(n_1, d_1)^{ss})(t) \left[P_{\mathcal{G}(n-n_1, d-d_1)}(\mathcal{C}(n-n_1, d-d_1))(t) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \sum_{\substack{\frac{d_2}{n_2} \geq \frac{d_1}{n_1}}} t^{2\delta(n-n_1, d-d_1)_{n_2, d_2}} P_{\mathcal{G}(n-n_1, d-d_1)}(S_{n_2, d_2}^{(n-n_1, d-d_1)})(t) \right],
\end{aligned}$$

with

$$\delta_{n_1, d_1} = nd_1 - n_1d + n_1(n - n_1)(g - 1)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_{n_2, d_2}^{(n-n_1, d-d_1)} &= (n - n_1 - n_2)d_2 - n_2(d - d_1 - d_2) + n_2(n - n_1 - n_2)(g - 1) \\
&= (n - n_1)d_2 - n_2(d - d_1) + n_2(n - n_1 - n_2)(g - 1).
\end{aligned}$$

Since [1, Thm. 2.15]

$$P_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{C})(t) = \frac{\prod_{k=1}^n (1 + t^{2k-1})^{2g}}{\prod_{k=1}^n (1 + t^{2k}) \prod_{k=2}^n (1 + t^{2k-2})},$$

this gives us an inductive formula for $P_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{C}^{ss})(t)$, and hence for the Betti numbers of $\mathcal{M}(n, d)$ when n and d are coprime. This is easily seen to be equivalent to the formula

$$P_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{C})(t) = P_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{C}^{ss})(t) + \sum_{\mu=(d_1/n_1, \dots, d_s/n_s) \neq \mu_0} t^{2d_\mu} \prod_{1 \leq j \leq s} P_{\mathcal{G}(n_j, d_j)}(\mathcal{C}(n_j, d_j)^{ss})(t) \quad (3.8)$$

of Atiyah and Bott [1, Prop. 7.12, Thm. 7.14] since

$$\begin{aligned}
d_\mu &= \sum_{i > j} n_i d_j - n_j d_i + n_i n_j (g - 1) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{s-1} \left\{ \left(\sum_{i=j+1}^s n_i \right) d_j - n_j \left(\sum_{i=j+1}^s d_i \right) + n_j \left(\sum_{i=j+1}^s n_i \right) (g - 1) \right\} \\
&= \delta_{n_1, d_1} + \delta_{n_2, d_2}^{(n-n_1, d-d_1)} + \delta_{n_s, d_s}^{(n_{s-1}+n_s, d_{s-1}+d_s)}.
\end{aligned}$$

This inductive formula is equivalent to the following closed formula [8, 32, 57]

$$\begin{aligned}
P(\mathcal{M}(n, d))(t) &= \sum_{n_1 + \dots + n_l = n} (-1)^{l-1} \frac{(1+t)^{2gl}}{(1-t^2)^{l-1}} \prod_{j=1}^l \prod_{i=1}^{n_j-1} \frac{(1+t^{2i+1})^{2g}}{(1-t^{2i})(1-t^{2i+2})} \times \\
&\quad \times \prod_{j=1}^{l-1} \frac{t^{2 \sum_{i < j} n_i n_j (g-1) + \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} (n_i + n_{i+1}) \langle -(n_1 + \dots + n_j) d / n \rangle}}{1 - t^{2(n_j + n_{j+1})}}. \quad (3.9)
\end{aligned}$$

The fact that the equivariant Euler class e_{n_1, d_1} of the normal to any stratum S_{n_1, d_1} in $\mathcal{C}$ is not a zero-divisor in $H_{\mathcal{G}}^*(S_{n_1, d_1})$ also gives us an alternative (and slightly simpler) version of the completeness criteria (Proposition 3.3 above) as follows.

Proposition 3.6 (*Modified Completeness Criteria*) Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a subset of the kernel of the restriction map

$$H_{\mathcal{G}}^*(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{G}}^*(\mathcal{C}^{ss}).$$

Suppose that for each pair of integers $(\hat{n}, \hat{d})$ with $0 < \hat{n} < n$ and $\frac{\hat{d}}{\hat{n}} > \frac{d}{n}$ there is a subset $\mathcal{R}_{\hat{n}, \hat{d}}$ of the ideal generated by $\mathcal{R}$ in $H_{\mathcal{G}}^*(\mathcal{C})$ such that the image of $\mathcal{R}_{\hat{n}, \hat{d}}$ under the restriction map

$$H_{\mathcal{G}}^*(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{G}}^*(S_{n_1, d_1})$$

is zero when $d_1/n_1 < \hat{d}/\hat{n}$ or $d_1/n_1 = \hat{d}/\hat{n}$ and $n_1 < \hat{n}$ and when $(n_1, d_1) = (\hat{n}, \hat{d})$ equals the ideal of $H_{\mathcal{G}}^*(S_{\hat{n}, \hat{d}})$ generated by the equivariant Euler class $e_{\hat{n}, \hat{d}}$ of the normal bundle to the stratum $S_{\hat{n}, \hat{d}}$ in $\mathcal{C}$. Then $\mathcal{R}$ generates the kernel of the restriction map

$$H_{\mathcal{G}}^*(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{G}}^*(\mathcal{C}^{ss})$$

as an ideal of $H_{\mathcal{G}}^*(\mathcal{C})$.

Proof: Suppose that $\eta \in H_{\mathcal{G}}^j(\mathcal{C})$ lies in the kernel of the restriction map $H_{\mathcal{G}}^*(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{G}}^*(\mathcal{C}^{ss})$. There are only finitely many pairs of integers $(\hat{n}, \hat{d})$ with $0 < \hat{n} < n$ and $\hat{d}/\hat{n} > d/n$ for which the real codimension

$$2\delta_{\hat{n}, \hat{d}} = 2(nd - \hat{n}d + \hat{n}(n - \hat{n})(g - 1))$$

of the stratum $S_{\hat{n}, \hat{d}}$ is at most j ; let these be

$$(\hat{n}_1, \hat{d}_1), (\hat{n}_2, \hat{d}_2), \dots, (\hat{n}_k, \hat{d}_k)$$

ordered so that $(\hat{n}_1, \hat{d}_1) = (n, d)$ and

$$\hat{d}_i/\hat{n}_i < \hat{d}_{i+1}/\hat{n}_{i+1} \quad \text{or} \quad \hat{d}_i/\hat{n}_i = \hat{d}_{i+1}/\hat{n}_{i+1} \text{ and } \hat{n}_i < \hat{n}_{i+1}$$

for $1 \leq i < k$. Then by Proposition 3.5 we have short exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{G}}^{j-2\delta_{\hat{n}_i, \hat{d}_i}}(S_{\hat{n}_i, \hat{d}_i}) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{G}}^j(U(\hat{n}_i, \hat{d}_i) \cup S_{\hat{n}_i, \hat{d}_i}) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{G}}^j(U(\hat{n}_i, \hat{d}_i)) \rightarrow 0 \quad (3.10)$$

for $1 \leq i < k$, where $U(\hat{n}_1, \hat{d}_1) = \mathcal{C}^{ss}$ and $U(\hat{n}_i, \hat{d}_i) \cup S_{\hat{n}_i, \hat{d}_i} = U(\hat{n}_{i+1}, \hat{d}_{i+1})$ and the restriction map

$$H_{\mathcal{G}}^j(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{G}}^j(U(\hat{n}_k, \hat{d}_k) \cup S_{\hat{n}_k, \hat{d}_k})$$

is an isomorphism.

By assumption η lies in the kernel of the restriction map $H_{\mathcal{G}}^*(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{G}}^*(\mathcal{C}^{ss})$ which is the composition of the restriction maps

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\mathcal{G}}^j(\mathcal{C}) &\rightarrow H_{\mathcal{G}}^j(U(\hat{n}_k, \hat{d}_k) \cup S_{\hat{n}_k, \hat{d}_k}) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{G}}^j(U(\hat{n}_k, \hat{d}_k)) \\ &\rightarrow H_{\mathcal{G}}^j(U(\hat{n}_{k-1}, \hat{d}_{k-1})) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{G}}^j(U(\hat{n}_1, \hat{d}_1)) = H_{\mathcal{G}}^j(\mathcal{C}^{ss}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore its image η_1 in $H_{\mathcal{G}}^j(U(\hat{n}_2, \hat{d}_2)) = H_{\mathcal{G}}^j(U(\hat{n}_1, \hat{d}_1) \cup S_{\hat{n}_1, \hat{d}_1})$ lies in the kernel of the restriction to $H_{\mathcal{G}}^j(U(\hat{n}_1, \hat{d}_1))$, which by (3.10) is the image of the Thom-Gysin map

$$TG : H_{\mathcal{G}}^{j-2\delta_{\hat{n}_1, \hat{d}_1}}(S_{\hat{n}_1, \hat{d}_1}) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{G}}^j(U(\hat{n}_1, \hat{d}_1) \cup S_{\hat{n}_1, \hat{d}_1}).$$

Let $\zeta_1 \in H_{\mathcal{G}}^{j-2\delta_{\hat{n}_1, \hat{d}_1}}(S_{\hat{n}_1, \hat{d}_1})$ be such that $\eta_1 = TG(\zeta_1)$; then by hypothesis there is some σ_1 in the ideal generated by $\mathcal{R}$ whose restriction to $\mathcal{C}^{ss}$ is 0 and whose restriction to $S_{\hat{n}_1, \hat{d}_1}$ is $\zeta_1 e_{\hat{n}_1, \hat{d}_1}$. Since σ_1 restricts to 0 in $\mathcal{C}^{ss} = U(\hat{n}_1, \hat{d}_1)$ it restricts to $TG(\xi_1)$ in $U(\hat{n}_2, \hat{d}_2)$ for some $\xi_1 \in H_{\mathcal{G}}^{j-2\delta_{\hat{n}_1, \hat{d}_1}}(S_{\hat{n}_1, \hat{d}_1})$. But then the restriction of σ_1 to $S_{\hat{n}_1, \hat{d}_1}$ is $\zeta_1 e_{\hat{n}_1, \hat{d}_1} = \xi_1 e_{\hat{n}_1, \hat{d}_1}$, and as $e_{\hat{n}_1, \hat{d}_1}$ is not a zero-divisor in $H_{\mathcal{G}}^*(S_{\hat{n}_1, \hat{d}_1})$ it follows that $\zeta = \xi$. Thus η and σ_1 both restrict to $TG(\zeta_1)$ in $H_{\mathcal{G}}^*(U(\hat{n}_2, \hat{d}_2))$, so the restriction of $\eta - \sigma_1$ to $H_{\mathcal{G}}^j(U(\hat{n}_3, \hat{d}_3))$ lies in the image of the Thom-Gysin map

$$TG : H_{\mathcal{G}}^{j-2\delta_{\hat{n}_2, \hat{d}_2}}(S_{\hat{n}_2, \hat{d}_2}) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{G}}^j(U(\hat{n}_2, \hat{d}_2) \cup S_{\hat{n}_2, \hat{d}_2}).$$

Repeating the argument above with $S_{\hat{n}_2, \hat{d}_2}$ replacing $S_{\hat{n}_1, \hat{d}_1}$ we find that there is some σ_2 in the ideal generated by $\mathcal{R}$ such that $\eta - \sigma_1 - \sigma_2$ restricts to 0 in $H_{\mathcal{G}}^j(U(\hat{n}_3, \hat{d}_3))$. Repeating the same argument k times gives us $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k$ in the ideal generated by $\mathcal{R}$ such that $\eta - \sigma_1 - \dots - \sigma_k$ restricts to 0 in

$$H_{\mathcal{G}}^j(U(\hat{n}_k, \hat{d}_k) \cup S_{\hat{n}_k, \hat{d}_k}) \cong H_{\mathcal{G}}^j(\mathcal{C}),$$

and so $\eta = \sigma_1 + \dots + \sigma_k$ lies in the ideal generated by $\mathcal{R}$ as required.

4 Diagonals

The modified completeness criteria of Proposition 3.6 tell us that for each pair of positive integers $(\hat{n}, \hat{d})$ with $0 < \hat{n} < n$ and $\frac{\hat{d}}{\hat{n}} > \frac{d}{n}$ we need to look for a set of relations whose restriction in $H_{\mathcal{G}}^*(S_{n_1, d_1})$ is zero when $d_1/n_1 < \hat{d}/\hat{n}$ or $d_1/n_1 = \hat{d}/\hat{n}$ and $n_1 < \hat{n}$, and when $(n_1, d_1) = (\hat{n}, \hat{d})$ equals the ideal of $H_{\mathcal{G}}^*(S_{\hat{n}, \hat{d}})$ generated by the equivariant Euler class $e_{\hat{n}, \hat{d}}$ of the normal to $S_{\hat{n}, \hat{d}}$ in $\mathcal{C}$. Recall from (3.6) that

$$H_{\mathcal{G}}^*(S_{n_1, d_1}) \cong H_{\mathcal{G}(n_1, d_1)}^*(\mathcal{C}(n_1, d_1)^{ss}) \otimes H_{\mathcal{G}(n-n_1, d-d_1)}^*(U(n_1, d_1))$$

where

$$U(n_1, d_1) = \bigcup_{\frac{d_2}{n_2} < \frac{d_1}{n_1}} S(n - n_1, d - d_1)_{n_2, d_2}$$

is an open subset of $\mathcal{C}(n - n_1, d - d_1)$. Moreover it follows from Proposition 3.5 and Remark 3.2 that the restriction map

$$H_{\mathcal{G}(n-n_1, d-d_1)}^*(\mathcal{C}(n - n_1, d - d_1)) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{G}(n-n_1, d-d_1)}^*(U(n_1, d_1))$$

is surjective. Thus given

$$\eta \in H_{\mathcal{G}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})}^*(\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^{ss}) \otimes H_{\mathcal{G}(n-\hat{n}, d-\hat{d})}^*(\mathcal{C}(n - \hat{n}, d - \hat{d}))$$

it suffices to find a relation whose image under the restriction map

$$H_{\mathcal{G}}^*(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{G}(n_1, d_1)}^*(\mathcal{C}(n_1, d_1)^{ss}) \otimes H_{\mathcal{G}(n-n_1, d-d_1)}^*(U(n_1, d_1))$$

is zero when $d_1/n_1 < \hat{d}/\hat{n}$ or $d_1/n_1 = \hat{d}/\hat{n}$ and $n_1 < \hat{n}$, and when $(n_1, d_1) = (\hat{n}, \hat{d})$ equals

$$\eta e_{\hat{n}, \hat{d}}$$

(or, more precisely, the product of the restriction of η to $\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^{ss} \times U(\hat{n}, \hat{d})$ with $e_{\hat{n}, \hat{d}}$.)

By Lefschetz duality [50, p.297], since $\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^s / \overline{\mathcal{G}}(\hat{n}, \hat{d}) = \mathcal{M}^s(\hat{n}, \hat{d})$ is a manifold of dimension

$$D(\hat{n}, \hat{d}) = 2[(\hat{n}^2 - 1)(g - 1) + g] = 2(\hat{n}^2 g - \hat{n}^2 + 1)$$

we have a natural map

$$LD : H_*^{\overline{\mathcal{G}}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})}(\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^s) \cong H_*(\mathcal{M}^s(\hat{n}, \hat{d})) \rightarrow H_{\overline{\mathcal{G}}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})}^{D(\hat{n}, \hat{d})-*}(\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^{ss}) \quad (4.1)$$

such that if $\gamma \in H_*^{\overline{\mathcal{G}}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})}(\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^s)$ then $LD(\gamma)$ is the element of the dual of

$$H_{D(\hat{n}, \hat{d})-*}^{\overline{\mathcal{G}}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})}(\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^{ss})$$

which takes a $\overline{\mathcal{G}}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})$ -equivariant cycle on $\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^{ss}$ to its intersection, modulo $\overline{\mathcal{G}}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})$, with γ . This makes sense because $\overline{\mathcal{G}}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})$ acts freely on $\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^s$ with quotient the manifold $\mathcal{M}^s(\hat{n}, \hat{d})$; thus modulo $\overline{\mathcal{G}}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})$ we can make the intersection transverse and count the number of intersection points with signs in the usual way.

In this section we shall prove that if $\eta = LD(\gamma)$ where $\gamma \in H_*^{\overline{\mathcal{G}}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})}(\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^s)$ and we identify $H_{\overline{\mathcal{G}}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})}^*(\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^{ss})$ with its image in

$$H_{\mathcal{G}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})}^*(\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^{ss}) \otimes H_{\mathcal{G}(n-\hat{n}, d-\hat{d})}^*(\mathcal{C}(n-\hat{n}, d-\hat{d})^s),$$

then the relations given by the slant products

$$c_r(-\pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V})) \backslash \gamma$$

with $r \geq n\hat{n}(g-1) + \hat{n}d - n\hat{d} + 1$ will have the required properties.

Remark 4.1 When $\hat{n}$ and $\hat{d}$ are coprime then $\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^{ss}$ equals $\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^s$ and its quotient by $\overline{\mathcal{G}}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})$, namely $\mathcal{M}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})$, is a compact manifold. In this case the Lefschetz duality map is essentially Poincaré duality and the map LD is an isomorphism. When $\hat{n}$ and $\hat{d}$ are not coprime we will have more work to do to find suitable relations for all $\eta \in H_{\overline{\mathcal{G}}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})}^*(\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^{ss})$.

First we prove:

Lemma 4.2 *If $\gamma \in H_*^{\overline{\mathcal{G}}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})}(\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^s)$ where $\hat{d}/\hat{n} > d/n$, and if $r \geq r(\hat{n}, \hat{d})$ where $r(\hat{n}, \hat{d}) = n\hat{n}(g-1) + \hat{n}d - n\hat{d} + 1$, then the image of the slant product*

$$c_r(-\pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V})) \backslash \gamma$$

under the restriction map

$$H_{\mathcal{G}}^*(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{G}}^*(S_{n_1, d_1}) \cong H_{\mathcal{G}(n_1, d_1)}^*(\mathcal{C}(n_1, d_1)^{ss}) \otimes H_{\mathcal{G}(n-n_1, d-d_1)}^*(U(n_1, d_1))$$

is zero when $d_1/n_1 < \hat{d}/\hat{n}$, and also when $d_1/n_1 = \hat{d}/\hat{n}$ and $n_1 < \hat{n}$.

Proof: Let $\hat{E}$ be a stable bundle of rank $\hat{n}$ and degree $\hat{d}$, and let $E = D \oplus C$ where D is semistable of rank n_1 and degree d_1 and C has rank $n - n_1$ and degree $d - d_1$ and coarse type (n_2, d_2) where $d_2/n_2 < d_1/n_1$. If $d_1/n_1 < \hat{d}/\hat{n}$ there are no nonzero bundle maps from $\hat{E}$ to D , since $\hat{E}$ and D are both semistable, and if $d_1/n_1 = \hat{d}/\hat{n}$ then, because $\hat{E}$ is stable, the only nonzero bundle maps from $\hat{E}$ to D are injections which cannot exist if $n_1 < \hat{n}$ [44, 5.1, 5.2]. Thus $H^0(\Sigma, \hat{E}^* \otimes D) = 0$. Moreover since C has coarse type (n_2, d_2) where

$$\frac{d_2}{n_2} < \frac{d_1}{n_1} \leq \frac{\hat{d}}{\hat{n}},$$

the Harder-Narasimhan filtration

$$0 = C_0 \subset C_1 \subset \cdots \subset C_s = C$$

of C has semistable subquotients C_j/C_{j-1} of rank m_j and degree c_j satisfying

$$\frac{c_j}{m_j} \leq \frac{c_1}{m_1} = \frac{d_2}{n_2} < \frac{d_1}{n_1}.$$

Therefore if $d_1/n_1 \leq \hat{d}/\hat{n}$, the projection of any bundle map $\theta : \hat{E} \rightarrow C$ onto C/C_{s-1} is zero, so θ maps $\hat{E}$ into C_{s-1} , but then the projection of θ onto C_{s-1}/C_{s-2} is zero, so θ maps $\hat{E}$ into C_{s-2} , and inductively we find that $\theta = 0$. Hence $H^0(\Sigma, \hat{E}^* \otimes C) = 0$ and

$$H^0(\Sigma, \hat{E}^* \otimes E) = H^0(\Sigma, \hat{E}^* \otimes C) \oplus H^0(\Sigma, \hat{E}^* \otimes D) = 0.$$

Therefore by the Riemann-Roch theorem the restriction of $-\pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V})$ to

$$\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^s \times \mathcal{C}(n_1, d_1)^{ss} \times U(n_1, d_1)$$

is a bundle of rank $n\hat{n}(g-1) + \hat{n}d - n\hat{d}$; so if r is strictly greater than this value the image of the r th equivariant Chern class $c_r(-\pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V}))$ in

$$H_{\mathcal{G}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})}^*(\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^s) \otimes H_{\mathcal{G}(n_1, d_1)}^*(\mathcal{C}(n_1, d_1)^{ss}) \otimes H_{\mathcal{G}(n-n_1, d-d_1)}^*(U(n_1, d_1))$$

is zero. The result follows.

Definition 4.3 Let $\hat{a}_1, a_1^{(1)}$ and $a_1^{(2)}$ be the generators of the first, second and third copies of the polynomial ring $H^*(BU(1))$ in

$$H_{\mathcal{G}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})}^*(\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^s) \otimes H_{\mathcal{G}(n_1, d_1)}^*(\mathcal{C}(n_1, d_1)^{ss}) \otimes H_{\mathcal{G}(n-n_1, d-d_1)}^*(U(n_1, d_1))$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\mathcal{G}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})}^*(\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^s) &\cong H^*(BU(1)) \otimes H_{\mathcal{G}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})}^*(\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^s), \\ H_{\mathcal{G}(n_1, d_1)}^*(\mathcal{C}(n_1, d_1)^{ss}) &\cong H^*(BU(1)) \otimes H_{\mathcal{G}(n_1, d_1)}^*(\mathcal{C}(n_1, d_1)^{ss}), \\ H_{\mathcal{G}(n-n_1, d-d_1)}^*(U(n_1, d_1)) &\cong H^*(BU(1)) \otimes H_{\mathcal{G}(n-n_1, d-d_1)}^*(U(n_1, d_1)). \end{aligned}$$

Note that the restriction of $a_1 \in H_{\mathcal{G}(n, d)}^*(\mathcal{C}(n, d))$ to $\mathcal{C}(n_1, d_1)^{ss} \times U(n_1, d_1)$ is $a_1^{(1)} + a_1^{(2)}$.

Definition 4.4 Let $\mathcal{V}_1$ and $\mathcal{V}_2$ be the universal bundles over $\mathcal{C}(n_1, d_1)$ and $\mathcal{C}(n - n_1, d - d_1)$, so that the restriction of $\mathcal{V}$ to $\mathcal{C}(n_1, d_1)^{ss} \times U(n_1, d_1)$ is $\mathcal{V}_1 \oplus \mathcal{V}_2$.

We need to consider the restriction of a relation of the form $c_r(-\pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V})) \backslash \gamma$ to $H_{\mathcal{G}}^*(S_{\hat{n}, \hat{d}})$; that is, we consider the case when $(\hat{n}, \hat{d}) = (n_1, d_1)$.

Proposition 4.5 *If $\gamma \in H_{\mathcal{G}}^{\bar{\mathcal{G}}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})}(\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^s)$ where $\hat{d}/\hat{n} > d/n$, and if $r = r(\hat{n}, \hat{d}) + j$ where*

$$r(\hat{n}, \hat{d}) = n\hat{n}(g - 1) + \hat{n}d - n\hat{d} + 1,$$

then the image of the slant product $c_r(-\pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V})) \backslash \gamma$ under the restriction map

$$H_{\mathcal{G}}^*(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{G}}^*(S_{\hat{n}, \hat{d}}) \cong H_{\mathcal{G}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})}^*(\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^{ss}) \otimes H_{\mathcal{G}(n - \hat{n}, d - \hat{d})}^*(U(\hat{n}, \hat{d}))$$

is the product

$$(-a_1^{(1)})^j LD(\gamma) e_{\hat{n}, \hat{d}}$$

of the equivariant Euler class $e_{\hat{n}, \hat{d}}$ of the normal bundle to $S_{\hat{n}, \hat{d}}$ in $\mathcal{C}$ with the image of γ under the Lefschetz duality map

$$LD : H_{\mathcal{G}}^{\bar{\mathcal{G}}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})}(\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^s) \cong H^*(\mathcal{M}^s(\hat{n}, \hat{d})) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{G}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})}^{D(\hat{n}, \hat{d}) - *}(\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^{ss})$$

and j copies of minus the generator $a_1^{(1)} \in H_{\mathcal{G}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})}^*(\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^{ss})$.

The proof of Proposition 4.5 is based on the following three lemmas.

Lemma 4.6 *The restriction of the equivariant Chern polynomial*

$$c(-\pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V}))(t) = \sum_{k \geq 0} c_k(-\pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V})) t^k$$

to $\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^s \times \mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^{ss} \times U(\hat{n}, \hat{d})$ equals the product

$$c(-\pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V}_1))(t) c(-\pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V}_2))(t)$$

where $c(-\pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V}_2))(t)$ is a polynomial in t of degree at most

$$\hat{n}(n - \hat{n})(g - 1) + \hat{n}d - n\hat{d}$$

as predicted by the Riemann-Roch theorem. Moreover the restriction of the Chern polynomial $c(-\pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V}_1))(t)$ to the complement in $\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^s \times \mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^{ss} \times U(\hat{n}, \hat{d})$ of $\Delta^s \times U(\hat{n}, \hat{d})$ where

$$\Delta^s = \{(F_1, F_2) \in \mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^s \times \mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^{ss} : F_1 \cong F_2\}$$

is a polynomial in t of degree at most $\hat{n}^2(g - 1)$ as predicted by Riemann-Roch.

Lemma 4.7 *There is a line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ over Δ^s with first Chern class*

$$c_1(\mathcal{L}) = a_1^{(1)} - \hat{a}_1$$

such that

$$\hat{\mathcal{V}}|_{\Delta^s \times U(\hat{n}, \hat{d}) \times \Sigma} \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{L} \cong \mathcal{V}_1|_{\Delta^s \times U(\hat{n}, \hat{d}) \times \Sigma}$$

and hence

$$\pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V}_2)|_{\Delta^s \times U(\hat{n}, \hat{d})} \cong \mathcal{L} \otimes \pi_!(\mathcal{V}_1^* \otimes \mathcal{V}_2)|_{\Delta^s \times U(\hat{n}, \hat{d})}.$$

Moreover the codimension of Δ^s in $\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^s \times \mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^{ss}$ is $\hat{n}^2(g-1) + 1$ and its normal bundle has equivariant Chern polynomial

$$c(-\pi_!(\mathcal{V}_1^* \otimes \mathcal{V}_1))(t) = c(-\pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \hat{\mathcal{V}}))(t) = c(-\mathcal{L}^* \otimes \pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V}_1))(t).$$

Lemma 4.8 *If $j \geq 0$ then the restriction to $\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^s \times \mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^{ss} \times U(\hat{n}, \hat{d})$ of*

$$c_{\hat{n}^2(g-1)+j+1}(-\pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V}_1))$$

is

$$(\hat{a}_1 - a_1^{(1)})^j [\Delta^s \times U(\hat{n}, \hat{d})]$$

where $[\Delta^s \times U(\hat{n}, \hat{d})]$ is the equivariant cohomology class in

$$H_{\mathcal{G}(\hat{n}, \hat{d}) \times \mathcal{G}(\hat{n}, \hat{d}) \times \mathcal{G}(n-\hat{n}, d-\hat{d})}^*(\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^s \times \mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^{ss} \times U(\hat{n}, \hat{d}))$$

dual to the closed subvariety $\Delta^s \times U(\hat{n}, \hat{d})$ of $\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^s \times \mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^{ss} \times U(\hat{n}, \hat{d})$. The restriction of

$$c_{r(\hat{n}, \hat{d})+j}(-\pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V}))$$

is

$$e_{\hat{n}, \hat{d}}(\hat{a}_1 - a_1^{(1)})^j [\Delta^s \times U(\hat{n}, \hat{d})]$$

where $e_{\hat{n}, \hat{d}} = c_{\hat{n}(n-\hat{n})(g-1)+\hat{n}d-\hat{n}\hat{d}}(-\pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}_1^* \otimes \mathcal{V}_2))$ is the equivariant Euler class of the normal bundle to $S_{\hat{n}, \hat{d}}$ in $\mathcal{C}$.

Proof of Proposition 4.5 given Lemma 4.8: We need to show that if $r = r(\hat{n}, \hat{d}) + j$ and $\gamma \in H_*^{\overline{\mathcal{G}}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})}(\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^s)$ then the restriction to

$$H_{\mathcal{G}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})}^*(\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^{ss}) \otimes H_{\mathcal{G}(n-\hat{n}, d-\hat{d})}^*(U(\hat{n}, \hat{d}))$$

of the slant product

$$c_r(-\pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V})) \backslash \gamma$$

equals $(-a_1^{(1)})^j LD(\gamma) e_{\hat{n}, \hat{d}}$. By Lemma 4.8 it suffices to show that the slant product

$$e_{\hat{n}, \hat{d}}(\hat{a}_1 - a_1^{(1)})^j [\Delta^s \times U(\hat{n}, \hat{d})] \backslash \gamma$$

equals $(-a_1^{(1)})^j LD(\gamma)e_{\hat{n},\hat{d}}$, where by abuse of notation $e_{\hat{n},\hat{d}}$ is being used to denote cohomology classes on two different spaces. By the definition of the slant product

$$H^*(A \times B) \otimes H_*(B) \rightarrow H^*(A)$$

we have

$$\langle c \backslash b, a \rangle = \langle c, a \times b \rangle$$

for all $a \in H_*(A), b \in H_*(B)$ and $c \in H^*(A \times B)$, where $\langle, \rangle$ denotes the natural pairing between cohomology and homology [50, p.287]. Note that the slant product with any $\gamma \in H_*^{\overline{\mathcal{G}}(\hat{n},\hat{d})}(\mathcal{C}(\hat{n},\hat{d})^s)$ of any multiple of $\hat{a}_1$ is zero, because multiples of the generator $\hat{a}_1$ of $H^*(BU(1))$ in

$$H_{\mathcal{G}(\hat{n},\hat{d})}^*(\mathcal{C}(\hat{n},\hat{d})^s) \cong H^*(BU(1)) \otimes H_{\overline{\mathcal{G}}(\hat{n},\hat{d})}^*(\mathcal{C}(\hat{n},\hat{d})^s)$$

annihilate $H_*^{\overline{\mathcal{G}}(\hat{n},\hat{d})}(\mathcal{C}(\hat{n},\hat{d})^s)$. Thus we need to show that

$$((-a_1^{(1)})^j e_{\hat{n},\hat{d}}[\Delta^s \times U(\hat{n},\hat{d})] \backslash \gamma = (-a_1^{(1)})^j e_{\hat{n},\hat{d}} LD(\gamma),$$

or equivalently that

$$\langle (-a_1^{(1)})^j e_{\hat{n},\hat{d}}[\Delta^s \times U(\hat{n},\hat{d})], a \times \gamma \rangle = \langle (-a_1^{(1)})^j LD(\gamma)e_{\hat{n},\hat{d}}, a \rangle$$

for all $a \in H_*^{\mathcal{G}(\hat{n},\hat{d}) \times \mathcal{G}(n-\hat{n},d-\hat{d})}(\mathcal{C}(\hat{n},\hat{d})^{ss} \times U(\hat{n},\hat{d}))$. But

$$\langle (-a_1^{(1)})^j e_{\hat{n},\hat{d}}[\Delta^s \times U(\hat{n},\hat{d})], a \times \gamma \rangle = \langle (-a_1^{(1)})^j e_{\hat{n},\hat{d}}|_{\Delta^s \times U(\hat{n},\hat{d})}, (a \times \gamma) \cap (\Delta^s \times U(\hat{n},\hat{d})) \rangle$$

by the definition of the cohomology class $[\Delta^s \times U(\hat{n},\hat{d})]$ dual to the closed subvariety $\Delta^s \times U(\hat{n},\hat{d})$ of $\mathcal{C}(\hat{n},\hat{d})^s \times \mathcal{C}(\hat{n},\hat{d})^{ss} \times U(\hat{n},\hat{d})$. On the right hand side here, we are working with the $\mathcal{G}(\hat{n},\hat{d}) \times \mathcal{G}(\hat{n},\hat{d}) \times \mathcal{G}(n-\hat{n},d-\hat{d})$ -equivariant cohomology and homology of $\Delta^s \times U(\hat{n},\hat{d})$. As above we identify this with the tensor product of the cohomology (respectively homology) of $BU(1) \times BU(1)$ and the $\overline{\mathcal{G}}(\hat{n},\hat{d}) \times \overline{\mathcal{G}}(\hat{n},\hat{d}) \times \mathcal{G}(n-\hat{n},d-\hat{d})$ -equivariant cohomology (respectively homology) of $\Delta^s \times U(\hat{n},\hat{d})$. The action of $\overline{\mathcal{G}}(\hat{n},\hat{d}) \times \overline{\mathcal{G}}(\hat{n},\hat{d})$ on Δ^s is free and its quotient, the diagonal in $\mathcal{M}^s(\hat{n},\hat{d}) \times \mathcal{M}^s(\hat{n},\hat{d})$, can be identified naturally with $\mathcal{M}^s(\hat{n},\hat{d})$. Therefore we can identify the $\mathcal{G}(\hat{n},\hat{d}) \times \mathcal{G}(\hat{n},\hat{d}) \times \mathcal{G}(n-\hat{n},d-\hat{d})$ -equivariant cohomology and homology of $\Delta^s \times U(\hat{n},\hat{d})$ naturally with the $\mathcal{G}(n-\hat{n},d-\hat{d})$ -equivariant cohomology of $\mathcal{M}^s(\hat{n},\hat{d}) \times U(\hat{n},\hat{d})$. The intersection of a product $a \times b$ of cycles in $H_*(A)$ with the diagonal in $A \times A$ becomes the intersection $a \cap b$ when the diagonal is identified with A , and under Lefschetz duality the intersection (or cap) product $\cap$ corresponds to the cup product of cohomology, so we get

$$\langle (-a_1^{(1)})^j e_{\hat{n},\hat{d}}|_{\Delta^s \times U(\hat{n},\hat{d})}, (a \times \gamma) \cap (\Delta^s \times U(\hat{n},\hat{d})) \rangle = \langle (-a_1^{(1)})^j LD(\gamma)e_{\hat{n},\hat{d}}, a \rangle$$

for any $\gamma \in H_*^{\overline{\mathcal{G}}(\hat{n},\hat{d})} \cong H_*(\mathcal{M}^s(\hat{n},\hat{d}))$. This completes the proof of Proposition 4.5, given Lemmas 4.6, 4.7, 4.8.

Proof of Lemma 4.6: First note that the restriction of the equivariant Chern polynomial

$$c(-\pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V}))(t) = \sum_{k \geq 0} c_k(-\pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V}))t^k$$

to $\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^s \times (\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^{ss} \times U(\hat{n}, \hat{d}))$ equals the product

$$c(-\pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V}_1))(t)c(-\pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V}_2))(t)$$

where $\mathcal{V}_1 \oplus \mathcal{V}_2$ is the restriction of $\mathcal{V}$ to $\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^{ss} \times U(\hat{n}, \hat{d})$. Since $\hat{d}/\hat{n} > d/n$ we have $\hat{d}/\hat{n} > (d - \hat{d})/(n - \hat{n})$, and so if F_1 and F_2 are semistable bundles of ranks $\hat{n}$ and $n - \hat{n}$ and degrees $\hat{d}$ and $d - \hat{d}$ respectively then $H^0(\Sigma, F_1^* \otimes F_2) = 0$. Hence $c(-\pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V}_2))(t)$ is a polynomial whose degree is given by the Riemann-Roch theorem to be at most

$$\hat{n}(n - \hat{n})(g - 1) + \hat{n}(d - \hat{d}) - (n - \hat{n})\hat{d} = \hat{n}(n - \hat{n})(g - 1) + \hat{n}d - n\hat{d}.$$

On the other hand if F_1 and F_2 are both semistable of the same rank and degree, and one of them is stable, then $H^0(\Sigma, F_1^* \otimes F_2) = 0$ unless $F_1 \cong F_2$ in which case $H^0(\Sigma, F_1^* \otimes F_2) = \mathbb{C}$ [44, Lemma 5.1]. Thus the restriction of $c(-\pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V}_1))(t)$ to the complement in

$$\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^s \times \mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^{ss} \times U(\hat{n}, \hat{d})$$

of $\Delta^s \times U(\hat{n}, \hat{d})$ where

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^s &= \{(F_1, F_2) \in \mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^s \times \mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^{ss} : F_1 \cong F_2\}, \\ &= \{(F_1, F_2) \in \mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^s \times \mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^s : F_1 \cong F_2\}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

is a polynomial whose degree is given by the Riemann-Roch formula to be at most $\hat{n}^2(g - 1)$.

Proof of Lemma 4.7: By definition Δ^s is the inverse image of the diagonal in $\mathcal{M}^s(\hat{n}, \hat{d}) \times \mathcal{M}^s(\hat{n}, \hat{d})$ under the quotient map

$$\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^s \times \mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^s \rightarrow \frac{\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^s \times \mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^s}{\mathcal{G}(\hat{n}, \hat{d}) \times \mathcal{G}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})} = \mathcal{M}^s(\hat{n}, \hat{d}) \times \mathcal{M}^s(\hat{n}, \hat{d}).$$

Therefore its codimension is $\dim \mathcal{M}^s(\hat{n}, \hat{d}) = \hat{n}^2(g - 1) + 1$ and its normal bundle in $\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^s \times \mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^s$ is the pullback of the normal bundle to the diagonal in $\mathcal{M}^s(\hat{n}, \hat{d})$, whose Chern polynomial is just the Chern polynomial of the tangent bundle $T\mathcal{M}^s(\hat{n}, \hat{d})$ when the diagonal is identified with $\mathcal{M}^s(\hat{n}, \hat{d})$ in the obvious way.

Now the constant central subgroup $U(1)$ of $\mathcal{G}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})$ acts trivially on $\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^s$, the quotient $\overline{\mathcal{G}}(\hat{n}, \hat{d}) = \mathcal{G}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})/U(1)$ acts freely with quotient $\mathcal{M}^s(\hat{n}, \hat{d})$ and we have a canonical isomorphism [1, p.577]

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\mathcal{G}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})}^*(\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^s) &\cong H_{\overline{\mathcal{G}}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})}^*(\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^s) \otimes H^*(BU(1)) \\ &\cong H^*(\mathcal{M}^s(\hat{n}, \hat{d})) \otimes H^*(BU(1)). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly the central subgroup $U(1) \times U(1)$ of $\mathcal{G}(\hat{n}, \hat{d}) \times \mathcal{G}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})$ acts trivially on Δ^s (and on its normal bundle), the quotient $\overline{\mathcal{G}}(\hat{n}, \hat{d}) \times \overline{\mathcal{G}}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})$ acts freely with quotient $\mathcal{M}^s(\hat{n}, \hat{d})$ and we have

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\mathcal{G}(\hat{n}, \hat{d}) \times \mathcal{G}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})}^*(\Delta^s) &\cong H_{\overline{\mathcal{G}}(\hat{n}, \hat{d}) \times \overline{\mathcal{G}}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})}^*(\Delta^s) \otimes H^*(BU(1)) \otimes H^*(BU(1)) \\ &\cong H^*(\mathcal{M}^s(\hat{n}, \hat{d})) \otimes H^*(BU(1)) \otimes H^*(BU(1)). \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

By [1, p.582], in K-theory we may write

$$T\mathcal{M}^s(\hat{n}, \hat{d}) = 1 - \pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \hat{\mathcal{V}})$$

if we note that $U(1)$ acts as scalar multiplication on the fibres of $\hat{\mathcal{V}}$ and hence acts trivially on $\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \hat{\mathcal{V}}$, so that $\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \hat{\mathcal{V}}$ descends to a bundle over $\mathcal{M}^s(\hat{n}, \hat{d})$. Thus the normal bundle to Δ^s has equivariant Chern polynomial

$$c(1 - \pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \hat{\mathcal{V}}))(t) = c(-\pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \hat{\mathcal{V}}))(t), \quad (4.4)$$

which lies in the component

$$H_{\bar{\mathcal{G}}(\hat{n}, \hat{d}) \times \bar{\mathcal{G}}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})}^*(\Delta^s) \cong H^*(\mathcal{M}^s(\hat{n}, \hat{d}))$$

of $H_{\mathcal{G}(\hat{n}, \hat{d}) \times \mathcal{G}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})}^*(\Delta^s)$ as decomposed at (4.3) above. Note that the rank of this normal bundle is $\hat{n}^2(g-1) + 1$.

The only endomorphisms of a stable bundle are multiplication by scalars [44, Lemma 5.1], so if $(F_1, F_2) \in \Delta^s$ then $H^0(\Sigma, F_1^* \otimes F_2) \cong \mathbb{C}$. It follows from this that there is a line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ over Δ^s whose fibre at $(F_1, F_2) \in \Delta^s$ is $H^0(\Sigma, \hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V}_1|_{\{F_1\} \times \{F_2\} \times \Sigma})$, and the restriction of $\mathcal{L}$ to the diagonal in $\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^s \times \mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^s$ is trivial. The action of $\mathcal{G}(\hat{n}, \hat{d}) \times \mathcal{G}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})$ on Δ^s lifts naturally to $\mathcal{L}$, and if t_1 and t_2 lie in the constant central subgroup $U(1)$ of $\mathcal{G}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})$ then $(t_1, t_2) \in \mathcal{G}(\hat{n}, \hat{d}) \times \mathcal{G}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})$ acts on the fibres of $\mathcal{L}$ as scalar multiplication by $t_1^{-1}t_2$. Thus the $\mathcal{G}(\hat{n}, \hat{d}) \times \mathcal{G}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})$ -equivariant Chern class of $\mathcal{L}$ is given by

$$c_1(\mathcal{L}) = a_1^{(1)} - \hat{a}_1,$$

where $\hat{a}_1, a_1^{(1)}$ and $a_1^{(2)}$ are the generators of the first, second and third copies of the polynomial ring $H^*(BU(1))$ in

$$H_{\mathcal{G}(\hat{n}, \hat{d}) \times \mathcal{G}(\hat{n}, \hat{d}) \times \mathcal{G}(n-\hat{n}, d-\hat{d})}^*(\Delta^s \times U(\hat{n}, \hat{d})),$$

so that the restriction of a_1 equals $a_1^{(1)} + a_1^{(2)}$ (see Definition 4.4 above). There is a natural isomorphism

$$\hat{\mathcal{V}}|_{\Delta^s \times U(\hat{n}, \hat{d}) \times \Sigma} \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{L} \cong \mathcal{V}_1|_{\Delta^s \times U(\hat{n}, \hat{d}) \times \Sigma}$$

of bundles over $\Delta^s \times U(\hat{n}, \hat{d}) \times \Sigma$, where $\pi^* \mathcal{L}$ is the pullback to $\Delta^s \times \Sigma$ of the line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ over Δ^s . (By abuse of notation we are using π to denote the projection from $X \times \Sigma$ to X for several different spaces X .) Hence

$$\pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V}_2)|_{\Delta^s \times U(\hat{n}, \hat{d})} \cong \mathcal{L} \otimes \pi_!(\mathcal{V}_1^* \otimes \mathcal{V}_2)|_{\Delta^s \times U(\hat{n}, \hat{d})},$$

and

$$\pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \hat{\mathcal{V}})|_{\Delta^s \times U(\hat{n}, \hat{d})} \cong \pi_!(\mathcal{V}_1^* \otimes \mathcal{V}_1)|_{\Delta^s \times U(\hat{n}, \hat{d})} \cong \mathcal{L}^* \otimes \pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V}_1)|_{\Delta^s \times U(\hat{n}, \hat{d})}.$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 4.7.

Proof of Lemma 4.8: To prove Lemma 4.8 we use Porteous' Formula [2, p.86], [14, §14.4], as in Beauville's proof [3] of the theorem of Atiyah and Bott that the classes a_r, b_r^j, f_r generate

$H^*(\mathcal{M}(n, d))$ (cf. [52] and [13]). We can choose an effective divisor D on Σ with no multiple points such that for all semistable bundles F_1 and F_2 of rank $\hat{n}$ and degree $\hat{d}$ we have

$$H^1(\Sigma, F_1^* \otimes F_2 \otimes \mathcal{O}(D)) = 0.$$

Then tensoring the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(D) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_D \rightarrow 0$$

with $\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V}_1$ over $\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^s \times \mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^{ss} \times U(\hat{n}, \hat{d}) \times \Sigma$ gives us

$$\pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V}_1) \rightarrow \pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V}_1 \otimes \mathcal{O}(D)) \rightarrow \pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V}_1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_D) \quad (4.5)$$

corresponding to the standard long exact sequence of cohomology. By our choice of D the second and third terms in the sequence (4.5) are vector bundles over $\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^s \times \mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^{ss} \times U(\hat{n}, \hat{d})$ which we will call $\mathcal{W}_1$ and $\mathcal{W}_2$. Then

$$c(-\pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V}_1))(t) = c(\mathcal{W}_2 - \mathcal{W}_1)(t). \quad (4.6)$$

Moreover, by the argument above, the kernel of the map $\mathcal{W}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_2$ is a skyscraper sheaf supported on $\Delta^s \times U(\hat{n}, \hat{d})$. Therefore $\Delta^s \times U(\hat{n}, \hat{d})$ is the degeneracy locus of the map $\mathcal{W}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_2$, and by Riemann-Roch the expected codimension

$$\text{rank } \mathcal{W}_2 - \text{rank } \mathcal{W}_1 + 1$$

of the degeneracy locus is equal to its actual codimension $\hat{n}^2(g-1) + 1$ (see Lemma 4.7). Therefore by Porteous' Formula the Poincaré dual of $\Delta^s \times U(\hat{n}, \hat{d})$ in

$$H_{\mathcal{G}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})}^*(\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^s) \otimes H_{\mathcal{G}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})}^*(\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^{ss}) \otimes H_{\mathcal{G}(n-\hat{n}, d-\hat{d})}^*(U(\hat{n}, \hat{d}))$$

is

$$c_{\hat{n}^2(g-1)+1}(\mathcal{W}_2 - \mathcal{W}_1) = c_{\hat{n}^2(g-1)+1}(-\pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V}_1)).$$

In other words we have shown that the restriction of $c_{\hat{n}^2(g-1)+1}(-\pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V}_1))$ to $\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^s \times \mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^{ss} \times U(\hat{n}, \hat{d})$ is the equivariant cohomology class $[\Delta^s \times U(\hat{n}, \hat{d})]$ defined by $\Delta^s \times U(\hat{n}, \hat{d})$ in

$$H_{\mathcal{G}(\hat{n}, \hat{d}) \times \mathcal{G}(\hat{n}, \hat{d}) \times \mathcal{G}(n-\hat{n}, d-\hat{d})}^{\hat{n}^2(g-1)+1}(\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^s \times \mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^{ss} \times U(\hat{n}, \hat{d})).$$

We can express the higher Chern classes of $-\pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V}_1)$ in a similar way using Fulton's excess Porteous formula [14, p.258]. We have been considering the degeneracy locus of the map $\mathcal{W}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_2$ (defined as the locus where the rank of the map is strictly less than $\text{rank } \mathcal{W}_1$). More generally for any $k \leq \min\{\text{rank } \mathcal{W}_1, \text{rank } \mathcal{W}_2\}$ we can consider the k th degeneracy locus where the rank of the map is at most k . But we know that if F_1 and F_2 are both semistable bundles of rank $\hat{n}$ and degree $\hat{d}$ and one of them is stable, then $H^0(\Sigma, F_1^* \otimes F_2) = 0$ unless $F_1 \cong F_2$ in which case $H^0(\Sigma, F_1^* \otimes F_2) \cong \mathbb{C}$. Thus the rank of the map $\mathcal{W}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_2$ is at least $\text{rank } \mathcal{W}_1 - 1$ everywhere on $\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^s \times \mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^{ss} \times U(\hat{n}, \hat{d})$, so the k th degeneracy locus of the map $\mathcal{W}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_2$ is empty for any $k < \text{rank } \mathcal{W}_1 - 1$. The same is of course true of the map $\mathcal{W}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_2 \oplus \mathbb{C}^j$ given by composing $\mathcal{W}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_2$ with the inclusion $\mathcal{W}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_2 \oplus \mathbb{C}^j$ for any $j > 0$. This means that

we can apply the excess Porteous formula (see [14], Examples 14.4.7 and 14.4.1) to this map $\mathcal{W}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_2 \oplus \mathbb{C}^j$. Since the Chern polynomial of $\mathcal{W}_2 \oplus \mathbb{C}^j$ is the same as that of $\mathcal{W}_2$, we obtain

$$c_{\hat{n}^2(g-1)+j+1}(\mathcal{W}_2 \ominus \mathcal{W}_1) = c_j(\mathcal{L}^* \otimes (\mathcal{F} \oplus \mathbb{C}^j) \ominus \mathcal{N})[\Delta^s \times U(\hat{n}, \hat{d})] \quad (4.7)$$

where the restriction of $\mathcal{W}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_2$ to $\Delta^s \times U(\hat{n}, \hat{d})$ fits into an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0 \quad (4.8)$$

and $\mathcal{N}$ is the normal bundle to $\Delta^s \times U(\hat{n}, \hat{d})$ in $\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^s \times \mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^{ss} \times U(\hat{n}, \hat{d})$. Here the kernel $\mathcal{L}$ is of course the line bundle over Δ^s which first appeared in Lemma 4.7. From the exact sequence (4.8) over $\Delta^s \times U(\hat{n}, \hat{d})$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} c(\mathcal{F})(t) &= c(\mathcal{W}_2 \ominus \mathcal{W}_1 \oplus \mathcal{L})(t) \\ &= c(-\pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V}_1) \oplus \mathcal{L})(t). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover by Lemma 4.7 the normal bundle $\mathcal{N}$ to $\Delta^s \times U(\hat{n}, \hat{d})$ has equivariant Chern polynomial

$$c(-\mathcal{L}^* \otimes \pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V}_1))(t).$$

Therefore $c(\mathcal{L}^* \otimes (\mathcal{F} \oplus \mathbb{C}^j) \ominus \mathcal{N})(t)$ is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} &c(\mathcal{L}^* \otimes ((-\pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V}_1)) \oplus \mathcal{L} \oplus \mathbb{C}^j) \oplus \mathcal{L}^* \otimes \pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V}_1))(t) \\ &= c(\mathcal{L}^* \otimes \mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{L}^* \otimes \mathbb{C}^j)(t) = (c(\mathcal{L}^*)(t))^j = (1 - c_1(\mathcal{L})t)^j = (1 + (\hat{a}_1 - a_1^{(1)})t)^j. \end{aligned}$$

Thus by (4.6) and (4.7)

$$\begin{aligned} (\hat{a}_1 - a_1^{(1)})^j [\Delta^s \times U(\hat{n}, \hat{d})] &= c_{\hat{n}^2(g-1)+j+1}(\mathcal{W}_2 \ominus \mathcal{W}_1) \\ &= c_{\hat{n}^2(g-1)+j+1}(-\pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V}_1)). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the first part of Lemma 4.8.

By Lemma 4.6 the restriction to $\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^s \times \mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^{ss} \times U(\hat{n}, \hat{d})$ of $c(-\pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V}))(t)$ equals

$$c(-\pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V}_1))(t)c(-\pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V}_2))(t)$$

where $c(-\pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V}_2))(t)$ is a polynomial of degree at most

$$\hat{n}(n - \hat{n})(g - 1) + \hat{n}d - n\hat{d}.$$

If $r \geq r(\hat{n}, \hat{d})$ then

$$r - \hat{n}(n - \hat{n})(g - 1) + \hat{n}d - n\hat{d} \geq \hat{n}^2(g - 1) + 1$$

and so the restriction of $c_r(-\pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V}))$ is the coefficient of t^r in

$$\sum_{k \geq \hat{n}^2(g-1)+1} c_k(-\pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V}_1))t^k c(-\pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V}_2))(t),$$

which we have just seen is equal to

$$\sum_{j \geq 0} (\hat{a}_1 - a_1^{(1)})^j [\Delta^s \times U(\hat{n}, \hat{d})] t^{\hat{n}^2(g-1)+j+1} c(-\pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V}_2))(t)$$

$$= [\Delta^s \times U(\hat{n}, \hat{d})] \left(\frac{t^{\hat{n}^2(g-1)+1}}{1 + (a_1^{(1)} - \hat{a}_1)t} c(-\pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V}_2))(t) \right).$$

By Lemma 4.7 we have

$$\pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V}_2)|_{\Delta^s \times U(\hat{n}, \hat{d})} \cong \mathcal{L} \otimes \pi_!(\mathcal{V}_1^* \otimes \mathcal{V}_2)|_{\Delta^s \times U(\hat{n}, \hat{d})}.$$

If F is a vector bundle of rank k and L is a line bundle then the Chern polynomials $c(F)(t)$ and $c(F \otimes L)(t)$ are related by the formula

$$t^k c(F \otimes L)(t^{-1}) = (t + c_1(L))^k c(F)((t + c_1(L))^{-1})$$

[6, 21.10]. The same is therefore true if F is a virtual vector bundle of virtual rank k . Thus

$$t^{\hat{n}(n-\hat{n})(g-1)+\hat{n}d-\hat{n}\hat{d}} c(-\pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V}_2)|_{\Delta^s \times U(\hat{n}, \hat{d})})(t^{-1})$$

equals

$$(t + c_1(\mathcal{L}))^{\hat{n}(n-\hat{n})(g-1)+\hat{n}d-\hat{n}\hat{d}} c(-\pi_!(\mathcal{V}_1^* \otimes \mathcal{V}_2)|_{\Delta^s \times U(\hat{n}, \hat{d})})((t + c_1(\mathcal{L}))^{-1}).$$

Hence the restriction of $c_r(-\pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V}))$ is the coefficient of t^{-r} in the product of $[\Delta^s \times U(\hat{n}, \hat{d})]$ with

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{t^{-\hat{n}^2(g-1)-1}}{1 + (a_1^{(1)} - \hat{a}_1)/t} c(-\pi_!(\mathcal{V}^* \otimes \mathcal{V}_2))(t^{-1}) \\ &= \frac{t^{-\hat{n}^2(g-1)}(t + a_1^{(1)} - \hat{a}_1)^{\hat{n}(n-\hat{n})(g-1)+\hat{n}d-\hat{n}\hat{d}}}{(t + a_1^{(1)} - \hat{a}_1)t^{\hat{n}(n-\hat{n})(g-1)+\hat{n}d-\hat{n}\hat{d}}} c(-\pi_!(\mathcal{V}_1^* \otimes \mathcal{V}_2)) \left(\frac{1}{t + a_1^{(1)} - \hat{a}_1} \right) \\ &= t^{-r(\hat{n}, \hat{d})+1} (t + a_1^{(1)} - \hat{a}_1)^{\hat{n}(n-\hat{n})(g-1)+\hat{n}d-\hat{n}\hat{d}-1} c(-\pi_!(\mathcal{V}_1^* \otimes \mathcal{V}_2)) \left(\frac{1}{t + a_1^{(1)} - \hat{a}_1} \right). \end{aligned}$$

This coefficient is the coefficient of $t^{-r+r(\hat{n}, \hat{d})-1}$ in

$$[\Delta^s \times U(\hat{n}, \hat{d})](t + a_1^{(1)} - \hat{a}_1)^{\hat{n}(n-\hat{n})(g-1)+\hat{n}d-\hat{n}\hat{d}-1} c(-\pi_!(\mathcal{V}_1^* \otimes \mathcal{V}_2)) \left(\frac{1}{t + a_1^{(1)} - \hat{a}_1} \right).$$

But $r \geq r(\hat{n}, \hat{d})$, so $-r + r(\hat{n}, \hat{d}) - 1$ is strictly negative, and $c(-\pi_!(\mathcal{V}_1^* \otimes \mathcal{V}_2))(t)$ is a polynomial of degree at most $\hat{n}(n-\hat{n})(g-1) + \hat{n}d - \hat{n}\hat{d}$ in t , so that

$$(t + a_1^{(1)} - \hat{a}_1)^{\hat{n}(n-\hat{n})(g-1)+\hat{n}d-\hat{n}\hat{d}-1} c(-\pi_!(\mathcal{V}_1^* \otimes \mathcal{V}_2)) \left(\frac{1}{t + a_1^{(1)} - \hat{a}_1} \right)$$

is the sum of

$$\frac{c_{\hat{n}(n-\hat{n})(g-1)+\hat{n}d-\hat{n}\hat{d}-1}(-\pi_!(\mathcal{V}_1^* \otimes \mathcal{V}_2))}{t + a_1^{(1)} - \hat{a}_1} = \frac{e_{\hat{n}, \hat{d}}|_{\Delta^s \times U(\hat{n}, \hat{d})}}{t + a_1^{(1)} - \hat{a}_1}$$

and a polynomial in t . Thus the coefficient we want is equal to the coefficient of $t^{-r+r(\hat{n}, \hat{d})-1}$ in

$$[\Delta^s \times U(\hat{n}, \hat{d})] \left(\frac{e_{\hat{n}, \hat{d}}}{t + a_1^{(1)} - \hat{a}_1} \right) = e_{\hat{n}, \hat{d}}[\Delta^s \times U(\hat{n}, \hat{d})] \left(\sum_{j \geq 0} \frac{(-(a_1^{(1)} - \hat{a}_1))^j}{t^{j+1}} \right).$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 4.8 and hence of Proposition 4.5.

5 Some refinements

Recall that given $\eta \in H_{\mathcal{G}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})}^*(\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^{ss}) \otimes H_{\mathcal{G}(n-\hat{n}, d-\hat{d})}^*(\mathcal{C}(n-\hat{n}, d-\hat{d}))$ we wish to find a relation whose restriction to $\mathcal{C}(n_1, d_1)^{ss} \times U(n_1, d_1)$ is zero when $d_1/n_1 < \hat{d}/\hat{n}$ or $d_1/n_1 = \hat{d}/\hat{n}$ and $n_1 < n$, and when $(n_1, d_1) = (\hat{n}, \hat{d})$ equals $\eta e_{\hat{n}, \hat{d}}$. Lemma 4.2 and Proposition 4.5 deal with η in the image of the Lefschetz duality map LD which maps $H_{\mathcal{G}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})}^{\overline{\mathcal{G}}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})}(\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^s)$ to $H_{\mathcal{G}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})}^*(\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^{ss})$ and thus into

$$H_{\mathcal{G}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})}^*(\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^{ss}) = H_{\mathcal{G}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})}^*(\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^{ss}) \otimes H^*(BU(1)),$$

and more generally with η of the form

$$\eta = (-a_1^{(1)})^j LD(\gamma), \quad (5.1)$$

for some $\gamma \in H_{\mathcal{G}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})}^{\overline{\mathcal{G}}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})}(\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^s)$ where $a_1^{(1)}$ is the generator of $H^*(BU(1))$. When $\hat{n}$ and $\hat{d}$ are coprime this gives us all $\eta \in H_{\mathcal{G}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})}^*(\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^{ss})$.

We know that $H_{\mathcal{G}(n, d)}^*(\mathcal{C}(n, d))$ is generated (as an algebra over $\mathbb{Q}$) by the Künneth components a_r, b_r^j, f_r for $1 \leq r \leq n$ and $1 \leq j \leq 2g$ of the equivariant Chern classes

$$c_r(\mathcal{V}) = a_r \otimes 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{2g} b_r^j \otimes \alpha_j + f_r \otimes \omega \in H_{\mathcal{G}(n, d)}^*(\mathcal{C}(n, d)) \otimes H^*(\Sigma)$$

where $\{1\}, \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{2g}\}, \{\omega\}$ are standard bases for $H^0(\Sigma), H^1(\Sigma)$ and $H^2(\Sigma)$ respectively. The equivariant Chern classes of $\mathcal{V}$ are the elementary symmetric polynomials in its (formal) equivariant Chern roots $A_1, \dots, A_n$. All symmetric polynomials in the Chern roots $A_1, \dots, A_n$ represent elements of $H_{\mathcal{G}(n, d)}^*(\mathcal{C}(n, d)) \times H^*(\Sigma)$, and in particular we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^n (A_j)^r \in H_{\mathcal{G}(n, d)}^*(\mathcal{C}(n, d)) \otimes H^*(\Sigma)$$

for $r \geq 0$. Moreover all symmetric polynomials in $A_1, \dots, A_n$ can be expressed as polynomials in

$$\left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n (A_j)^r : 1 \leq r \leq n \right\},$$

(this follows by induction from Girard's formula; see [37, p 195]) and in particular $c_1(\mathcal{V}), \dots, c_n(\mathcal{V})$ can be expressed thus. Therefore the generators a_r, b_r^j, f_r can all be expressed as polynomials in the Künneth components of $\{\sum_{j=1}^n (A_j)^r : 1 \leq r \leq n\}$ and thus these Künneth components generate $H_{\mathcal{G}(n, d)}^*(\mathcal{C}(n, d))$ as a $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra. Similarly

$$H_{\mathcal{G}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})}^*(\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})) \otimes H_{\mathcal{G}(n-\hat{n}, d-\hat{d})}^*(\mathcal{C}(n-\hat{n}, d-\hat{d}))$$

is generated as a $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra by the Künneth components of

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\hat{n}} (B_j)^r$$

for $1 \leq r \leq \hat{n}$ and of

$$\sum_{j=\hat{n}+1}^n (B_j)^r$$

for $1 \leq r \leq n - \hat{n}$, where $B_1, \dots, B_{\hat{n}}$ are the $\mathcal{G}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})$ -equivariant Chern roots of the universal bundle $\mathcal{V}_1$ over $\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})$ and $B_{\hat{n}+1}, \dots, B_n$ are the $\mathcal{G}(n - \hat{n}, d - \hat{d})$ -equivariant Chern roots of the universal bundle $\mathcal{V}_2$ over $\mathcal{C}(n - \hat{n}, d - \hat{d})$. We may assume that B_j is the restriction of A_j to $\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d}) \times \mathcal{C}(n - \hat{n}, d - \hat{d})$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$. Then

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\hat{n}} (B_j)^r + \sum_{j=\hat{n}+1}^n (B_j)^r = \sum_{j=1}^n (A_j)^r \Big|_{\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d}) \times \mathcal{C}(n - \hat{n}, d - \hat{d})}$$

for $1 \leq r \leq n$. This proves:

Lemma 5.1

$$H_{\mathcal{G}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})}^*(\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})) \otimes H_{\mathcal{G}(n - \hat{n}, d - \hat{d})}^*(\mathcal{C}(n - \hat{n}, d - \hat{d}))$$

is generated as a module over $H_{\mathcal{G}}^*(\mathcal{C})$ by $H_{\mathcal{G}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})}^*(\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d}))$.

When $\hat{n}$ and $\hat{d}$ are coprime, we have now obtained the relations we need:

Proposition 5.2 *Let $\hat{n}$ and $\hat{d}$ be positive integers with $0 < \hat{n} < n$ and $\hat{d}/\hat{n} > d/n$, and suppose*

$$\eta \in H_{\mathcal{G}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})}^*(\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^{ss}) \otimes H_{\mathcal{G}(n - \hat{n}, d - \hat{d})}^*(U(\hat{n}, \hat{d})) \cong H_{\mathcal{G}}^*(S_{\hat{n}, \hat{d}}).$$

If $\hat{n}$ and $\hat{d}$ are coprime there is a relation in the ideal in $H_{\mathcal{G}}^*(\mathcal{C})$ generated by the slant products

$$\{c_r(-\pi_1(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V})) \backslash \gamma : r \geq r(\hat{n}, \hat{d}) = \hat{n}\hat{d}(g - 1) + \hat{n}d - n\hat{d} + 1, \gamma \in H_{\mathcal{G}}^{\overline{\mathcal{G}}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})}(\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^{ss})\}$$

whose image under the restriction map

$$H_{\mathcal{G}(n, d)}^*(\mathcal{C}(n, d)) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{G}(n_1, d_1)}^*(\mathcal{C}(n_1, d_1)) \otimes H_{\mathcal{G}(n - n_1, d - d_1)}^*(U(n_1, d_1))$$

is zero when $d_1/n_1 < \hat{d}/\hat{n}$ and also when $d_1/n_1 = \hat{d}/\hat{n}$ and $n_1 < \hat{n}$, and when $(n_1, d_1) = (\hat{n}, \hat{d})$ equals $\eta e_{\hat{n}, \hat{d}}$.

Proof: This follows from Remark 4.1, Lemma 4.2, Proposition 4.5 and Lemma 5.1, together with the fact (see Remark 3.2 and Proposition 3.3) that the restriction maps

$$H_{\mathcal{G}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})}^*(\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{G}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})}^*(\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^{ss})$$

and

$$H_{\mathcal{G}(n - \hat{n}, d - \hat{d})}^*(\mathcal{C}(n - \hat{n}, d - \hat{d})) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{G}(n - \hat{n}, d - \hat{d})}^*(U(\hat{n}, \hat{d}))$$

are surjective.

6 Parabolic bundles

At this point we need to observe that all our arguments can be generalised from vector bundles over a compact Riemann surface Σ to apply to moduli spaces of parabolic vector bundles over Σ equipped with finitely many marked points $p_1, \dots, p_k$. For more details on parabolic bundles see [36, 45, 46, 47].

To simplify the notation in this discussion we shall assume that there is only one marked point p . A parabolic bundle on (Σ, p) is a holomorphic bundle E on Σ with a flag

$$0 = E_p^{(m+1)} \subset E_p^{(m)} \subset \dots \subset E_p^{(1)} = E_p \quad (6.1)$$

of length $m \geq 1$ in the fibre E_p of E at p , together with a sequence of weights $0 \leq \alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \dots < \alpha_m < 1$.

A holomorphic subbundle F of the parabolic bundle E inherits a parabolic structure by intersecting the flag (6.1) with the fibre F_p of F at p and taking the corresponding weights, but omitting any intersections $F_p \cap E_p^{(k)}$ such that $F_p \cap E_p^{(k)} = F_p \cap E_p^{(k-1)}$. A quotient bundle of E becomes a parabolic bundle in a similar way.

If $1 \leq k \leq m$ then the *multiplicity* of the weight α_k is

$$j_k = \dim(E_p^{(k)} / E_p^{(k+1)}),$$

the *parabolic degree* of E is

$$\text{pardeg}(E) = \deg(E) + \sum_{k=1}^m \alpha_k \dim(E_p^{(k)} / E_p^{(k+1)})$$

and the *parabolic slope* of E is

$$\text{par}\mu(E) = \frac{\text{pardeg}(E)}{\text{rank}(E)}.$$

Stability and semistability for parabolic bundles are then defined just as for vector bundles, and each parabolic bundle has a canonical Harder-Narasimhan filtration by parabolic subbundles.

Remark 6.1 It will be important for us to have sufficient conditions on $n, d, (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m)$ and $(j_1, \dots, j_m)$ for all semistable parabolic bundles with rank n , degree d , parabolic weights $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$ and multiplicities $j_1, \dots, j_m$ to be stable. Such a semistable parabolic bundle E is not stable if and only if it has a proper subbundle $\hat{E}$ such that

$$\frac{\text{pardeg}(\hat{E})}{\text{rank}(\hat{E})} = \frac{\text{pardeg}(E)}{\text{rank}(E)},$$

and so there exist integers $\hat{n}, \hat{d}$ and $\hat{j}_1, \dots, \hat{j}_m$ such that $0 < \hat{n} < n$ and $0 \leq \hat{j}_k \leq j_k$ and $\hat{j}_1 + \dots + \hat{j}_m = \hat{n}$ and

$$\frac{\hat{d} + \sum_{k=1}^m \alpha_k \hat{j}_k}{\hat{n}} = \frac{d + \sum_{k=1}^m \alpha_k j_k}{n}.$$

It is easy to see that the set of parabolic weights $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m)$ for which there exist integers $\hat{n}, \hat{d}$ and $\hat{j}_1, \dots, \hat{j}_m$ such that $0 < \hat{n} < n$ and $0 \leq \hat{j}_k \leq j_k$ and $\hat{j}_1 + \dots + \hat{j}_m = \hat{n}$ and

$$\frac{\hat{d} + \sum_{k=1}^m \alpha_k \hat{j}_k}{\hat{n}} = \frac{d + \sum_{k=1}^m \alpha_k j_k}{n}$$

is closed in $\{(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m : 0 \leq \alpha_1 < \dots < \alpha_m < 1\}$, since there are only finitely many choices of $\hat{n}$ and $\hat{j}_1, \dots, \hat{j}_m$ and then

$$\hat{d} = \frac{\hat{n}d}{n} + \sum_{k=1}^m \alpha_k \left(\frac{\hat{n}j_k}{n} - \hat{j}_k \right)$$

is required to be an integer. This set is also nowhere dense in $\{(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m : 0 \leq \alpha_1 < \dots < \alpha_m < 1\}$ *unless*

- (i) d, n and $j_1, \dots, j_m$ have a common factor $h > 1$,
- (ii) $\hat{n}$ is an integer multiple of n/h and
- (iii) $\hat{d} = \hat{n}d/n$ and $\hat{j}_k = \hat{n}j_k/n$ for $1 \leq k \leq m$,

in which case there are no constraints on $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$ other than $0 \leq \alpha_1 < \dots < \alpha_m < 1$. In particular this set is nowhere dense in $\{(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m : 0 \leq \alpha_1 < \dots < \alpha_m < 1\}$ if $j_k = 1$ for some k .

Nitsure [45] showed that it is possible to generalise to parabolic bundles the methods of Atiyah and Bott [1] for calculating the Betti numbers of the moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}(n, d)$, and finding generators for their cohomology rings, provided that $n, d, (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m)$ and $(j_1, \dots, j_m)$ are such that semistability coincides with stability. For this we fix a C^∞ bundle $\mathcal{E}$ over Σ with rank n and degree d , and a filtration of the fibre of $\mathcal{E}$ at p as at (6.1) with associated weights $0 \leq \alpha_1 < \dots < \alpha_m < 1$. As before we let $\mathcal{C}$ be the space of holomorphic structures on $\mathcal{E}$, and we let $Par\mathcal{G}$ (respectively $Par\mathcal{G}_c$) be the subgroup of the gauge group $\mathcal{G}$ (respectively the complexified gauge group $\mathcal{G}_c$) consisting of all complex C^∞ automorphisms of $\mathcal{E}$ which preserve the parabolic filtration. Then $Par\mathcal{G}_c$ acts on $\mathcal{C}$ and its orbits correspond to the isomorphism classes of parabolic bundles over Σ of the given rank, degree and parabolic structure.

The parabolic Harder–Narasimhan filtration defines for us a smooth $Par\mathcal{G}$ -equivariantly perfect stratification of $\mathcal{C}$ whose unique open stratum $\mathcal{C}^{parss}$ consists of those induced parabolic structures on $\mathcal{E}$ which are semistable. However the strata are in general not connected, and the connected components may even have different dimensions. So Nitsure refines the stratification by using the *compound type* (μ, I) of a parabolic bundle E . Here μ is the parabolic Harder–Narasimhan type of E given by

$$\mu = (\text{par}\mu(E_1/E_0), \dots, \text{par}\mu(E_s/E_{s-1}))$$

where $0 = E_0 \subset E_1 \subset \dots \subset E_s = E$ is the parabolic Harder–Narasimhan filtration of E and the entry $\text{par}\mu(E_j/E_{j-1})$ is repeated $\dim(E_j/E_{j-1})$ times, while I is the $s \times m$ intersection matrix $(I_{k,\ell})$ of E with entries defined by

$$I_{1,m} = \dim((E_1)_p \cap E_p^{(m)})$$

and

$$I_{k,\ell} = \dim((E_k)_p \cap E_p^{(\ell)}) - \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq k \\ \ell \leq j \leq m \\ (i,j) \neq (k,\ell)}} I_{i,j}$$

for $1 \leq k \leq s$ and $1 \leq \ell \leq m$, where $(E_k)_p$ is the fibre at the marked point p of the subbundle E_k of E , and $E_p^{(\ell)}$ is the ℓ th term in the fixed flag (6.1) in E_p . If $\mathcal{C}_{\mu,I}$ denotes the subset of $\mathcal{C}$ corresponding to all holomorphic structures on $\mathcal{E}$ with the same compound type (μ, I) as E , then $\mathcal{C}_{\mu,I}$ is connected and

$$H_{Par\mathcal{G}}^*(\mathcal{C}_{\mu,I}) \cong \bigotimes_{i=1}^s H_{Par\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{E}_i/\mathcal{E}_{i-1})}^*(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{E}_i/\mathcal{E}_{i-1})^{parss}) \quad (6.2)$$

where $0 = \mathcal{E}_0 \subset \mathcal{E}_1 \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{E}_s = \mathcal{E}$ is a fixed C^∞ filtration of $\mathcal{E}$ of type (μ, I) , while $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{E}_i/\mathcal{E}_{i-1})^{parss}$ and $Par\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{E}_i/\mathcal{E}_{i-1})$ are defined just as $\mathcal{C}^{parss}$ and $Par\mathcal{G}$ except that $\mathcal{E}$ is replaced by $\mathcal{E}_i/\mathcal{E}_{i-1}$ with its induced parabolic structure. Moreover by [45] Proposition 3.6 the $Par\mathcal{G}$ -equivariant Euler class $e_{\mu,I}$ of the normal bundle to $\mathcal{C}_{\mu,I}$ in $\mathcal{C}$ is not a zero divisor in $H_{Par\mathcal{G}}^*(\mathcal{C}_{\mu,I})$, and hence by the criterion of Atiyah and Bott [1] §13 the stratification of $\mathcal{C}$ with strata $\mathcal{C}_{\mu,I}$ indexed by compound type (μ, I) is $Par\mathcal{G}$ -equivariantly perfect (see [45] §3). Thus the restriction map

$$H_{Par\mathcal{G}}^*(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow H_{Par\mathcal{G}}^*(\mathcal{C}^{parss})$$

is surjective, and by [45] Proposition 3.2 we have

$$H_{Par\mathcal{G}}^*(\mathcal{C}) \cong H^*(B\mathcal{G}) \otimes H^*(\mathcal{F})$$

where $\mathcal{F}$ is the flag variety of flags in $\mathbb{C}^n$ of the type specified by the parabolic structure. Furthermore, when semistability coincides with stability we have

$$H_{Par\mathcal{G}}^*(\mathcal{C}^{parss}) \cong H^*(\mathcal{M}) \otimes H^*(BU(1))$$

where $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{C}^{parss}/Par\mathcal{G}$ is the moduli space of parabolic bundles over Σ of the given parabolic data (that is, the given rank, degree, weights and multiplicities).

Thus generators of $H^*(B\mathcal{G})$ and $H^*(\mathcal{F})$ provide generators for the rational cohomology of the moduli space $\mathcal{M}$, and the completeness criteria described in Proposition 3.3 generalise immediately to give completeness criteria for relations between these generators in terms of the strata $\mathcal{C}_{\mu,I}$.

Just as in the non-parabolic case, these completeness criteria can be simplified by using a coarser stratification of $\mathcal{C}$ indexed by the *coarse compound parabolic type* of parabolic bundles. Here a parabolic bundle E has coarse compound parabolic type $(n_1, d_1, j_{1,1}, \dots, j_{m,1})$ if its parabolic Harder–Narasimhan filtration

$$0 = E_0 \subset E_1 \subset \dots \subset E_s = E$$

is such that E_1 has rank n_1 and degree d_1 and

$$j_{\ell,1} = \dim \left(\frac{(E_1)_p \cap E_p^{(\ell)}}{(E_1)_p \cap E_p^{(\ell+1)}} \right)$$

for $1 \leq \ell \leq m$, where $(E_1)_p$ is the fibre of E_1 at p and $E_p^{(\ell)}$ is the ℓ th term in the fixed flag (6.1) in the fibre E_p of E at the marked point p . We will denote by $S_{n_1, d_1, j_{1,1}, \dots, j_{m,1}}$ the subset of $\mathcal{C}$ consisting of all parabolic structures with coarse compound parabolic type $(n_1, d_1, j_{1,1}, \dots, j_{m,1})$.

The proof that the stratification of $\mathcal{C}$ by coarse type is smooth and $\mathcal{G}_c$ -equivariantly perfect (see §3) carries over directly to give a proof that the stratification of $\mathcal{C}$ by coarse compound parabolic type is smooth and $Par\mathcal{G}$ -equivariantly perfect, and we obtain

Proposition 6.2 (*Modified Parabolic Completeness Criteria*) Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a subset of the kernel of the restriction map

$$H_{\text{Par}\mathcal{G}}^*(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow H_{\text{Par}\mathcal{G}}^*(\mathcal{C}^{\text{parss}}).$$

Suppose that for each sequence of integers $(\hat{n}, \hat{d}, \hat{j}_1, \dots, \hat{j}_m)$ with $0 < \hat{n} < n$ and $0 \leq \hat{j}_\ell \leq j_\ell$ for $1 \leq \ell \leq m$ and $\hat{j}_1 + \dots + \hat{j}_m = \hat{n}$ and

$$\frac{\hat{d} + \sum_{\ell=1}^m \alpha_\ell \hat{j}_\ell}{\hat{n}} > \frac{d + \sum_{\ell=1}^m \alpha_\ell j_\ell}{n},$$

there is a subset $\mathcal{R}_{\hat{n}, \hat{d}, \hat{j}_1, \dots, \hat{j}_m}$ of the ideal generated by $\mathcal{R}$ in $H_{\text{Par}\mathcal{G}}^*(\mathcal{C})$ such that the image of $\mathcal{R}_{\hat{n}, \hat{d}, \hat{j}_1, \dots, \hat{j}_m}$ under the restriction map

$$H_{\text{Par}\mathcal{G}}^*(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow H_{\text{Par}\mathcal{G}}^*(S_{n_1, d_1, j_{1,1}, \dots, j_{m,1}})$$

is zero when either

$$\frac{d_1 + \sum_{\ell=1}^m \alpha_\ell j_{\ell,1}}{n_1} < \frac{\hat{d} + \sum_{\ell=1}^m \alpha_\ell \hat{j}_\ell}{\hat{n}}$$

or equality holds and $n_1 \leq \hat{n}$, except for the case when

$$(n_1, d_1, j_{1,1}, \dots, j_{m,1}) = (\hat{n}, \hat{d}, \hat{j}_1, \dots, \hat{j}_m),$$

and in the latter case the image of $\mathcal{R}_{\hat{n}, \hat{d}, \hat{j}_1, \dots, \hat{j}_m}$ equals the ideal of $H_{\text{Par}\mathcal{G}}^*(S_{\hat{n}, \hat{d}, \hat{j}_1, \dots, \hat{j}_m})$ generated by the equivariant Euler class $e_{\hat{n}, \hat{d}, \hat{j}_1, \dots, \hat{j}_m}$ of the normal bundle to the stratum $S_{\hat{n}, \hat{d}, \hat{j}_1, \dots, \hat{j}_m}$ in $\mathcal{C}$. Then $\mathcal{R}$ generates the kernel of the restriction map

$$H_{\text{Par}\mathcal{G}}^*(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow H_{\text{Par}\mathcal{G}}^*(\mathcal{C}^{\text{parss}})$$

as an ideal in $H_{\text{Par}\mathcal{G}}^*(\mathcal{C})$.

Furthermore, in the notation used at (6.2), we have

$$H_{\text{Par}\mathcal{G}}^*(S_{\hat{n}, \hat{d}, \hat{j}_1, \dots, \hat{j}_m}) \cong H_{\text{Par}\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{E}_1)}^*(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{E}_1)^{\text{parss}}) \otimes H_{\text{Par}\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{E}/\mathcal{E}_1)}^*(U(\hat{n}, \hat{d}, \hat{j}_1, \dots, \hat{j}_m)) \quad (6.3)$$

where $U(\hat{n}, \hat{d}, \hat{j}_1, \dots, \hat{j}_m)$ is an open subset of $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{E}/\mathcal{E}_1)$ such that the restriction map from $H_{\text{Par}\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{E}/\mathcal{E}_1)}^*(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{E}/\mathcal{E}_1))$ to $H_{\text{Par}\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{E}/\mathcal{E}_1)}^*(U(\hat{n}, \hat{d}, \hat{j}_1, \dots, \hat{j}_m))$ is surjective (cf. (3.6)).

Suppose now that $(\hat{n}, \hat{d}, \hat{j}_1, \dots, \hat{j}_m)$ is a sequence of integers satisfying the conditions of Proposition 6.2. Since

$$\frac{\hat{d} + \sum_{\ell=1}^m \alpha_\ell \hat{j}_\ell}{\hat{n}} > \frac{d + \sum_{\ell=1}^m \alpha_\ell j_\ell}{n},$$

there are no nonzero parabolic bundle maps from a semistable parabolic bundle of rank $\hat{n}$ and degree $\hat{d}$ with parabolic weights $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m)$ having multiplicities $(\hat{j}_1, \dots, \hat{j}_m)$ to a semistable parabolic bundle E of rank n and degree d with parabolic weights $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m)$ having multiplicities $(j_1, \dots, j_m)$. Therefore if $\text{ParHom}(\hat{\mathcal{V}}, \mathcal{V})$ denotes the subsheaf of $\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V}$ over $\mathcal{C}(n, d) \times \mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d}) \times \Sigma$ consisting of parabolic bundle maps, then the restriction to $\mathcal{C}(n, d)^{\text{parss}} \times \mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^{\text{parss}}$ of $-\pi_!(\text{ParHom}(\hat{\mathcal{V}}, \mathcal{V}))$ is a bundle (rather than just a virtual bundle) whose rank is given by the Riemann–Roch theorem as follows:

Lemma 6.3 *The restriction to*

$$\mathcal{C}(n, d)^{parss} \times \mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^{parss}$$

of the virtual bundle $-\pi_!(ParHom(\hat{\mathcal{V}}, \mathcal{V}))$ is a bundle of rank

$$n\hat{n}(g-1) + \hat{d}n - d\hat{n} + \sum_{\ell=2}^m (j_1 + \cdots + j_{\ell-1})\hat{j}_\ell.$$

Proof (cf. [45] Proposition 1.17): There is an exact sequence of sheaves

$$0 \rightarrow ParHom(\hat{\mathcal{V}}, \mathcal{V}) \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{S} \rightarrow 0$$

over $\mathcal{C}(n, d) \times \mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d}) \times \Sigma$, where $\mathcal{S}$ is supported on $\mathcal{C}(n, d) \times \mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d}) \times \{p\}$ and is the pullback of the skyscraper sheaf on Σ supported at p with fibre

$$\frac{\hat{\mathcal{V}}_p^* \otimes \mathcal{V}_p}{ParHom(\hat{\mathcal{V}}_p, \mathcal{V}_p)}$$

which has dimension

$$\sum_{\ell=2}^m (j_1 + \cdots + j_{\ell-1})\hat{j}_\ell = \sum_{\ell=1}^{m-1} j_\ell(\hat{j}_{\ell+1} + \cdots + \hat{j}_m).$$

The Riemann–Roch theorem tells us that the virtual rank of $-\pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V})$ is $n\hat{n}(g-1) + \hat{d}n - d\hat{n}$ (cf. (3.5) or [1] §7) and the result follows.

It follows from this lemma that the equivariant Chern classes

$$c_r(-\pi_!(ParHom(\hat{\mathcal{V}}, \mathcal{V}))) \in H_{Par\mathcal{G}}^*(\mathcal{C}(n, d)) \otimes H_{Par\hat{\mathcal{G}}}^*(\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d}))$$

restrict to zero in $\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^{parss} \times \mathcal{C}(n, d)^{parss}$ when

$$r > r(\hat{n}, \hat{d}, \hat{j}_1, \dots, \hat{j}_m) = n\hat{n}(g-1) + \hat{d}n - d\hat{n} + \sum_{\ell=2}^m (j_1 + \cdots + j_{\ell-1})\hat{j}_\ell,$$

and then their slant products with any $\gamma \in H_*^{Par\hat{\mathcal{G}}}(\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^{parss})$ give us elements of the kernel of the restriction map

$$H_{Par\mathcal{G}}^*(\mathcal{C}(n, d)) \rightarrow H_{Par\mathcal{G}}^*(\mathcal{C}(n, d)^{parss}) \cong H^*(\mathcal{M}) \otimes H^*(BU(1)).$$

The arguments of §4 and §5 now generalise directly to the case of parabolic bundles, and the proof of Proposition 5.2 gives us

Proposition 6.4 *Let $\hat{n}, \hat{d}$ and $\hat{j}_1, \dots, \hat{j}_m$ be integers satisfying $0 < \hat{n} < n$ and $0 \leq \hat{j}_\ell \leq j_\ell$ and $\hat{j}_1 + \cdots + \hat{j}_m = \hat{n}$ and*

$$\frac{\hat{d} + \sum_{\ell=1}^m \alpha_\ell \hat{j}_\ell}{\hat{n}} > \frac{d + \sum_{\ell=1}^m \alpha_\ell j_\ell}{n},$$

and suppose that η is any element of

$$H_{\text{Par}\mathcal{G}}^*(S_{\hat{n}, \hat{d}, \hat{j}_1, \dots, \hat{j}_m}) \cong H_{\text{Par}\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{E}_1)}^*(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{E}_1)^{\text{parss}}) \otimes H_{\text{Par}\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{E}/\mathcal{E}_1)}^*(U(\hat{n}, \hat{d}, \hat{j}_1, \dots, \hat{j}_m)).$$

If every semistable parabolic bundle of rank $\hat{n}$, degree $\hat{d}$ and parabolic weights $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m)$ with multiplicities $(\hat{j}_1, \dots, \hat{j}_m)$ is stable, then there is a relation in the ideal in $H_{\text{Par}\mathcal{G}}^*(\mathcal{C})$ generated by the slant products

$$\{c_r(-\pi_!(\text{ParHom}(\hat{\mathcal{V}}, \mathcal{V}))) \setminus \gamma : r > r(\hat{n}, \hat{d}, \hat{j}_1, \dots, \hat{j}_m), \gamma \in H_*^{\text{Par}\hat{\mathcal{G}}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})}(\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^{\text{parss}})\}$$

whose image in

$$H_{\text{Par}\mathcal{G}}^*(S_{\hat{n}, \hat{d}, \hat{j}_1, \dots, \hat{j}_m}) \cong H_{\text{Par}\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{E}_1)}^*(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{E}_1)^{\text{parss}}) \otimes H_{\text{Par}\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{E}/\mathcal{E}_1)}^*(U(\hat{n}, \hat{d}, \hat{j}_1, \dots, \hat{j}_m))$$

is zero when

$$\frac{d_1 + \sum_{\ell=1}^m \alpha_\ell j_{\ell,1}}{n_1} < \frac{\hat{d} + \sum_{\ell=1}^m \alpha_\ell \hat{j}_\ell}{\hat{n}}$$

and also when equality holds and $n_1 \leq \hat{n}$, except for the case $(n_1, d_1, j_{1,1}, \dots, j_{m,1}) = (\hat{n}, \hat{d}, \hat{j}_1, \dots, \hat{j}_m)$ when it equals $\eta e_{\hat{n}, \hat{d}}$.

7 The good case

We are aiming to prove the following generalisation of Theorem 2.1 which is stated using the notation introduced in §6.

Theorem 7.1 *If n and d are coprime and $\mathcal{C}(n, d)^{\text{parss}} = \mathcal{C}(n, d)^{\text{pars}}$ then the kernel of the restriction map*

$$\rho : H_{\text{Par}\mathcal{G}}^*(\mathcal{C}(n, d)) \rightarrow H_{\text{Par}\mathcal{G}}^*(\mathcal{C}(n, d)^{\text{parss}})$$

is generated as an ideal in $H_{\text{Par}\mathcal{G}}^*(\mathcal{C}(n, d))$ by slant products of the form

$$c_r(-\pi_!(\text{ParHom}(\hat{\mathcal{V}}, \mathcal{V}))) \setminus \gamma$$

for integers $\hat{n}, \hat{d}, \hat{j}_1, \dots, \hat{j}_m$ and r satisfying $\hat{j}_1 + \dots + \hat{j}_m = \hat{n}$ with $0 < \hat{n} < n$ and $0 \leq \hat{j}_\ell \leq j_\ell$ for $1 \leq \ell \leq m$, and

$$\frac{d + \sum_{\ell=1}^m \alpha_\ell j_\ell}{n} < \frac{\hat{d} + \sum_{\ell=1}^m \alpha_\ell \hat{j}_\ell}{\hat{n}} < \frac{d + \sum_{\ell=1}^m \alpha_\ell j_\ell}{n} + 1 \quad (7.1)$$

and

$$n\hat{n}(g-1) < r - \hat{d}n + \hat{d}\hat{n} - \sum_{\ell=2}^m (j_1 + \dots + j_{\ell-1})\hat{j}_\ell < n\hat{n}(g+1), \quad (7.2)$$

where

$$c_r(-\pi_!(\text{ParHom}(\hat{\mathcal{V}}, \mathcal{V}))) \in H_{\text{Par}\mathcal{G}}^*(\mathcal{C}(n, d)) \otimes H_{\text{Par}\hat{\mathcal{G}}}^*(\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d}))$$

is the r th equivariant Chern class of the virtual bundle $-\pi_!(\text{ParHom}(\hat{\mathcal{V}}, \mathcal{V}))$ and γ lies in the image of the natural map

$$H_*^{\text{Par}\hat{\mathcal{G}}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})}(\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^{\text{parss}}) \rightarrow H_*^{\text{Par}\hat{\mathcal{G}}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})}(\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})).$$

The slightly weaker version of Theorem 7.1 omitting the second inequalities in (7.1) and in (7.2) follows immediately from Propositions 6.2 and 6.4 in the special case when the choices of d , n and the parabolic data $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m)$ and $(j_1, \dots, j_m)$ satisfy the following condition:

Definition 7.2 We shall call d , n and the parabolic data $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m)$ and $(j_1, \dots, j_m)$ a *good choice of parabolic data* if every semistable parabolic bundle of rank $\hat{n}$, degree $\hat{d}$, parabolic weights $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m)$ and multiplicities $(\hat{j}_1, \dots, \hat{j}_m)$ satisfying (7.1) and $\hat{j}_1 + \dots + \hat{j}_m = \hat{n}$, with $0 < \hat{n} < n$ and $0 \leq \hat{j}_\ell \leq j_\ell$ for $1 \leq \ell \leq m$, is stable.

Remark 7.3 In fact if we look carefully at this proof of the slightly weaker version of Theorem 7.1 for good parabolic data (in particular at the proofs of Propositions 3.6 and 4.5), we find that if η lies in the kernel of the restriction map ρ then we can write

$$\eta = \sigma_1 + \dots + \sigma_k$$

where

$$\sigma_i = c_{r_i}(-\pi_!(\text{ParHom}(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^{(i)}, \mathcal{V})) \setminus \gamma_i)$$

for some $(\hat{n}_i, \hat{d}_i, \hat{j}_{1,i}, \dots, \hat{j}_{m,i}, r_i)$ satisfying $0 < \hat{n}_i < n$ and $0 \leq \hat{j}_{\ell,i} \leq j_\ell$ for $1 \leq \ell \leq m$ and $\hat{j}_{1,i} + \dots + \hat{j}_{m,i} = \hat{n}_i$ and

$$\frac{d + \sum_{\ell=1}^m \alpha_\ell j_\ell}{n} < \frac{\hat{d}_1 + \sum_{\ell=1}^m \alpha_\ell \hat{j}_{\ell,1}}{\hat{n}_1} \leq \dots \leq \frac{\hat{d}_k + \sum_{\ell=1}^m \alpha_\ell \hat{j}_{\ell,k}}{\hat{n}_k}$$

and

$$r_i > n\hat{n}_i(g-1) + \hat{d}_i n - d\hat{n}_i + \sum_{\ell=2}^m (j_\ell + \dots + j_{\ell-1}) \hat{j}_{\ell,i},$$

where $\hat{\mathcal{V}}^{(i)}$ is a universal bundle while γ_i is given by the image of a suitable restriction of η under the inverse of an appropriate Lefschetz duality map, which is an isomorphism since semistability equals stability (cf. Remark 4.1).

Remark 7.4 If L is any line bundle over Σ , then a parabolic bundle E is semistable (respectively stable) if and only if $E \otimes L$ is semistable (respectively stable). Thus the condition that every semistable parabolic bundle of rank $\hat{n}$, degree $\hat{d}$, parabolic weights $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m)$ and multiplicities $(\hat{j}_1, \dots, \hat{j}_m)$ is stable depends on $\hat{d}$ only through its remainder modulo $\hat{n}$. Thus for given n and d there are effectively only finitely many choices of $\hat{n}$, $\hat{d}$ and $(\hat{j}_1, \dots, \hat{j}_m)$ to consider in Definition 7.2.

Remark 7.5 It follows from Remarks 6.1 and 7.4 that if $j_k = 1$ for $1 \leq k \leq m = n$ then an arbitrarily small perturbation can be made to any parabolic weights $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m)$ to give us good parabolic data in the sense of Definition 7.2.

Remark 7.6 Suppose that every semistable parabolic bundle of rank n , degree d , parabolic weights $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m)$ and multiplicities $(j_1, \dots, j_m)$ is stable. Then it follows from Remark 6.1 that if the parabolic weights $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m)$ are perturbed very slightly then the semistability condition is unaffected (that is, $\mathcal{C}(n, d)^{\text{parss}}$ remains the same) and in addition the conditions on the integers $\hat{n}$, $\hat{d}$, $(\hat{j}_1, \dots, \hat{j}_m)$ and r in (7.1) and (7.2) of Theorem 7.1 are unaltered. Thus

if an arbitrarily small perturbation of the parabolic weights can be chosen to give us good parabolic data in the sense of Definition 7.2, then the weaker version of Theorem 7.1 omitting the second inequalities in (7.1) and (7.2) must hold. In particular Remark 7.5 tells us that if d and n are coprime and $j_\ell = 1$ for $1 \leq \ell \leq m = n$ then this weaker version of Theorem 7.1 holds.

8 Reduction to the good case

We have now proved the following weaker version of Theorem 7.1 in the special case when $m = n$ and $j_1 = \dots = j_m = 1$ (see Remark 7.6). Our aim in this section is to deduce the general case.

Theorem 8.1 *If n and d are coprime and $\mathcal{C}(n, d)^{parss} = \mathcal{C}(n, d)^{pars}$ then the kernel of the restriction map*

$$\rho : H_{Par\mathcal{G}}^*(\mathcal{C}(n, d)) \rightarrow H_{Par\mathcal{G}}^*(\mathcal{C}(n, d)^{parss})$$

is generated as an ideal in $H_{Par\mathcal{G}}^*(\mathcal{C}(n, d))$ by slant products of the form

$$c_r(-\pi_!(ParHom(\hat{\mathcal{V}}, \mathcal{V}))) \setminus \gamma$$

for integers $\hat{n}, \hat{d}, \hat{j}_1, \dots, \hat{j}_m$ and r satisfying $0 < \hat{n} < n$ and $0 \leq \hat{j}_\ell \leq j_\ell$ for $1 \leq \ell \leq m$ and $\hat{j}_1 + \dots + \hat{j}_m = \hat{n}$ and

$$\frac{d + \sum_{\ell=1}^m \alpha_\ell j_\ell}{n} < \frac{\hat{d} + \sum_{\ell=1}^m \alpha_\ell \hat{j}_\ell}{\hat{n}} \quad (8.1)$$

and

$$n\hat{n}(g-1) + \hat{d}n - d\hat{n} + \sum_{\ell=2}^m (j_1 + \dots + j_{\ell-1})\hat{j}_\ell < r \quad (8.2)$$

where

$$c_r(-\pi_!(ParHom(\hat{\mathcal{V}}, \mathcal{V}))) \in H_{Par\mathcal{G}}^*(\mathcal{C}(n, d)) \otimes H_{Par\hat{\mathcal{G}}}^*(\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d}))$$

is the r th equivariant Chern class of the equivariant virtual bundle $-\pi_!(ParHom(\hat{\mathcal{V}}, \mathcal{V}))$ and γ lies in the image of the natural map

$$H_*^{Par\hat{\mathcal{G}}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})}(\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^{parss}) \rightarrow H_*^{Par\hat{\mathcal{G}}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})}(\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})).$$

To deduce this theorem from the special case when $m = n$ and $j_1 = \dots = j_m = 1$, we exploit the relationship between the kernel of ρ and the kernel of the corresponding restriction map

$$\rho^T : H_{Par_T\mathcal{G}}^*(\mathcal{C}(n, d)) \rightarrow H_{Par_T\mathcal{G}}^*(\mathcal{C}(n, d)^{par_Tss})$$

where ρ^T , $Par_T\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{C}(n, d)^{par_Tss}$ are defined as ρ , $Par\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{C}(n, d)^{parss}$ except that m is replaced by n , the multiplicities j_ℓ all become 1 and the weights $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m)$ are replaced by $(\alpha_1^T, \dots, \alpha_n^T)$ with the following properties:

(i) $0 \leq \alpha_1^T < \alpha_2^T < \dots < \alpha_n^T < 1$,

(ii) if $1 \leq i \leq m$ then $j_i \alpha_i = \sum_{j_1 + \dots + j_{i-1} < j \leq j_1 + \dots + j_i} \alpha_j^T$ and

(iii) α_j^T is a small perturbation of α_i if $j_1 + \dots + j_{i-1} < j \leq j_1 + \dots + j_i$.

Let B be the standard Borel subgroup of $GL(n; \mathbb{C})$ and let P be the parabolic subgroup which preserves the flag

$$\mathbb{C}^{j_1} \subset \mathbb{C}^{j_1+j_2} \subset \dots \subset \mathbb{C}^{j_1+\dots+j_{m-1}} \subset \mathbb{C}^n.$$

Let $\mathcal{F} = GL(n; \mathbb{C})/P \cong U(n)/P \cap U(n)$ and $\mathcal{F}_T = GL(n; \mathbb{C})/B \cong U(n)/T$ where T is the standard maximal torus of $U(n)$. Note that if

$$\phi_p : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow U(n)$$

is the homomorphism which associates to any $g \in \mathcal{G}$ its action on the fibre of $\mathcal{E}$ at the marked point p of Σ then

$$Par_T \mathcal{G} = \phi_p^{-1}(T)$$

and

$$Par \mathcal{G} = \phi_p^{-1}(P \cap U(n)).$$

We have

$$H_{Par \mathcal{G}}^*(\mathcal{C}) \cong H^*(B\mathcal{G}) \otimes H^*(\mathcal{F}) \quad (8.3)$$

while

$$H_{Par_T \mathcal{G}}^*(\mathcal{C}) \cong H^*(B\mathcal{G}) \otimes H^*(\mathcal{F}_T) \quad (8.4)$$

and

$$H_{Par \mathcal{G}}^*(\mathcal{C}) \cong [H_{Par_T \mathcal{G}}^*(\mathcal{C})]^W \quad (8.5)$$

where W is the Weyl group of $P \cap U(n)$ (cf. [45] Proposition 3.2 and [5] Theorem 20.6). By [30] Lemma 7.10 and Remarks 7.11 and 7.12 (see also Remark 10.5 below), if $\eta \in H_{Par \mathcal{G}}^*(\mathcal{C}) \cong [H_{Par_T \mathcal{G}}^*(\mathcal{C})]^W$ then

$$\eta \in \ker \rho \text{ if and only if } \mathcal{D}\eta \in \ker \rho^T \quad (8.6)$$

and, furthermore, multiplication by $\mathcal{D}$ defines an isomorphism from $\ker \rho$ to $\ker \rho^T \cap [H_{Par_T \mathcal{G}}^*(\mathcal{C})]^{antiW}$, with inverse given by

$$\zeta \mapsto \frac{1}{|W|\mathcal{D}} \sum_{w \in W} (-1)^w w(\zeta).$$

Here $\mathcal{D}$ is the image in $H_{Par_T \mathcal{G}}^*(\mathcal{C})$ of the element of $H_T^*(\mathcal{C}) \cong H^*(BT)$ represented by the product of the positive roots of $U(n)$ under the map

$$H_T^*(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow H_{Par_T \mathcal{G}}^*(\mathcal{C})$$

induced by the homomorphism $\phi_p : Par_T \mathcal{G} \rightarrow T$. Under the decomposition (8.4) $\mathcal{D}$ corresponds to the fundamental class in $H^*(\mathcal{F}_T)$. Note that $\mathcal{D}$ is anti-invariant for the action of the Weyl group W in the sense that $w(\mathcal{D}) = (-1)^w \mathcal{D}$ for all $w \in W$, where $(-1)^w$ is the determinant of w regarded as an automorphism of the Lie algebra of T . We denote by $[H_{Par_T \mathcal{G}}^*(\mathcal{C})]^{antiW}$ the set of elements of $H_{Par_T \mathcal{G}}^*(\mathcal{C})$ which are anti-invariant for the action of W ; if $\eta \in H_{Par_T \mathcal{G}}^*(\mathcal{C})$ then $\eta \in [H_{Par_T \mathcal{G}}^*(\mathcal{C})]^{antiW}$ if and only if

$$\eta = \frac{1}{|W|} \sum_{w \in W} (-1)^w w(\eta).$$

Proof of Theorem 8.1: Suppose that $\eta \in \ker \rho$. Then by (8.6) we have $\mathcal{D}\eta \in \ker \rho^T$. But by Remark 7.6 we know that Theorem 8.1 is true when $m = n$ and $j_1 = \dots = j_n = 1$. Therefore $\mathcal{D}\eta$ lies in the ideal in $H_{Par_T\mathcal{G}}^*(\mathcal{C})$ generated by slant products of the form

$$c_r(-\pi_!(ParHom_{\hat{T},T}(\hat{\mathcal{V}}, \mathcal{V}))) \backslash \gamma$$

for integers $\hat{n}, \hat{d}$ and r and a subset J of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ with $\hat{n}$ elements, satisfying $0 < \hat{n} < n$ and

$$\frac{d + \sum_{\ell=1}^m \alpha_\ell j_\ell}{n} < \frac{\hat{d} + \sum_{\ell \in J} \alpha_\ell}{\hat{n}} \quad (8.7)$$

and

$$n\hat{n}(g-1) + \hat{d}\hat{n} - d\hat{n} + \sum_{\ell=2}^n |J \cap \{\ell, \dots, n\}| < r. \quad (8.8)$$

Here $\hat{T}$ is the standard maximal torus of $U(\hat{n})$, while

$$c_r(-\pi_!(ParHom_{\hat{T},T}(\hat{\mathcal{V}}, \mathcal{V})) \in H_{Par_T\mathcal{G}}^*(\mathcal{C}(n, d)) \otimes H_{Par_{\hat{T}}\hat{\mathcal{G}}}^*(\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d}))$$

is the r th equivariant Chern class of the virtual bundle $-\pi_!(ParHom_{\hat{T},T}(\hat{\mathcal{V}}, \mathcal{V}))$, which is defined as in §6 with $Par\mathcal{G}$ and $Par\hat{\mathcal{G}}$ replaced by $Par_T\mathcal{G}$ and $Par_{\hat{T}}\hat{\mathcal{G}}$, and γ lies in the image of the natural map

$$H_*^{Par_{\hat{T}}\hat{\mathcal{G}}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})}(\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^{parss}) \rightarrow H_*^{Par_{\hat{T}}\hat{\mathcal{G}}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})}(\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})). \quad (8.9)$$

Moreover by Remark 7.3 we can take γ to be the image of the restriction of $\mathcal{D}\eta$ under the inverse of a suitable Lefschetz duality map.

There is an exact sequence of sheaves

$$0 \rightarrow Par_{\hat{T},T}Hom(\hat{\mathcal{V}}, \mathcal{V}) \rightarrow ParHom(\hat{\mathcal{V}}, \mathcal{V}) \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{S}} \rightarrow 0$$

over $\mathcal{C}(n, d) \times \mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d}) \times \Sigma$ where $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ is supported on $\mathcal{C}(n, d) \times \mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d}) \times \{p\}$ and is the pullback of the skyscraper sheaf on Σ supported at p with fibre

$$\frac{ParHom(\hat{\mathcal{V}}_p, \mathcal{V}_p)}{ParHom_{\hat{T},T}(\hat{\mathcal{V}}_p, \mathcal{V}_p)}.$$

For integers $\hat{n}, \hat{d}$ and r and a subset J of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ with $\hat{n}$ elements as above, define integers $\hat{j}_1, \dots, \hat{j}_m$ by

$$\hat{j}_k = |J \cap \{j_1 + \dots + j_{k-1} + 1, \dots, j_1 + \dots + j_k\}|$$

for $1 \leq k \leq m$. Then $0 \leq \hat{j}_k \leq j_k$ and since $j_1 + \dots + j_m = n$ we have

$$\hat{j}_1 + \dots + \hat{j}_m = |J| = \hat{n}.$$

The weights of the action of $\hat{T} \times T$ on $ParHom(\hat{\mathcal{V}}_p, \mathcal{V}_p)$ are $\lambda_\ell - \hat{\lambda}_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq \hat{n}$ and $1 \leq \ell \leq n$ such that $h \leq k$ where h and k satisfy

$$\hat{j}_1 + \dots + \hat{j}_{h-1} < i \leq \hat{j}_1 + \dots + \hat{j}_h$$

and

$$j_1 + \cdots + j_{k-1} < \ell \leq j_1 + \cdots + j_k.$$

The weights of the action of $\hat{T} \times T$ on $ParHom_{\hat{T}, T}(\hat{\mathcal{V}}_p, \mathcal{V}_p)$ are $\lambda_\ell - \hat{\lambda}_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq \hat{n}$ and $1 \leq \ell \leq n$ such that

$$i \leq |J \cap \{1, \dots, \ell\}|.$$

Since $i > |J \cap \{1, \dots, \ell\}|$ and $j_1 + \cdots + j_{k-1} < \ell$ implies that $i > \hat{j}_1 + \cdots + \hat{j}_k$, it follows that the weights of the action of $\hat{T} \times T$ on

$$\frac{ParHom(\hat{\mathcal{V}}_p, \mathcal{V}_p)}{ParHom_{\hat{T}, T}(\hat{\mathcal{V}}_p, \mathcal{V}_p)}$$

are $\lambda_\ell - \hat{\lambda}_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq \hat{n}$ and $1 \leq \ell \leq n$ such that

$$i > |J \cap \{1, \dots, \ell\}|$$

and

$$\hat{j}_1 + \cdots + \hat{j}_{k-1} < i \leq \hat{j}_1 + \cdots + \hat{j}_k$$

and

$$j_1 + \cdots + j_{k-1} < \ell \leq j_1 + \cdots + j_k$$

for some $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. Thus the equivariant Chern polynomial of $\pi_! \hat{\mathcal{S}}$ is

$$c(\pi_! \hat{\mathcal{S}})(t) = \prod_{k=1}^m \prod_{\ell=j_1+\cdots+j_{k-1}+1}^{j_1+\cdots+j_k} \prod_{i=|J \cap \{1, \dots, \ell\}|+1}^{\hat{j}_1+\cdots+\hat{j}_k} (1 + (\lambda_\ell - \hat{\lambda}_i)t).$$

Therefore the equivariant Chern polynomials of $-\pi_!(ParHom(\hat{\mathcal{V}}, \mathcal{V}))$ and $-\pi_!(ParHom_{\hat{T}, T}(\hat{\mathcal{V}}, \mathcal{V}))$ are related by

$$c(-\pi_!(ParHom_{\hat{T}, T}(\hat{\mathcal{V}}, \mathcal{V}))(t) = c(-\pi_!(ParHom(\hat{\mathcal{V}}, \mathcal{V}))(t) \quad c(\pi_! \hat{\mathcal{S}})(t)$$

where $c(\pi_! \hat{\mathcal{S}})(t)$ is a polynomial of degree

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{\ell=j_1+\cdots+j_{k-1}+1}^{j_1+\cdots+j_k} \hat{j}_1 + \cdots + \hat{j}_k - |J \cap \{1, \dots, \ell\}| \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{\ell=j_1+\cdots+j_{k-1}+1}^{j_1+\cdots+j_k} |J \cap \{\ell+1, \dots, n\}| - (\hat{j}_{k+1} + \cdots + \hat{j}_m) \\ &= \sum_{\ell=1}^{n-1} |J \cap \{\ell+1, \dots, n\}| - \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} j_k (\hat{j}_{k+1} + \cdots + \hat{j}_m) \\ &= \sum_{\ell=2}^n |J \cap \{\ell, \dots, n\}| - \sum_{k=2}^m (j_1 + \cdots + j_{k-1}) \hat{j}_k. \end{aligned}$$

Hence if the image of $c(-\pi_!(\text{ParHom}(\hat{\mathcal{V}}, \mathcal{V}))(t)$ under some homomorphism is a polynomial in t of degree at most

$$n\hat{n}(g-1) + \hat{d}n - d\hat{n} + \sum_{k=2}^m (j_1 + \cdots + j_{k-1})\hat{j}_k$$

then the image of $c(-\pi_!(\text{ParHom}_{\hat{T},T}(\hat{\mathcal{V}}, \mathcal{V}))(t)$ under the same homomorphism is a polynomial in t of degree at most

$$n\hat{n}(g-1) + \hat{d}n - d\hat{n} + \sum_{\ell=2}^n |J \cap \{\ell, \dots, n\}|.$$

Thus the ideal in $H_{\text{Par}_T\mathcal{G}}^*(\mathcal{C}(n, d))$ generated by all elements of the form

$$c_r(-\pi_!(\text{ParHom}_{\hat{T},T}(\hat{\mathcal{V}}, \mathcal{V}))) \setminus \gamma,$$

for $r > n\hat{n}(g-1) + \hat{d}n - d\hat{n} + \sum_{\ell=2}^n |J \cap \{\ell, \dots, n\}|$ and γ in the image of the natural map from $H_*^{\text{Par}_{\hat{T}}\hat{\mathcal{G}}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})}(\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^{\text{parss}})$ to $H_*^{\text{Par}_{\hat{T}}\hat{\mathcal{G}}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})}(\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d}))$, is contained in the ideal generated by all elements of the form

$$c_r(-\pi_!(\text{ParHom}(\hat{\mathcal{V}}, \mathcal{V}))) \setminus \gamma$$

for $r > n\hat{n}(g-1) + \hat{d}n - d\hat{n} + \sum_{\ell=2}^m (j_1 + \cdots + j_{\ell-1})\hat{j}_\ell$ and γ in the image of the natural map from $H_*^{\text{Par}_{\hat{T}}\hat{\mathcal{G}}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})}(\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^{\text{parss}})$ to $H_*^{\text{Par}_{\hat{T}}\hat{\mathcal{G}}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})}(\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d}))$, and so $\mathcal{D}\eta$ lies in this ideal. Recall from (8.5) that

$$H_{\text{Par}\mathcal{G}}^*(\mathcal{C}(n, d)) \cong [H_{\text{Par}_T\mathcal{G}}^*(\mathcal{C}(n, d))]^W$$

and analogously we have

$$H_{\text{Par}\hat{\mathcal{G}}}^*(\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})) \cong [H_{\text{Par}_{\hat{T}}\hat{\mathcal{G}}}^*(\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d}))]^{\hat{W}}.$$

By using the dual version of (8.6) for equivariant homology, together with the observation after (8.9), based on Remark 7.3, that we can take γ to be the image under a suitable duality map of a restriction of $\mathcal{D}\eta$, and by replacing γ by

$$\frac{1}{|\hat{W}|} \sum_{\hat{w} \in \hat{W}} \hat{w}\gamma$$

if necessary, we find that we can assume that γ lies in the image of the natural map from $H_*^{\text{Par}\hat{\mathcal{G}}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})}(\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^{\text{parss}})$ to $H_*^{\text{Par}\hat{\mathcal{G}}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})}(\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})) \cong [H_{\text{Par}_{\hat{T}}\hat{\mathcal{G}}}^*(\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d}))]^{\hat{W}}$. Thus $\mathcal{D}\eta$ lies in the ideal in $H_{\text{Par}_T\mathcal{G}}^*(\mathcal{C}(n, d))$ generated by all elements of the form

$$c_r(-\pi_!(\text{ParHom}(\hat{\mathcal{V}}, \mathcal{V}))) \setminus \gamma$$

for $r > n\hat{n}(g-1) + \hat{d}n - d\hat{n} + \sum_{\ell=2}^m (j_1 + \cdots + j_{\ell-1})\hat{j}_\ell$ and γ in the image of the natural map from $H_*^{\text{Par}\hat{\mathcal{G}}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})}(\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^{\text{parss}})$ to $H_*^{\text{Par}\hat{\mathcal{G}}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})}(\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d}))$.

Finally we consider the action of W on

$$H_{\text{Par}_T\mathcal{G}}^*(\mathcal{C}) \cong H^*(B\mathcal{G}) \otimes H^*(\mathcal{F}_T)$$

(cf. (8.4)). Since multiplication by $\mathcal{D}$ defines a bijection from the set of W -invariant elements of $H_{Par_T\mathcal{G}}^*(\mathcal{C})$ to the set of elements which are anti-invariant for the action of W (see for example [13] Lemma 1.2), by writing

$$\mathcal{D}\eta = \frac{1}{|W|} \sum_{w \in W} (-1)^w w(\mathcal{D}\eta)$$

we deduce that η lies in the ideal in

$$H_{Par\mathcal{G}}^*(\mathcal{C}(n, d)) \cong [H_{Par_T\mathcal{G}}^*(\mathcal{C}(n, d))]^W$$

generated by elements of the form

$$c_r(-\pi_!(ParHom(\hat{\mathcal{V}}, \mathcal{V}))) \setminus \gamma$$

for $r > n\hat{n}(g-1) + \hat{d}n - d\hat{n} + \sum_{\ell=2}^m (j_1 + \dots + j_{\ell-1})\hat{j}_\ell$ and γ in the image of the natural map from $H_*^{Par\hat{\mathcal{G}}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})}(\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^{parss})$ to $H_*^{Par\hat{\mathcal{G}}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})}(\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d}))$, as required.

9 Further refinements

As a special case of Theorem 8.1 we have

Theorem 9.1 *If n and d are coprime then the kernel of the restriction map*

$$H_{\mathcal{G}(n,d)}^*(\mathcal{C}(n, d)) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{G}(n,d)}^*(\mathcal{C}(n, d)^{ss})$$

is generated as an ideal in $H_{\mathcal{G}(n,d)}^*(\mathcal{C}(n, d))$ by slant products of the form

$$c_r(-\pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V})) \setminus \gamma$$

for integers $\hat{n}, \hat{d}$ and r satisfying $0 < \hat{n} < n$ and $\frac{\hat{d}}{\hat{n}} > \frac{d}{n}$ and

$$r > n\hat{n}(g-1) - d\hat{n} + \hat{d}n$$

where

$$c_r(-\pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V})) \in H_{\mathcal{G}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})}^*(\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})) \otimes H_{\mathcal{G}(n,d)}^*(\mathcal{C}(n, d))$$

is the r th equivariant Chern class of the virtual bundle $-\pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V})$ over $\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d}) \times \mathcal{C}(n, d)$, and γ lies in the image of the natural map

$$H_*^{\mathcal{G}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})}(\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^{ss}) \rightarrow H_*^{\mathcal{G}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})}(\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})).$$

In order to complete the proof of Theorem 2.1 it remains only to restrict the range of $\hat{d}$ and r in the statement of Theorem 9.1 to

$$\frac{d}{n} < \frac{\hat{d}}{\hat{n}} < \frac{d}{n} + 1 \text{ and } n\hat{n}(g-1) - d\hat{n} + \hat{d}n < r < n\hat{n}(g+1) - d\hat{n} + \hat{d}n.$$

For this recall that for any $\delta \in \mathbf{Z}$ there is an isomorphism from $\mathcal{M}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})$ to $\mathcal{M}(\hat{n}, \hat{d} + \hat{n}\delta)$ given by tensoring bundles of rank $\hat{n}$ and $\hat{d}$ by a fixed line bundle L over Σ of degree δ . Recall that

$\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})$ is the space of all holomorphic structures on a fixed C^∞ bundle $\mathcal{E}$ of rank $\hat{n}$ and degree $\hat{d}$ on Σ , so we may take $\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d} + \hat{n}\delta)$ to be the space of all holomorphic structures on $L \otimes \mathcal{E}$. Then the isomorphism from $\mathcal{M}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})$ to $\mathcal{M}(\hat{n}, \hat{d} + \hat{n}\delta)$ and its inverse come from maps between $\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})$ and $\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d} + \hat{n}\delta)$ given by tensoring with L and L^{-1} . This also gives us an isomorphism

$$H_{\mathcal{G}(\hat{n}, \hat{d} + \hat{n}\delta)}^*(\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d} + \hat{n}\delta)^{ss}) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{G}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})}^*(\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})^{ss}).$$

Under the map $\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d} + \hat{n}\delta)$, the universal bundle on

$$\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d} + \hat{n}\delta) \times \Sigma$$

pulls back to the tensor product $\hat{\mathcal{V}} \otimes L$ of the universal bundle $\hat{\mathcal{V}}$ over $\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d}) \times \Sigma$ and the line bundle L over Σ , identified with its pullback to $\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d}) \times \Sigma$. Since $c_1(L) = \delta\omega$ where ω is the usual generator of $H^2(\Sigma)$, the Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch Theorem tells us that the equivariant Chern character of $-\pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}} \otimes L)^* \otimes \mathcal{V}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ch}(-\pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}} \otimes L)^* \otimes \mathcal{V}) &= \pi_*(((g-1)\omega - 1)\text{ch}(L^* \otimes \hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V})) \\ &= \pi_*(((g-1)\omega - 1)e^{\delta\omega}\text{ch}(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V})) \\ &= \text{ch}(-\pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V})) + \delta\text{ch}\left(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V}\Big|_{\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d}) \times \mathcal{C}(n, d) \times \{p\}}\right) \end{aligned}$$

for any $p \in \Sigma$, so its equivariant Chern polynomial satisfies

$$c(-\pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}} \otimes L)^* \otimes \mathcal{V})(t) = c(-\pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V}))(t) \left(c\left(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V}\Big|_{\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d}) \times \mathcal{C}(n, d) \times \{p\}}\right)(t)\right)^\delta.$$

Now

$$c\left(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V}\Big|_{\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d}) \times \mathcal{C}(n, d) \times \{p\}}\right)(t)$$

is the Chern polynomial of a vector bundle of rank $n\hat{n}$ over $\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d}) \times \mathcal{C}(n, d)$, so it is a polynomial of degree at most $\hat{n}n$ in t with coefficients in

$$H_{\mathcal{G}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})}^*(\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})) \otimes H_{\mathcal{G}(n, d)}^*(\mathcal{C}(n, d)).$$

Moreover the difference between

$$n\hat{n}(g-1) - \hat{n}d + (\hat{d} + \hat{n}\delta)n \text{ and } n\hat{n}(g-1) - d\hat{n} + \hat{d}n$$

is $\delta n\hat{n}$. It follows that if $\delta \geq 0$ and $r > n\hat{n}(g-1) - \hat{n}d + (\hat{d} + \hat{n}\delta)n$, then the r th equivariant Chern class of the virtual bundle $-\pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}} \otimes L)^* \otimes \mathcal{V}$ belongs to the ideal in

$$H_{\mathcal{G}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})}^*(\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})) \otimes H_{\mathcal{G}(n, d)}^*(\mathcal{C}(n, d)).$$

generated by the equivariant Chern classes $c_{r'}(-\pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V}))$ such that

$$r' > n\hat{n}(g-1) - d\hat{n} + \hat{d}n.$$

This means we can replace the condition that $\hat{d}/\hat{n} > d/n$ in the statement of Theorem 9.1 by

$$\frac{d}{n} < \frac{\hat{d}}{\hat{n}} \leq \frac{d}{n} + 1.$$

Since d and n are coprime and $0 < \hat{n} < n$, this is equivalent to the condition

$$\frac{d}{n} < \frac{\hat{d}}{\hat{n}} < \frac{d}{n} + 1.$$

Next we use the Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch Theorem to obtain explicit formulas for the equivariant Chern polynomial $c(-\pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V}))(t)$ in terms of the Atiyah-Bott generators a_r, b_r^j, f_r of $H_{\mathcal{G}(n,d)}^*(\mathcal{C}(n,d))$ and corresponding generators of $H_{\mathcal{G}(\hat{n},\hat{d})}^*(\mathcal{C}(\hat{n},\hat{d}))$.

Proposition 9.2 *The equivariant Chern polynomial $c(-\pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V}))(t)$ is equal to*

$$\Omega(t)^{g-1} \prod_{k=1}^n \prod_{l=1}^{\hat{n}} (1 + (\delta_k - \hat{\delta}_l)t)^{-W_{k,l}} \exp \left\{ \frac{-\Xi(k,l)t}{1 + (\delta_k - \hat{\delta}_l)t} \right\} \quad (9.1)$$

where $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n$ and $\hat{\delta}_1, \dots, \hat{\delta}_{\hat{n}}$ are formal degree two classes such that the r th elementary symmetric polynomial in $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n$ is a_r and the r th elementary symmetric polynomial in $\hat{\delta}_1, \dots, \hat{\delta}_{\hat{n}}$ is $\hat{a}_r$. Here

$$\Omega(t) = \prod_{k=1}^n \prod_{l=1}^{\hat{n}} (1 + (\delta_k - \hat{\delta}_l)t)$$

is the equivariant Chern polynomial of the restriction of $\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V}$ restricted to $\mathcal{C}(n,d) \times \{p\}$ for any $p \in \Sigma$, and hence can be expressed in terms of the equivariant Chern polynomials

$$c(\mathcal{V}|_{\mathcal{C}(n,d) \times \{p\}})(t) = 1 + a_1 t + a_2 t^2 + \dots + a_n t^n$$

and

$$c(\hat{\mathcal{V}}|_{\mathcal{C}(\hat{n},\hat{d}) \times \{p\}})(t) = 1 + \hat{a}_1 t + \hat{a}_2 t^2 + \dots + \hat{a}_{\hat{n}} t^{\hat{n}}.$$

Also

$$W_{k,l} = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i \frac{\partial \delta_k}{\partial a_i} - \sum_{j=1}^{\hat{n}} \hat{f}_j \frac{\partial \hat{\delta}_k}{\partial \hat{a}_j} + \sum_{s=1}^g \left(\sum_{i=1}^n b_i^s \frac{\partial}{\partial a_i} + \sum_{j=1}^{\hat{n}} \hat{b}_j^s \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{a}_j} \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n b_i^{s+g} \frac{\partial}{\partial a_i} + \sum_{j=1}^{\hat{n}} \hat{b}_j^{s+g} \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{a}_j} \right) (\delta_k - \hat{\delta}_l),$$

and

$$\Xi_{k,l} = \sum_{s=1}^g \left(\sum_{i=1}^n b_i^s \frac{\partial \delta_k}{\partial a_i} - \sum_{j=1}^{\hat{n}} \hat{b}_j^s \frac{\partial \hat{\delta}_l}{\partial \hat{a}_j} \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n b_i^{s+g} \frac{\partial \delta_k}{\partial a_i} - \sum_{j=1}^{\hat{n}} \hat{b}_j^{s+g} \frac{\partial \hat{\delta}_l}{\partial \hat{a}_j} \right).$$

Equivalently $c(-\pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V}))(t)$ equals

$$\Omega(t)^{g-1} \exp \left\{ \int_0^t \left(\frac{d + \hat{d}}{u} - \left(\sum_{i=1}^n f_i \frac{\partial}{\partial a_i} - \sum_{j=1}^{\hat{n}} \hat{f}_j \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{a}_j} + \sum_{s=1}^g \left(\sum_{i=1}^n b_i^s \frac{\partial}{\partial a_i} + \sum_{j=1}^{\hat{n}} \hat{b}_j^s \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{a}_j} \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n b_i^{s+g} \frac{\partial}{\partial a_i} + \sum_{j=1}^{\hat{n}} \hat{b}_j^{s+g} \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{a}_j} \right) \right) \left(\frac{\log \Omega(u)}{u^2} \right) \right) du \right\}. \quad (9.2)$$

Proof: We modify the proof of [11, Prop. 10]. We can write

$$\text{ch}(\mathcal{V}) = \sum_{k=1}^n e^{\gamma_k} \text{ and } \text{ch}(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^*) = \sum_{l=1}^{\hat{n}} e^{-\hat{\gamma}_l}$$

where $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n$ and $\hat{\gamma}_1, \dots, \hat{\gamma}_{\hat{n}}$ are formal degree two classes such that the r th elementary symmetric polynomial of $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n$ equals

$$c_r(\mathcal{V}) = a_r \otimes 1 + \sum_{s=1}^{2g} b_r^s \otimes \alpha_s + f_r \otimes \omega$$

for $1 \leq r \leq n$ and the r th elementary symmetric polynomial of $\hat{\gamma}_1, \dots, \hat{\gamma}_{\hat{n}}$ equals

$$c_r(\hat{\mathcal{V}}) = \hat{a}_r \otimes 1 + \sum_{s=1}^{2g} \hat{b}_r^s \otimes \alpha_s + \hat{f}_r \otimes \omega$$

for $1 \leq r \leq \hat{n}$. For each $k \geq 0$ there exist coefficients $\rho_{r_1, \dots, r_n}^{(k)}$ such that

$$(\gamma_1)^k + \dots + (\gamma_n)^k = \sum \rho_{r_1, \dots, r_n}^{(k)} (c_1(\mathcal{V}))^{r_1} \dots (c_n(\mathcal{V}))^{r_n}$$

where the sum is taken over all nonnegative $r_1, \dots, r_n$ such that $r_1 + 2r_2 + \dots + nr_n = k$. Now

$$(a_1 \otimes 1 + \sum_{s=1}^{2g} b_1^s \otimes \alpha_s + f_1 \otimes \omega)^{r_1} \dots (a_n \otimes 1 + \sum_{s=1}^{2g} b_n^s \otimes \alpha_s + f_n \otimes \omega)^{r_n}$$

equals

$$\begin{aligned} & (a_1)^{r_1} \dots (a_n)^{r_n} \otimes 1 + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{s=1}^{2g} b_i^s \frac{\partial}{\partial a_i} (a_1)^{r_1} \dots (a_n)^{r_n} \otimes \alpha_s \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^n f_i \frac{\partial}{\partial a_i} (a_1)^{r_1} \dots (a_n)^{r_n} \otimes \omega + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{s=1}^g b_i^s b_j^{s+g} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial a_i \partial a_j} (a_1)^{r_1} \dots (a_n)^{r_n} \otimes \omega. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\sum \rho_{r_1, \dots, r_n}^{(k)} (a_1)^{r_1} \dots (a_n)^{r_n} = (\delta_1)^k + \dots + (\delta_n)^k$$

we find that $\text{ch}(\mathcal{V})$ equals

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^n e^{\delta_k} \otimes 1 + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{s=1}^{2g} \sum_{k=1}^n b_i^s \frac{\partial}{\partial a_i} e^{\delta_k} \otimes \alpha_s \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n f_i \frac{\partial}{\partial a_i} e^{\delta_k} \otimes \omega + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{s=1}^g b_i^s b_j^{s+g} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial a_i \partial a_j} e^{\delta_k} \otimes \omega. \end{aligned}$$

We have a similar formula for $\text{ch}(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^*)$ and so by the Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch Theorem (and substantial manipulation) obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ch}(-\pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V})) &= \pi_*(\text{ch}(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^*)\text{ch}(\mathcal{V})((g-1)\omega - 1)) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^{\hat{n}} (g-1 - W_{k,l} - \Xi_{k,l}) e^{\delta_k - \hat{\delta}_l}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $W_{k,l}$ is a formal class of degree zero and $\Xi_{k,l}$ is a formal class of degree two, it follows from Lemma 9 of [11] that $c(-\pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V}))(t)$ equals (9.1) as required. Further manipulation of this expression following the arguments of [11, pp. 28-9] give the second expression (9.2).

Corollary 9.3 *The equivariant Chern polynomial $c(-\pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V}))(t)$ satisfies the equation*

$$(\Omega(t))^2 \frac{d}{dt} \left(c(-\pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V}))(t) \right) = Q(t) c(-\pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V}))(t)$$

where

$$Q(t) = (g-1)\Omega'(t) - \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^{\hat{n}} \left(\frac{\Omega(t)}{1 + (\delta_k - \hat{\delta}_l)t} \right)^2 (W_{k,l}(1 + (\delta_k - \hat{\delta}_l)t) + \Xi_{k,l})$$

is a polynomial of degree at most $2n\hat{n} - 1$ in t with coefficients in

$$H_{\mathcal{G}(n,d)}^*(\mathcal{C}(n,d)) \otimes H_{\mathcal{G}(\hat{n},\hat{d})}^*(\mathcal{C}(\hat{n},\hat{d})).$$

Proof: This follows from Proposition 9.2, together with two observations; firstly that $\Omega(t)$ is a polynomial in t of degree $n\hat{n}$ and is divisible by $(1 + (\delta_k - \hat{\delta}_l)t)$ for all k, l , and secondly that the polynomial

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^{\hat{n}} \left(\frac{\Omega(t)}{1 + (\delta_k - \hat{\delta}_l)t} \right)^2 (W_{k,l}(1 + (\delta_k - \hat{\delta}_l)t) + \Xi_{k,l})$$

in t is invariant under the actions of the permutation groups on $\{1, \dots, n\}$ and on $\{1, \dots, \hat{n}\}$, and hence its coefficients can be expressed as polynomial functions of the generators a_r, b_r^j, f_r of $H_{\mathcal{G}(n,d)}^*(\mathcal{C}(n,d))$ and $\hat{a}_r, \hat{b}_r^j, \hat{f}_r$ of $H_{\mathcal{G}(\hat{n},\hat{d})}^*(\mathcal{C}(\hat{n},\hat{d}))$.

Now we can complete the proof of Theorem 2.1. For

$$c(-\pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V}))(t) = \sum_{r \geq 0} c_r(-\pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V})) t^r$$

and

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(c(-\pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V}))(t) \right) = \sum_{r \geq 0} (r+1) c_{r+1}(-\pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V})) t^r.$$

Therefore if $r \geq 0$ the coefficient of t^r in

$$(\Omega(t))^2 \frac{d}{dt} \left(c(-\pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V}))(t) \right)$$

is the sum of $(r+1)c_{r+1}(-\pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V}))$ and an element of the ideal in

$$H_{\mathcal{G}(n,d)}^*(\mathcal{C}(n,d)) \otimes H_{\mathcal{G}(\hat{n},\hat{d})}^*(\mathcal{C}(\hat{n},\hat{d})).$$

generated by

$$\{c_{r-j}(-\pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V})) : 0 \leq j \leq 2n\hat{n} - 1\},$$

whereas the coefficient of t^r in

$$Q(t) c(-\pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V}))(t)$$

is an element of this same ideal. Thus it follows from Corollary 9.3 that if $r \geq 0$ then $c_{r+1}(-\pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V}))$ lies in the ideal generated by

$$\{c_{r-j}(-\pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V})) : 0 \leq j \leq 2n\hat{n} - 1\}.$$

Hence the ideal in $H_{\mathcal{G}(n,d)}^*(\mathcal{C}(n,d)) \otimes H_{\mathcal{G}(\hat{n},\hat{d})}^*(\mathcal{C}(\hat{n},\hat{d}))$ generated by

$$\{c_r(-\pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V})) : r > n\hat{n}(g-1) - d\hat{n} + \hat{d}n\}$$

is also generated by

$$\{c_r(-\pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V})) : n\hat{n}(g-1) - d\hat{n} + \hat{d}n < r < n\hat{n}(g+1) - d\hat{n} + \hat{d}n\}$$

and the ideal in $H_{\mathcal{G}(n,d)}^*(\mathcal{C}(n,d))$ generated by the slant products

$$\{c_r(-\pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V})) \backslash \gamma : n\hat{n}(g-1) - d\hat{n} + \hat{d}n < r, \gamma \in H_*^{\mathcal{G}(\hat{n},\hat{d})}(\mathcal{C}(\hat{n},\hat{d})^{ss})\}$$

is also generated by those $c_r(-\pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V})) \backslash \gamma$ with

$$n\hat{n}(g-1) - d\hat{n} + \hat{d}n < r < n\hat{n}(g+1) - d\hat{n} + \hat{d}n, \gamma \in H_*^{\mathcal{G}(\hat{n},\hat{d})}(\mathcal{C}(\hat{n},\hat{d})^{ss}).$$

This completes the strengthening of Theorem 9.1 to give us Theorem 2.1.

Remark 9.4 Similar arguments in the parabolic case allow us to refine Theorem 8.1 to get Theorem 7.1.

Remark 9.5 In principle Corollary 10.4 gives us a recurrence relation for the relations $c_r(-\pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V})) \backslash \gamma$ (cf. [24, 56] for the case when $n = 2$).

10 Explicit formulas for relations

We can give explicit formulas for the relations

$$c_r(-\pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V})) \backslash \gamma$$

which appear in Theorem 2.1 in terms of the generators a_r, b_r^j and f_r of $H_{\mathcal{G}(n,d)}^*(\mathcal{C}(n,d))$. First let us consider the case when $\hat{n}$ and $\hat{d}$ are coprime. In this case the Lefschetz duality map (4.1) is an isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} LD : H_*^{\overline{\mathcal{G}}(\hat{n},\hat{d})}(\mathcal{C}(\hat{n},\hat{d})^{ss}) &\cong H_*(\mathcal{M}(\hat{n},\hat{d})) \cong H^{D(\hat{n},\hat{d})-*}(\mathcal{M}(\hat{n},\hat{d})) \\ &\cong H_{\overline{\mathcal{G}}(\hat{n},\hat{d})}^{D(\hat{n},\hat{d})-*}(\mathcal{C}(\hat{n},\hat{d})^{ss}) \end{aligned}$$

given by Poincaré duality on $\mathcal{M}(\hat{n},\hat{d})$, and if $\gamma \in H_{\overline{\mathcal{G}}(\hat{n},\hat{d})}^{D(\hat{n},\hat{d})-*}(\mathcal{C}(\hat{n},\hat{d})^{ss})$ and $\eta = LD^{-1}(\gamma) \in H_*^{\overline{\mathcal{G}}(\hat{n},\hat{d})}(\mathcal{C}(\hat{n},\hat{d})^{ss})$ then

$$c_r(-\pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V})) \backslash \gamma = \int_{\mathcal{M}(\hat{n},\hat{d})} \Phi(\eta c_r(-\pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V})))$$

where $\Phi : H_{\mathcal{G}(n,d)}^*(\mathcal{C}(n,d)) \otimes H_{\mathcal{G}(\hat{n},\hat{d})}^*(\mathcal{C}(\hat{n},\hat{d})) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{G}(n,d)}^*(\mathcal{C}(n,d)) \otimes H^*(\mathcal{M}(\hat{n},\hat{d}))$ is the natural map defined as at (1.1). This integral over the moduli space $\mathcal{M}(\hat{n},\hat{d})$ can be calculated using

Witten's principle of nonabelian localisation [55] and the results of [22, 23], as we shall now describe.

In fact the moduli spaces considered in the papers [22, 23, 55] are not the moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}(n, d)$ but instead are the moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}_\Lambda(n, d)$ of semistable bundles over Σ with coprime rank n and degree d and fixed determinant line bundle Λ . However if $Jac_d(\Sigma) = \mathcal{M}(1, d)$ denotes the Jacobian of degree d line bundles over Σ , then the fibration $\det : \mathcal{M}(n, d) \rightarrow Jac_d(\Sigma)$ with fibre $\mathcal{M}_\Lambda(n, d)$ induces an isomorphism

$$H^*(\mathcal{M}(n, d)) \cong H^*(\mathcal{M}_\Lambda(n, d)) \otimes H^*(Jac_d(\Sigma))$$

such that the generators $b_1^1, \dots, b_1^{2g}$ of $H^*(\mathcal{M}(n, d))$ correspond to the standard generators of $H^*(Jac_d(\Sigma)) \cong H^*((S^1)^{2g})$ (see [1]), and thus it is easy to translate the results of [22, 23, 55] from $\mathcal{M}_\Lambda(n, d)$ to $\mathcal{M}(n, d)$.

In [55] Witten used physical methods to obtain explicit formulas for the evaluations on the fundamental class $[\mathcal{M}_\Lambda(n, d)]$ (or equivalently the integrals over $\mathcal{M}_\Lambda(n, d)$) of polynomial expressions in the generators a_r, b_r^j and f_r . He derived these formulas using an infinite-dimensional version of his principle of nonabelian localisation. Using another version of nonabelian localisation [22] applied to $\mathcal{M}_\Lambda(n, d)$ regarded as the symplectic quotient of an $SU(n)$ action on an extended moduli space [21], equivalent formulas were obtained in [23]. This version of nonabelian localisation involves looking at the fixed points of the action of the maximal torus T of $SU(n)$ on the extended moduli space, and these correspond, roughly speaking, to bundles of rank n and degree d which are direct sums of line bundles. Technical difficulties arise because the extended moduli space is noncompact, which is reflected in the fact that the fixed point set of T has infinitely many components; this problem is overcome in [23] by exploiting a certain periodicity in the situation. In order to calculate

$$\int_{\mathcal{M}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})} \Phi(\eta c(-\pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V}))(t))$$

(as a polynomial in t with coefficients in $H_{\mathcal{G}(n, d)}^*(\mathcal{C}(n, d))$), we need to consider the image of $\eta c(-\pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V}))(t)$ under the restriction map

$$H_{\mathcal{G}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})}^*(\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})) \rightarrow \oplus_{l=1}^{\hat{n}} H_{\mathcal{G}(1, \hat{d}_l)}^*(\mathcal{C}(1, \hat{d}_l)) \quad (10.1)$$

given by identifying our fixed C^∞ bundle of rank $\hat{n}$ and degree $\hat{d}$ with a direct sum of C^∞ line bundles of degrees $\hat{d}_1, \dots, \hat{d}_{\hat{n}}$ where

$$\hat{d}_1 + \dots + \hat{d}_{\hat{n}} = \hat{d},$$

and then embedding $\mathcal{C}(1, \hat{d}_1) \times \dots \times \mathcal{C}(1, \hat{d}_{\hat{n}})$ into $\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})$ via

$$(L_1, \dots, L_{\hat{n}}) \mapsto L_1 \oplus \dots \oplus L_{\hat{n}}.$$

We also need to see what happens to this image when the degrees $\hat{d}_1, \dots, \hat{d}_{\hat{n}}$ of these line bundles are modified so that for some $p \in \{1, \dots, \hat{n}\}$ the degree $\hat{d}_p$ is decreased by one, while $\hat{d}_{p+1}$ is increased by one and the other degrees $\hat{d}_l$ for $l \neq p, p+1$ are all unchanged.

Let $\hat{a}_1^l$ and $\hat{b}_1^{s, l}$ (for $1 \leq s \leq 2g$) be the generators of $H_{\mathcal{G}(1, \hat{d}_l)}^*(\mathcal{C}(1, \hat{d}_l))$ defined as at (1.3). The map (10.1) is determined by the fact that the Chern polynomial

$$c(\hat{\mathcal{V}})(t) = 1 + \sum_{r=1}^{\hat{n}} (\hat{a}_r \otimes 1 + \sum_{s=1}^{2g} \hat{b}_r^s \otimes \alpha_s + \hat{f}_r \otimes \omega) t^r$$

maps to

$$\prod_{l=1}^{\hat{n}} c(\hat{\mathcal{V}}_l)(t) = \prod_{l=1}^{\hat{n}} (1 + (\hat{a}_1^l \otimes 1 + \sum_{s=1}^{2g} \hat{b}_1^{s,l} \otimes \alpha_s + \hat{d}_l \otimes \omega)t)$$

where $\hat{\mathcal{V}}_l$ is a universal bundle over $\mathcal{C}(1, \hat{d}_l) \times \Sigma$ for $1 \leq l \leq \hat{n}$. Thus $\hat{a}_r$ is mapped to the r th elementary symmetric polynomial $\sigma_r^{\hat{n}}(\hat{a}_1^i : 1 \leq i \leq \hat{n})$ in $\hat{a}_1^1, \dots, \hat{a}_1^{\hat{n}}$ (or equivalently the formal degree two classes $\hat{\delta}_1, \dots, \hat{\delta}_{\hat{n}}$ introduced in Proposition 9.2 are mapped to $\hat{a}_1^1, \dots, \hat{a}_1^{\hat{n}}$), while

$$\hat{b}_r^s \mapsto \sum_{l=1}^{\hat{n}} \hat{b}_1^{s,l} \sigma_{r-1}^{\hat{n}-1}(\hat{a}_1^i : i \neq l)$$

and

$$\hat{f}_r \mapsto \sum_{l=1}^{\hat{n}} \left(\hat{d}_l \sigma_{r-1}^{\hat{n}-1}(\hat{a}_1^i : i \neq l) + \sum_{k \neq l} \sum_{s=1}^{2g} \hat{b}_1^{s,l} \hat{b}_1^{s+g,k} \sigma_{r-2}^{\hat{n}-2}(\hat{a}_1^i : i \neq k, l) \right).$$

The image of $c(-\pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V}))(t)$ under the map (10.1) is

$$c(-\pi_!(\bigoplus_{l=1}^{\hat{n}} \hat{\mathcal{V}}_l^* \otimes \mathcal{V}))(t) = \prod_{l=1}^{\hat{n}} c(-\pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}_l^* \otimes \mathcal{V}))(t), \quad (10.2)$$

and by Proposition 9.2 this is

$$\prod_{k=1}^n \prod_{l=1}^{\hat{n}} (1 + (\delta_k - \hat{a}_1^l)t)^{g-1+\hat{d}_l-W_k} \exp \left\{ \frac{-\Xi^{(k,l)}t}{1 + (\delta_k - \hat{a}_1^l)t} \right\} \quad (10.3)$$

where

$$W_k = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i \frac{\partial \delta_k}{\partial a_i} + \sum_{s=1}^g \sum_{i=1}^n b_i^s b_j^{s+g} \frac{\partial^2 \delta_k}{\partial a_i \partial a_j} \quad (10.4)$$

and

$$\Xi^{(k,l)} = \sum_{s=1}^g \left(\sum_{i=1}^n b_i^s \frac{\partial \delta_k}{\partial a_i} - \hat{b}_1^{s,l} \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n b_i^{s+g} \frac{\partial \delta_k}{\partial a_i} - \hat{b}_1^{s+g,l} \right). \quad (10.5)$$

If we formally modify the degrees $\hat{d}_1, \dots, \hat{d}_{\hat{n}}$ so that for some $p \in \{1, \dots, \hat{n}\}$ the degree $\hat{d}_p$ is decreased by one, while $\hat{d}_{p+1}$ is increased by one and the other degrees $\hat{d}_l$ for $l \neq p, p+1$ are all unchanged, then $c(-\pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V}))(t)$ is multiplied by the factor

$$\prod_{k=1}^n \frac{1 + (\delta_k - \hat{a}_1^{p+1})t}{1 + (\delta_k - \hat{a}_1^p)t}. \quad (10.6)$$

In [23] Theorem 8.1 (see also [23] Remark 8.3(c)) it is proved that if η is any polynomial expression in the generators $\hat{a}_r$ and b_r^j of $H_{\hat{\mathcal{G}}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})}^*(\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d}))$, not involving the generators f_r , then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathcal{M}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})} \Phi(\eta \exp(\epsilon f_2)) &= \frac{(-1)^{\hat{n}(\hat{n}-1)(g-1)/2}}{\hat{n}!} \text{Res}_{Y_1=0} \dots \\ \dots \text{Res}_{Y_{\hat{n}-1}=0} &\left(\sum_{w \in W_{\hat{n}-1}} \frac{\exp(\langle \epsilon[[w\hat{c}]], X \rangle) \int_{(S^1)^{2g\hat{n}}} \eta(X) \exp(\epsilon \omega)}{\mathcal{D}_{\hat{n}}^{2g-2} \prod_{l=1}^{\hat{n}-1} (\exp(\epsilon Y_l) - 1)} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (10.7)$$

when $\epsilon \neq 0$, where $(S^1)^{\hat{n}}$ is identified in the usual way with the maximal torus of $U(\hat{n})$ consisting of diagonal unitary matrices (which appears in (10.7) but not in [23], because we are working with the moduli space $\mathcal{M}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})$ not $\mathcal{M}_\Lambda(\hat{n}, \hat{d})$), while $T = (S^1)^{\hat{n}} \cap SU(\hat{n})$ is the standard maximal torus of $SU(\hat{n})$. Also any $X \in \text{Lie}(T)$ has coordinates

$$Y_1 = X_1 - X_2, \dots, Y_{\hat{n}-1} = X_{\hat{n}-1} - X_{\hat{n}}$$

defined by the simple roots of $SU(\hat{n})$, and the Weyl group $W_{\hat{n}-1}$ of $SU(\hat{n}-1)$ is embedded in $SU(\hat{n})$ in the standard way using the first $\hat{n}-1$ coordinates. The polynomial function $\mathcal{D}_{\hat{n}} : \text{Lie}(T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined to be the product of the positive weights of $SU(\hat{n})$. Also if $\gamma \in \text{Lie}(T)$ then $[[\gamma]]$ is the unique element of the fundamental domain defined by the simple roots for the translation action on $\text{Lie}(T)$ of the integer lattice, such that $[[\gamma]] - \gamma$ lies in the integer lattice, and

$$\hat{c} = [[(\hat{d}/\hat{n}, \dots, \hat{d}/\hat{n}, \hat{d}/\hat{n} - \hat{d})]].$$

Moreover $\text{Res}_{Y_j=0}$ is the usual residue at 0 of a meromorphic function of the variable Y_j , and $\langle, \rangle$ denotes the inner product on $\text{Lie}(SU(\hat{n}))$ given by

$$\langle X, X \rangle = -\text{Trace}(X^2)/4\pi^2.$$

ω is the standard symplectic form on $(S^1)^{2g\hat{n}}$, normalised so that

$$\int_{(S^1)^{2g\hat{n}}} \exp(\omega) = (\hat{n})^g,$$

and the appearance of η in the integral

$$\int_{(S^1)^{2g\hat{n}}} \eta(X) \exp(\epsilon\omega)$$

is interpreted as the image of η under the map (10.1), via the identification

$$H_{\mathcal{G}(1, \hat{d}_l)}^*(\mathcal{C}(1, \hat{d}_l)) \cong H^*(BS^1) \otimes H^*(\mathcal{M}(1, \hat{d}_l)) \quad (10.8)$$

when we identify $(S^1)^{2g}$ with the Jacobian $Jac_{\hat{d}_l}(\Sigma) = \mathcal{M}(1, \hat{d}_l)$. It does not matter here which $\hat{d}_l$ (for $1 \leq l \leq \hat{n}$) we choose in the identification of $(S^1)^{2g}$ with $\mathcal{M}(1, \hat{d}_l)$; tensoring by any fixed line bundle of degree δ gives us an isomorphism from $\mathcal{M}((1, \hat{d}_l)$ to $\mathcal{M}(1, \hat{d}_l + \delta)$ which preserves the image of η since η is a polynomial in the generators $\hat{a}_r$ and $\hat{b}_r^j$ (the generators f_r are not involved). However, in some sense the appropriate choice for $\hat{d}_l$ here is $\hat{d}/\hat{n} - [[w\hat{c}]]_l$; this accounts for the occurrence of the term $\exp(\langle \epsilon[[w\hat{c}]], X \rangle)$ in the formula (10.7).

Remark 10.1 The formula given by Witten in [55] for an integral of the form

$$\int_{\mathcal{M}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})} \Phi(\eta \exp(\epsilon \hat{f}_2))$$

is not expressed as an iterated residue. Instead it is an infinite sum running over the intersection of the weight lattice of $SU(\hat{n})$ with the interior of a fundamental Weyl chamber. These two formulas can be reconciled using an argument of Szenes (see [23] Proposition 2.2), based on the

elementary fact that the sum of all the residues of a meromorphic function on $\mathbb{C}$ which vanishes sufficiently fast at infinity is zero. This means that an iterated residue of the form (10.7) can be rewritten as a sum of iterated residues at the nonzero points $Y_l \in 2\pi i \epsilon^{-1} \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ where the terms $\exp(\epsilon Y_l) - 1$ vanish. There are of course infinitely many such points, but they contribute simple poles whose residues are easy to calculate.

The product

$$\prod_{l=1}^{\hat{n}-1} (\exp(\epsilon Y_l) - 1)$$

appears in the residue formula (10.7) because when we formally modify the degrees $\hat{d}_1, \dots, \hat{d}_{\hat{n}}$ so that for some $p \in \{1, \dots, \hat{n}\}$ the degree $\hat{d}_p$ is decreased by one, while $\hat{d}_{p+1}$ is increased by one and the other degrees $\hat{d}_l$ for $l \neq p, p+1$ are all unchanged, then the image of $\eta \exp(\epsilon \hat{f}_2)$ under the map (10.1) is multiplied by $\exp(\epsilon(\hat{a}_1^p - \hat{a}_1^{p+1}))$. The generators $\hat{a}_1^1, \dots, \hat{a}_1^{\hat{n}}$ correspond to the coordinates $X_1, \dots, X_{\hat{n}}$ on the Lie algebra of the maximal torus of $U(\hat{n})$, and $\exp(\epsilon(\hat{a}_1^p - \hat{a}_1^{p+1}))$ then corresponds to

$$\exp(\epsilon(X_p - X_{p+1})) = \exp(\epsilon Y_p).$$

If we apply the proof of [23] Theorem 8.1 to $\eta c(-\pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V}))(t)$ instead of $\eta \exp(\epsilon \hat{f}_2)$, then by (10.6) the term

$$\prod_{l=1}^{\hat{n}-1} (\exp(\epsilon Y_l) - 1)$$

is replaced by

$$\prod_{l=1}^{\hat{n}-1} \left(\prod_{k=1}^n \frac{1 + (\delta_k - X_{l+1})t}{1 + (\delta_k - X_l)t} - 1 \right),$$

and the same proof gives us

Theorem 10.2 *If η is any polynomial expression in the generators $\hat{a}_r$ and b_r^j of $H_{\bar{\mathcal{G}}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})}^*(\mathcal{C}(\hat{n}, \hat{d}))$, not involving the generators f_r , then*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathcal{M}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})} \Phi(\eta c(-\pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V}))(t)) &= \frac{(-1)^{\hat{n}(\hat{n}-1)(g-1)/2}}{\hat{n}!} \text{Res}_{Y_1=0 \dots} \\ \dots \text{Res}_{Y_{\hat{n}-1}=0} &\left(\sum_{w \in W_{\hat{n}-1}} \int_{(S^1)^{2g\hat{n}}} \eta(X) \prod_{l=1}^{\hat{n}} \prod_{k=1}^n (1 + (\delta_k - X_l)t)^{g-1+\hat{d}/\hat{n}-[[w\hat{c}]]_l - W_k} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{\exp\left(\frac{-\Xi^{(k,l)}}{1+(\delta_k - X_l)t}\right)}{\mathcal{D}_{\hat{n}}^{2g-2} \prod_{l=1}^{\hat{n}-1} \left(\prod_{k=1}^n \frac{1+(\delta_k - X_{l+1})t}{1+(\delta_k - X_l)t} - 1\right)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Remark 10.3 Here we interpret

$$\left(\prod_{k=1}^n \frac{1 + (\delta_k - X_{l+1})t}{1 + (\delta_k - X_l)t} - 1 \right)^{-1}$$

as

$$\left(\prod_{k=1}^n (1 + (\delta_k - X_{l+1})t) \right) (ntY_l)^{-1} \sum_{m \geq 0} (-1)^m \left(\frac{b_l(t, X_1, \dots, X_{\hat{n}})}{n} \right)^m$$

where

$$b_l(t, X_1, \dots, X_{\hat{n}}) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} ((1 - tX_{l+1})^i (1 - tX_l)^{n-i-1} - 1) \\ + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} t^j a_j \sum_{i=0}^{n-j-1} ((1 - tX_{l+1})^i (1 - tX_l)^{n-j-i-1} - 1)$$

using the expansion of

$$\prod_{k=1}^n (1 + (\delta_k - X_{l+1})t) - \prod_{k=1}^n (1 + (\delta_k - X_l)t)$$

as

$$t^n \left(\sum_{j=0}^n a_j ((t^{-1} - X_{l+1})^{n-j} - (t^{-1} - X_l)^{n-j}) \right) \\ = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a_j t^j \sum_{i=0}^{n-j-1} (1 - tX_{l+1})^i (1 - tX_l)^{n-j-i-1} t(X_l - X_{l+1}) \\ = t(X_l - X_{l+1})(n + b_l(t, X_1, \dots, X_{\hat{n}})).$$

Notice also that $\hat{d}/\hat{n} - [[w\hat{c}]]_l$ is an integer for each $w \in W_{\hat{n}-1}$ and $1 \leq l \leq \hat{n}$.

More generally if we want to allow η to depend on the generators $\hat{f}_r$ as well as the generators $\hat{a}_r$ and $\hat{b}_r^j$, then we can modify the proof of [23] Theorem 9.12 to obtain for any scalars $\epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_{\hat{n}}$ with $\epsilon_2 \neq 0$ the following result, which will give us the formulas we require if we differentiate with respect to $\epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_{\hat{n}}$.

Theorem 10.4 *Let m_r and p_{r,k_r} be nonnegative integers for $2 \leq r \leq \hat{n}$ and $1 \leq k_r \leq 2g$, with $p_{r,k_r} \in \{0, 1\}$. If $\epsilon_2 \neq 0$ then the integral*

$$\int_{\mathcal{M}(\hat{n}, \hat{d})} \Phi \left(\exp(\epsilon_2 \hat{f}_2 + \dots + \epsilon_{\hat{n}} \hat{f}_{\hat{n}}) \prod_{r=1}^{\hat{n}} \hat{a}_r^{m_r} \prod_{k_r=1}^{2g} (\hat{b}_r^{k_r})^{p_{r,k_r}} c(-\pi_! (\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V}))(t) \right)$$

is given by

$$\frac{(-1)^{\hat{n}(\hat{n}-1)(g-1)/2}}{\hat{n}!} \text{Res}_{Y_1=0} \dots \text{Res}_{Y_{\hat{n}-1}=0} \left(\sum_{w \in W_{\hat{n}-1}} e^{-dq_X([[w\hat{c}]])} \prod_{r=2}^{\hat{n}} \sigma_r^{\hat{n}}(X)^{m_r} \right. \\ \left. \int_{(S^1)^{2g\hat{n}}} \prod_{k_r=1}^{2g} \left(\sum_{a=1}^{\hat{n}-1} (d\sigma_r^{\hat{n}})_X(\hat{e}_a) \zeta_a^{k_r} \right)^{p_{r,k_r}} \prod_{l=1}^{\hat{n}} \prod_{k=1}^n (1 + (\delta_k - X_l)t)^{g-1+\hat{d}/\hat{n}-[[w\hat{c}]]_l-W_k} \right. \\ \left. \frac{\exp\left(\frac{-\Xi^{(k,l)}}{1+(\delta_k-X_l)t} - \sum_{a,b=1}^{\hat{n}-1} \sum_{s=1}^{2g} \zeta_a^l \zeta_b^{l+g} \partial^2 q_X(\hat{e}_a, \hat{e}_b)\right)}{\mathcal{D}_{\hat{n}}^{2g-2} \prod_{l=1}^{\hat{n}-1} (\exp(-(dq)_X(\hat{e}_l)) \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{1+(\delta_k-X_{l+1})t}{1+(\delta_k-X_l)t} - 1)} \right)$$

where as before σ_r^n denotes the r th elementary symmetric polynomial in n variables, and

$$q(X) = \epsilon_2 \sigma_2^{\hat{n}}(X) + \dots + \epsilon_{\hat{n}} \sigma_{\hat{n}}^{\hat{n}}(X).$$

Also $\hat{e}_1, \dots, \hat{e}_{\hat{n}-1}$ is the basis of $\text{Lie}(T)$ given by the simple roots; if we write the Maurer-Cartan form θ on T as $\theta = \sum_{a=1}^{\hat{n}-1} \theta_a \hat{e}_a$ then $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{\hat{n}-1}$ form a set of generators for $H^1(T)$ and we let ζ_a^l be the pullback of θ_a to $H^1(T^{2g})$ under the projection from T^{2g} onto the l th copy of T .

These theorems 10.2 and 10.4 give us explicit formulas for the relations $c_r(-\pi_!(\hat{\mathcal{V}}^* \otimes \mathcal{V})) \setminus \gamma$ which appear in Theorem 2.1, in the case when $\hat{n}$ and $\hat{d}$ are coprime.

Remark 10.5 Moduli spaces of parabolic bundles with fixed determinant can be regarded as symplectic quotients of the extended moduli spaces of [21], where the action is that of the subgroup $P \cap SU(n)$ of $SU(n)$. In particular in the case when $m = n$ and $j_1 = \dots = j_m = 1$, which was studied in §7 and §8, we have the symplectic quotient of the extended moduli space by the action of the maximal torus T of $SU(n)$. A crucial step in the application of nonabelian localisation in [23] to obtain formulas for intersection pairings on the moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}_\Lambda(\hat{n}, \hat{d})$ of vector bundles with fixed determinant was an observation due to S. Martin [34, 35] concerning any Hamiltonian action of a compact group K with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{k}$ on a compact symplectic manifold X when 0 is a regular value of the moment map $\mu : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}^*$. If we assume for simplicity that 0 is also a regular value of the induced moment map $\mu_T : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}^*$ for the maximal torus T of K , then Martin observed that the integral over the symplectic quotient $\mu^{-1}(0)/K$ of the image η_0 of any $\eta \in H_K^*(X)$ in $H_K^*(\mu^{-1}(0)) \cong H^*(\mu^{-1}(0)/K)$ can be expressed as a fixed constant multiple of the integral over $\mu_T^{-1}(0)/T$ of the image in $H_T^*(\mu_T^{-1}(0)) \cong H^*(\mu_T^{-1}(0)/T)$ of the product of $\mathcal{D} \in H_T^*(X)$ and $\eta \in H_K^*(X) \cong [H_T^*(X)]^W \subseteq H_T^*(X)$ where $\mathcal{D}$ is the product of the positive roots of K and W is the Weyl group.

With this in mind, the proof of Theorem 2.1 via Theorem 8.1 shows us that the explicit formulas for relations provided by Theorems 10.2 and 10.4, in the case when $\hat{n}$ and $\hat{d}$ are coprime, also give relations when $\hat{n}$ and $\hat{d}$ are not coprime, and the set of all such relations for all $\hat{n}$ and $\hat{d}$ satisfying $0 < \hat{n} < n$ and $\hat{d}/\hat{n} > d/n$ gives a complete set of relations between the generators a_r , b_r^j and f_r of $H_{\mathcal{G}(n,d)}^*(\mathcal{C}(n,d)^{ss})$.

References

- [1] M.F.Atiyah and R.Bott *The Yang-Mills equations over Riemann surfaces* Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A **308** (1982) 523-615.
- [2] E.Arbarello, M.Cornalba, P.Griffiths and J.Harris *Geometry of Algebraic Curves I* Springer (1985).
- [3] V.Baranovsky *Cohomology ring of the moduli space of stable vector bundles with odd determinant* Izv. Russ. Acad. Nauk. **58 n4** (1994) 204-210.
- [4] A.Beauville *Sur la cohomologie de certains espaces de modules de fibrés vectoriels in Geometry and Physics* (Bombay 1992), Tata Inst. Fund. Res. (1995) 37-40.
- [5] A.Borel *Topics in the homology theory of fibre bundles* Lecture Notes in Mathematics 36, Springer, 1967.
- [6] R.Bott and L.W.Tu *Differential forms in algebraic topology* Graduate Texts in Mathematics 82, Springer (1982).
- [7] S.Cappell, R.Lee and E.Miller *The action of the Torelli group on the homology of representation spaces is nontrivial* Topology **39** (2000) 851-871.

- [8] S.del Baño, *On the Chow motive of some moduli spaces* J. Reine Angew. Math. **532** (2001) 105-132.
- [9] U.V.Desale and S. Ramanan *Poincaré polynomials of the variety of stable bundles* Math. Ann. **216** (1975) 233-244.
- [10] S.K.Donaldson *Gluing techniques in the cohomology of moduli spaces* in *Topological methods in modern mathematics* (Proceedings of 1991 Stony Brook conference in honour of the sixtieth birthday of J.Milnor) Publish or Perish.
- [11] R.A.Earl *The Mumford relations and the moduli of rank three stable bundles* Compositio Math. **109** (1997) 13-48.
- [12] R.A.Earl and F.C.Kirwan *The Pontryagin rings of moduli spaces of arbitrary rank holomorphic bundles over a Riemann surface* J. London Math. Soc. (2) **60** (1999) 835-846.
- [13] G.Ellingsrud, S.Strømme *On the Chow ring of a geometric quotient* Ann. Math. (2) **130** (1989) 159-187.
- [14] W.Fulton *Intersection Theory* (Second Edition) Springer (1998).
- [15] D.Gieseker *A Degeneration of the Moduli Spaces of Stable Bundles* J. Differential Geom. **19** (1984) 173-206.
- [16] D.Gieseker *Geometric Invariant Theory and applications to moduli problems* Proc. Int. Cong. Math. (Helsinki, 1978) Academiae Scientiarum Fennica (Helsinki, 1980).
- [17] R.Hartshorne *Algebraic geometry* GTM 52 Springer-Verlag New York-Heidelberg-Berlin 1977.
- [18] V.Guillemin and J.Kalkman *A new proof of the Jeffrey-Kirwan localization theorem* J. Reine Agnew. Math. **470** (1996) 123-142.
- [19] G.Harder and M.S.Narasimhan *On the cohomology groups of moduli spaces of vector bundles over curves* Math. Ann. **212** (1975) 215-248.
- [20] R.Herrera and S.Salamon *Intersection numbers on moduli spaces and symmetries of a Verlinde formula* Comm. Math. Phys. **188** (1997) 521-534.
- [21] L.C.Jeffrey *Extended moduli spaces of flat connections on Riemann surfaces* Math. Annalen **298** (1994) 667-692.
- [22] L.C.Jeffrey and F.C.Kirwan *Localization for nonabelian group actions* Topology **34** (1995) 291-327.
- [23] L.C.Jeffrey and F.C.Kirwan *Intersection theory on moduli spaces of holomorphic bundles of arbitrary rank on a Riemann surface* Ann. of Math. **148** (1998) 109-196.
- [24] A.D.King and P.E.Newstead *On the cohomology of the moduli space of rank 2 vector bundles on a curve* Topology **37** (1998) 407-418.

- [25] F.C.Kirwan *Cohomology of quotients in symplectic and algebraic geometry* Math. Notes vol. 31 Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ 1985.
- [26] F.C.Kirwan *Partial desingularisations of quotients of nonsingular varieties and their Betti numbers* Ann. Math. **122** (1985) 41-85.
- [27] F.C.Kirwan *On spaces of maps from Riemann surfaces to Grassmannians and applications to the cohomology of moduli of vector bundles* Ark. Math. **24** (1986) 221-275.
- [28] F.C.Kirwan *On the homology of compactifications of moduli spaces of vector bundles over a Riemann surface* Proc. London Math. Soc. **53** (1986) 237-266.
- [29] F.C.Kirwan *Cohomology rings of moduli spaces of bundles over Riemann surfaces* J. Amer. Math. Soc. **5** (1992) 853-906.
- [30] F.C.Kirwan *Refinements of the Morse stratification of the normsquare of the moment map* preprint, 2003.
- [31] F.C.Kirwan *Moduli spaces of bundles over Riemann surfaces and the Yang–Mills stratification revisited* preprint, 2003.
- [32] G.Laumon and M.Rapoport *The Langlands lemma and the Betti numbers of stacks of G -bundles on a curve* Int. J. Math. **7** (1996) 29-45.
- [33] J.Le Potier *Lectures on Vector Bundles* Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics 54 CUP (1997).
- [34] S.K.Martin *Transversality theory, cobordisms and invariants of symplectic quotients* math.SG/0001001 (2001).
- [35] S.K.Martin *Symplectic quotients by a nonabelian group and by its maximal torus* math.SG/0001002 (2001).
- [36] V.B.Mehta and C.S.Seshadri *Moduli of vector bundles on curves with parabolic structures* Math. Annalen **248** (1980) 205-239.
- [37] J.W.Milnor and J.D.Stasheff, *Characteristic classes*, Annals of Math. Studies 76, Princeton (1974).
- [38] D.B.Mumford, J.Fogarty and F.C.Kirwan *Geometric Invariant Theory*, Third Edition, Ser. Modern Surveys Math. **34**, Springer (1994).
- [39] M.S.Narasimhan and S.Ramanan *Deformations of the moduli space of vector bundles over an algebraic curve* Ann. Math. **101** (1975) 391-417.
- [40] M.S.Narasimhan and S.Ramanan *Moduli of vector bundles on a compact Riemann surface* Ann. Math. **89** (1969) 14-51.
- [41] M.S.Narasimhan and S.Ramanan *Geometry of Hecke cycles I* in *C.P.Ramanujan - a tribute* Springer-Verlag (1978).

- [42] M.S.Narasimhan and C.S.Seshadri *Stable and unitary vector bundles over an algebraic curve* Ann. of Math. **82** (1965) 540-567.
- [43] P.E.Newstead *Characteristic classes of stable bundles of rank 2 over an algebraic curve* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **169** (1972) 337-345.
- [44] P.E.Newstead *Introduction to moduli problems and orbit spaces* Tata Inst. Lect. 51 1978.
- [45] N.Nitsure *Cohomology of the moduli of parabolic vector bundles* Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. (Math. Sci.) 95 (1986) 61-77.
- [46] C.S.Seshadri *Moduli of vector bundles with parabolic structures* Bull. American Math. Soc. 83 (1977).
- [47] C.S.Seshadri *Fibrés vectoriels sur les courbes algébriques* Astérisque 96 (1982).
- [48] S.S.Shatz *The decomposition and specialization of algebraic families of vector bundles* Compositio Math. **35** (1977) 163-187.
- [49] B.Siebert and G.Tian *Recursive relations for the cohomology ring of moduli spaces of stable bundles* (Turkish J. Math.) **19** (1995) 131-144.
- [50] E.H.Spanier *Algebraic Topology* McGraw-Hill 1966.
- [51] M.Thaddeus *Conformal field theory and the cohomology of the moduli space of stable bundles* J. Differential Geom. **35** (1992) 131-149.
- [52] M.Thaddeus *An introduction to the topology of the moduli space of stable bundles on a Riemann surface* in *Geometry and Physics* Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics 184 Dekker (1997) 71-100.
- [53] J.Weitsman *Geometry of the intersection ring of the moduli space of flat connections and the conjectures of Newstead and Witten* Topology **37** (1998) 115-132.
- [54] E.Witten *On quantum gauge theories in two dimensions* Comm. Math. Phys. **141** (1991) 153-209.
- [55] E.Witten *Two dimensional gauge theories revisited* J. Geom. Phys. **9** (1992) 303-368.
- [56] D.Zagier *On the cohomology of moduli spaces of rank 2 vector bundles over curves* Progress in Mathematics **129** *The moduli space of curves* (1995) 533-563.
- [57] D.Zagier *Elementary aspects of the Verlinde formula and of the Harder–Narasimhan–Atiyah–Bott formula*, in *Proceedings of the Hirzebruch 65 Conference on Algebraic Geometry (Ramat Gan, 1993)*, Israel Math. Conf. Proc. **9** (1996), 445-462.